



# INDEX OF TEXAS ARCHAEOLOGY

*Open Access Gray Literature from the Lone Star State*

---

Volume 2020

Article 51

---

1-1-2020

## Cultural Resources Survey of the Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project - Loop 2, in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas

Tony Scott

Hilda Torres

Amanda Kloepper

Ryan VanDyke

Ryan VanDyke

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/ita>



Part of the [American Material Culture Commons](#), [Archaeological Anthropology Commons](#), [Environmental Studies Commons](#), [Other American Studies Commons](#), [Other Arts and Humanities Commons](#), [Other History of Art, Architecture, and Archaeology Commons](#), and the [United States History Commons](#)

[Tell us](#) how this article helped you.

---

### Cite this Record

Scott, Tony; Torres, Hilda; Kloepper, Amanda; VanDyke, Ryan; and VanDyke, Ryan (2020) "Cultural Resources Survey of the Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project - Loop 2, in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas," *Index of Texas Archaeology: Open Access Gray Literature from the Lone Star State*: Vol. 2020, Article 51. ISSN: 2475-9333

Available at: <https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/ita/vol2020/iss1/51>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Regional Heritage Research at SFA ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Index of Texas Archaeology: Open Access Gray Literature from the Lone Star State by an authorized editor of SFA ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu](mailto:cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu).

---

## Cultural Resources Survey of the Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project - Loop 2, in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





# GRAY & PAPE

## HERITAGE MANAGEMENT

*Cultural Resources Survey of the  
Lone Star Express II Pipeline  
Project - Loop 2,  
in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan,  
and Eastland Counties, Texas*

*Lead Agency:  
The United States Army Corps of Engineers,  
Fort Worth District*

*SWF-2019-00234*

**PREPARED FOR:**  
EDGE Engineering & Science LLC  
16285 Park Ten Place, Suite 400  
Houston, Texas 77084

**PREPARED BY:**  
Gray & Pape, Inc.  
110 Avondale Street  
Houston, Texas 77006

19-71601.001



# GRAY & PAPE

## HERITAGE MANAGEMENT

Project No. 19-71601.001

### Cultural Resources Survey of the Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project - Loop 2, in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas

**Lead Agency:**

The United States Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District  
SWF-2019-00234

**Prepared for:**

EDGE Engineering & Science, LLC  
16285 Park Ten Place, Suite 400  
Houston, Texas 77084  
Kristi Soltysiak

**Prepared by:**

Tony Scott,  
Hilda Torres,  
Amanda Kleopfer,  
And  
Ryan VanDyke

Gray & Pape, Inc.  
110 Avondale Street  
Houston, Texas 77006  
(713) 541-0473

Tony Scott, M.A.  
Sr. Principal Investigator

February 13, 2020



## ABSTRACT

Gray & Pape, Inc., of Houston, Texas, on behalf of Lonestar NGL Pipeline, LP, conducted an intensive pedestrian cultural resources survey within permitted areas of the 117.85-kilometer (73.23-mile) long Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project – Loop 2, in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas. The lead agency for the project has been identified as the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District (Permit No. SWF-2019-00234). Thus, survey efforts concentrated on areas anticipated to be under the jurisdiction of the United States Army Corps of Engineers (permit areas). Within Loop 2, the total Area of Potential Effects within the permit areas measures approximately 125.9 hectares (311 acres). This area encapsulates approximately 29 kilometers (18 miles) of the proposed project alignment. The procedures to be followed by the United States Army Corps of Engineers to fulfill the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act, other applicable historic preservation laws, and Presidential directives as they relate to the regulatory program of the United States Army Corps of Engineers (33 CFR Parts 320-334) are articulated in the Regulatory Program of the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Part 325 - Processing of Department of the Army Permits, Appendix C - Procedures for the Protection of Historic Properties.

All fieldwork and reporting activities were completed according to a scope of work submitted to the United States Army Corps of Engineers and the Texas Historical Commission and accepted standards set forth by the Texas Historical Commission and the Council of Texas Archeologists and in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

A records and literature review of the project location prior to the survey identified 17 previously recorded archaeological resources, one cemetery, one historic marker, and 17 previously conducted surveys within a 0.8-kilometer (0.5-mile) radius of the Loop 2 segment. Of those, six recorded archaeological resources are within 91 meters (300 feet) of the project corridor and four previous surveys intersect the project alignment. Fieldwork on Loop 2 was initially conducted in Spring 2019, with supplemental investigations taking place in August, October, and November of 2019. Survey of Loop 2 required approximately 2,320 person-hours to complete and involved archaeological reconnaissance and shovel testing throughout anticipated permit areas within the project corridor. In total, approximately 677 shovel tests were excavated within permit areas and beyond in cases of site delineation, of which six within the APE were positive for cultural materials. A total of 14 mechanical auger tests were conducted within Permit Area 6 at Mulberry Creek. All were negative for cultural materials.

Five previously recorded resources: 41NL318, 41TA353, 41TA354, 41TA314, and 41CA27; six new resources: 41TA396, 41TA397, 41TA398, 41TA399, 41CA42, and 41CA43; and two isolate finds were identified within Loop 2 permit areas. Materials were identified adjacent to one additional previously identified resource, 41TA371, located outside of Project Permit Areas. The material consisted of only two artifacts found on the surface in a disturbed context. Thus, the site was not expanded into the current Area of Potential Effects.

Four resources are of a historic age or have a historic component: 41NL318, 41TA396, 41TA397, and 41TA399. Historic components generally consist of early to mid-twentieth century and twentieth-century materials representative of trash dumps. Site 41NL318 contains a remnant of a private drive/road and associated wooden bridge. The remainder of the resources are prehistoric. Prehistoric site contents consist nearly entirely of surface scatters of artifacts, with artifact classes largely the same across each, consisting mainly of debitage, with varying numbers of cores and bifaces. On very few occasions, a

preform or utilized flake were also observed. In general, the resources appear to represent raw material procurement areas due to the abundant chert deposits available in the rocky soil. Activities are believed to have been largely limited to the procurement and testing of cobbles and expedient manufacture of bifaces. It appears that more refined tool manufacture was taking place elsewhere. Resources 41NL318, 41TA353/354, 41TA396, and 41TA314 contained the only diagnostic prehistoric artifacts identified during survey. Site 41TA353/354 contained Elam and Carrollton type projectile points and Site 41NL318 contained a Clear Fork Uniface, all of which can date to the middle to transitional Archaic. Sites 41TA396 and 41TA314 each contained a likely Marshall dart point which dates to the Late Middle Archaic. No artifacts were collected. No cultural features or historic-age standing resources were encountered in the field. The resource areas identified within the pipeline survey corridor have been previously disturbed by adjacent pipeline construction. Shovel test results at nearly all permit areas identified subsoils, cemented soils, or bedrock and gave indications of soil deflation or truncating, erosion, and past land modifications such as terracing and grading.

Mulberry Creek in Taylor County, Permit Area Number 6, was targeted for deep testing based on geomorphological data, and field results and discussions with the Field Archaeologist. Deep test results indicated a lack of A horizon soils and showed no potential for deeply buried cultural material or paleosols within the anticipated depth of impacts at the location.

Based on the overall lack of soil deposition, few diagnostics, and lack of integrity, it is the opinion of Gray & Pape, Inc. that the portions of recorded resources that are located within the proposed right-of-way do not retain the potential to provide significant research value and are thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D or for State Antiquities Landmark status. Gray & Pape, Inc. recommends no additional archaeological work for these resources or surveyed permit areas of the project. However, Gray & Pape, Inc. recommends that an unanticipated discoveries plan be put into place in the event that such discoveries take place during construction.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT .....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Project Overview .....	1
1.2 Report Organization .....	3
1.3 Acknowledgements.....	4
2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT .....	5
2.1 Physiography and Geomorphology .....	5
2.2 Surface Geology .....	5
2.3 Soils.....	6
2.4 Natural Environment.....	6
2.5 Land Use .....	6
3.0 CULTURAL CONTEXT .....	7
3.1 Prehistoric Context.....	7
3.2 Paleoindian Period .....	7
3.3 Archaic Period.....	7
3.4 Late Prehistoric Period.....	8
3.5 Protohistoric Period.....	8
3.6 Historic Period.....	8
3.7 Historical Context of the Region .....	9
4.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY .....	10
4.1 Site File and Literature Review.....	10
4.2 Field Methods .....	10
4.3 Archival Research .....	12
4.4 Laboratory Analysis.....	12
4.5 Curation.....	12
5.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATIONS .....	13
5.1 Result of Site File and Literature Review.....	13
5.2 Results of Field Investigations.....	15
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION.....	81

7.0 REFERENCES CITED .....	85
APPENDIX A: PERMIT AREAS WITHIN THE LOOP 2 PROJECT ALIGNMENT.....	90
APPENDIX B: FIELD RESULTS WITHIN PERMIT AREAS ALONG THE LOOP 2 project alignment. ....	91
APPENDIX C: SHOVEL TEST LOG .....	92
APPENDIX D: DEED RESEARCH FOR SITE 41TA396 .....	93
APPENDIX E: DEED RESEARCH FOR SITE 41TA397.....	94
APPENDIX F: DEED RESEARCH FOR SITE 41TA399.....	95

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Loop 2 Project segment in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas.....	2
Figure 5-1. Example of scrub brush vegetation coverage observed in Loop 2. View is to the southeast. .....	17
Figure 5-2. Example of agricultural areas observed in Loop 2. View is to the southeast. ....	18
Figure 5-3. Location of Site 41NL318. View is to the east. ....	25
Figure 5-4. Plan view of Resource 41NL318. ....	26
Figure 5-5. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41NL318. ....	27
Figure 5-6. Fragments of FCR located within the original boundary of Site 41NL318. ....	27
Figure 5-7. Clear Fork Uniface (Gouge) observed on the surface at Site 41NL318. ....	28
Figure 5-8. Section of berm that crosses the parcel and associated bridge located south of the APE.28	
Figure 5-9. Observed berm and bridge overlaid on a topographic map circa 1957. ....	31
Figure 5-10. Location of Site 41TA353. View is to the east. ....	32
Figure 5-11. Plan view of combined Resources 41TA353/354.....	33
Figure 5-12. Biface identified on the surface within the originally mapped boundary of Site 41TA353. .....	34
Figure 5-13. Deflated ridge within the limits of the original site boundary of 41TA354. ....	34
Figure 5-14. Sandstone hammerstone identified within the original boundary of Site 41TA354. ....	34
Figure 5-15. Alternate view of the sandstone hammerstone observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.....	34
Figure 5-16. Possible mano (bottom) and source material (top) observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.....	34
Figure 5-17. Multidirectional core observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354. ....	34
Figure 5-18. Expedient/edge-modified tool observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354. ....	35
Figure 5-19. Attempted projectile point observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354. ....	35
Figure 5-20. Elam dart point observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354. .....	36
Figure 5-21. Modified Carrollton or Edgewood type dart point observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA353.....	36
Figure 5-22. Feature 1: discreet FCR scatter within the existing ROW and previously recorded site limits of 41TA353. View is to the southeast. ....	37
Figure 5-23. Plan view of the investigation of Feature 1 within the previously recorded boundary of 41TA353. ....	38
Figure 5-24. Plan view of Resource 41TA314.....	40
Figure 5-25. Location of Site 41TA314. View is to the east. ....	41
Figure 5-26. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41TA314. ....	41

Figure 5-27. Damaged/modified dart point (possible Marshall) observed on an eroded slope southeast of Site 41TA314. ....	42
Figure 5-28. Plan view of Resource 41CA27. ....	43
Figure 5-29. Location of Site 41CA27 showing the east fork of Mexia Creek (background) and portion of the low/eroded north side of the APE (center left). View is to the east.....	44
Figure 5-30. Bifacial edge failure flake observed on the surface of the cut bank at Site 41CA27. ....	45
Figure 5-31. West fork of Mexia Creek located just west of the previously recorded boundary of Site 41CA27. View is to the east.....	45
Figure 5-32. Cut Bank Profile 1 located in the western fork of Mexia Creek. Profile is located in the east cut bank. View is to the northeast. ....	45
Figure 5-33. Cut Bank Profile 2 located in the eastern fork of Mexia Creek. Profile is located in the west bank. Note mussel shell in center of picture. View is to the west.....	46
Figure 5-34. Location of Site 41TA396. View is to the southeast. ....	47
Figure 5-35. Plan view of Resource 41TA396.....	48
Figure 5-36. Sample of glass styles and colors observed at Site 41TA396.....	49
Figure 5-37. Representative prehistoric materials identified on the surface within Resource 41TA396. ....	49
Figure 5-38. Graded section of pipeline workspace located east of Site 41TA396. View is to the south. ....	49
Figure 5-39. Clear glass jar with continuous thread finish.....	50
Figure 5-40. Crown finish on beverage bottle.....	50
Figure 5-41. Probable Marshall dart point identified on the surface within resource 41TA396.....	50
Figure 5-42. Conditions at Site 41TA397 in April 2019. View is to the east.....	53
Figure 5-43. Plan view of Resource 41TA397.....	54
Figure 5-44. Harness buckle or industrial chain link. ....	55
Figure 5-45. Glass bottle base with Hazel-Atlas maker's mark.....	55
Figure 5-46. Stoneware with Bristol glaze.....	55
Figure 5-47. Location of Site 41TA398. View is to the east. ....	58
Figure 5-48. Plan view of Resource 41TA398.....	59
Figure 5-49. Representative flakes identified on the surface within Resource 41TA398. ....	60
Figure 5-50. Representative bifaces identified on the surface within Resource 41TA398. ....	60
Figure 5-51. Location of Site 41TA399. View is to the north. ....	61
Figure 5-52. Plan view of Resource 41TA399.....	62
Figure 5-53. Brick scatter within Resource 41TA399. View is to the north. ....	63
Figure 5-54. Red brick stamped "ABILENE." ....	63
Figure 5-55. Beverage bottle with Owens-Illinois maker's mark on base.....	64
Figure 5-56. Pressed glass cosmetic jar. ....	64
Figure 5-57. Embossed Listerine bottle.....	65
Figure 5-58. Yellow Homer Laughlin Fiestaware. ....	65
Figure 5-59. Amber bottle base with Design Patent # 128624. ....	65
Figure 5-60. Mentholatum cosmetic/ointment jar. ....	65
Figure 5-61. Pressed glass furniture knob.....	65
Figure 5-62. Blue annular banding on whiteware vessel. ....	66
Figure 5-63. Metal spoon.....	66
Figure 5-64. Metal tableware knife.....	66
Figure 5-65. Plan view of Resources 41CA42 and CA-39-ISO-02. ....	70
Figure 5-66. Location of Site 41CA42. View is to the northwest. ....	71
Figure 5-67. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41CA42.....	71
Figure 5-68. Biface identified on the surface representing Isolate CA-39-ISO-02.....	72

Figure 5-69. Plan view of Resource 41CA43. ....	73
Figure 5-70. Overview of site 41CA43 location. View is to the southeast. ....	74
Figure 5-71. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41CA43. ....	74
Figure 5-72. Materials representative of Isolate TA-50-ISO-01. ....	75
Figure 5-73. Plan view of Isolate TA-50-ISO-01. ....	76
Figure 5-74. Overview of 41TA371. View is to the east. ....	77
Figure 5-75. Plan view of Resource 41TA371. ....	78
Figure 5-76. Deep test locations within Permit Area 6 at Mulberry Creek. ....	80
Figure 5-77. Deep testing in progress within the Permit Area 6 at Mulberry Creek. View is to the northwest. ....	81
Figure 5-78. Representative soil profile as observed in DT10 at Mulberry Creek. ....	81

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. USGS Quadrangles Intersecting Loop 2. ....	3
Table 1-2. Permit Areas by County. ....	3
Table 1-3. Natural Waterways Crossed by Loop 2. ....	3
Table 2-1. Geologic Groups/Formations Intersected by Loop 2. ....	5
Table 5-1. Previously Recorded Area and Linear Surveys within 0.8 Kilometers (0.5 Miles) of the Proposed Loop 2 Project Area. ....	15
Table 5-2. Previously Recorded Archaeological Resources within 91 Meters (300 Feet) of the Loop 2 Project Area. ....	15
Table 5-3. Survey Results within Permit Areas of the Loop 2 Project Area. ....	19
Table 5-4. Identified Resources within the Loop 2 Permit Areas. ....	23
Table 5-5. Identified Resources outside Loop 2 Permit Areas. ....	24
Table 5-6. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41NL318. ....	25
Table 5-7. Historical maps and aerials for 41NL318. ....	29
Table 5-8. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA353/354. ....	36
Table 5-9. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA314. ....	41
Table 5-10. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41CA27. ....	45
Table 5-11. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA396. ....	47
Table 5-12. Deed Research Results for 41TA396. ....	51
Table 5-13. Historical maps and aerials for 41TA396. ....	52
Table 5-14. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA397. ....	55
Table 5-15. Deed Research Results for 41TA397. ....	56
Table 5-16. Historical Maps and Aerials for 41TA397. ....	57
Table 5-17. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA398. ....	60
Table 5-18. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA399. ....	63
Table 5-19. Diagnostic historic artifacts observed at Site 41TA399. ....	64
Table 5-20. Deed Research Results for 41TA399. ....	67
Table 5-21. Historical maps and aerials for 41TA399. ....	68
Table 5-22. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41CA42. ....	69
Table 5-23. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41CA43. ....	74
Table 5-24. Deep Test Profiles from the Mulberry Creek Project Area. ....	82
Table 6-1. Summary of Resources Identified within Permit Areas of the APE. ....	84
Table 6-2. Summary of resources Identified Outside of Permit Areas of the APE. ....	84



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

---

EDGE Engineering and Science, LLC (EDGE) of Houston, Texas, contracted with Gray & Pape, Inc. (Gray & Pape), of Houston, Texas, and Horizon Environmental Services, Inc. (Horizon) to perform an intensive pedestrian cultural resources survey within portions of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) of the Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project-Loop 2, located in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas.

The lead agency for permitting purposes has been determined to be the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District (Corps or USACE). Thus, survey efforts were conducted within portions of the APE anticipated to be within Corps permit areas. The procedures to be followed by the USACE to fulfill the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), other applicable historic preservation laws, and Presidential directives as they relate to the regulatory program of the USACE (33 CFR Parts 320-334) are articulated in the Regulatory Program of the USACE, Part 325 - Processing of Department of the Army Permits, Appendix C - Procedures for the Protection of Historic Properties. All fieldwork and reporting activities were completed with reference to standards set by the Texas Historical Commission (THC) and the Council of Texas Archeologists (CTA). Loop 2 is located on private property. The following report includes the results of the archaeological survey completed within anticipated permit areas along approximately 117.85 kilometers (73.23 miles) of centerline in Loop 2.

### 1.1 Project Overview

Lone Star NGL Pipeline, LP (Lone Star), proposes to construct a new pipeline loop in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas, referred to as the Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project – Loop 2 (LSXII – Loop 2 or Project). The LSXII – Loop 2 Project will be

approximately 117.85 kilometers (73.23 miles) long and will be used to transport natural gas liquids (NGL). The purpose of the proposed Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project is to add approximately 400,000 barrels per day of NGL capacity to the existing Lone Star Express system which will help alleviate infrastructure constraints out of the Delaware and Permian basins in West Texas. The proposed Loop 2 portion of the Project will increase system capacity between the existing LSX2 Pump Station in Nolan County and the existing LSX3 Pump Station in Eastland County, Texas. The proposed pipeline loop will generally be constructed within existing utility corridors and has been designed to parallel the existing Lone Star Express I Pipeline. New permanent facilities will be constructed alongside the existing Lone Star Express Pipeline facility locations where possible. Construction is currently scheduled to begin on September 1, 2019. The anticipated in-service date for is January 2020.

Loop 2 intersects 11 USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle maps (Figure 1-1, Table 1-1). Loop 2 begins approximately 16 kilometers (9.94 miles) east-southeast of Sweetwater in Nolan County and continues southeast approximately 117.85 kilometers (73.23 miles) through Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties before terminating approximately 13.25 kilometers (8.24 miles) south-southwest of Cisco in Eastland County. Along the path of Loop 2, the APE is largely collocated with an existing pipeline corridor and intersects several major and county roads, unimproved roads, and agricultural fields. The anticipated Corps Permit Area/APE for Loop 2 consists of approximately 125.9 hectares (311 acres) encapsulating approximately 29 kilometers (18 miles) of Project centerline. The breakdown of area/length per county is provided in Table 1-2. Loop 2 also crosses approximately 35 natural waterways (Table 1-3).

5/29/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 19-71601.001.




Figure 1-1  
Project location in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan,  
and Eastland Counties, Texas.



**GRAY & PAPE**  
HERITAGE MANAGEMENT

- Project Location
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary




Table 1-1. USGS Quadrangles Intersecting Loop 2.

USGS Quad ID	Name	State	Date Revised	Date Published	Date Photo Revised
32100-D2	Chalk Peak	Texas	-	84	-
32100-D1	Merkel West	Texas	-	84	-
32099-D8	Merkel East	Texas	-	84	-
32099-D7	Abilene West	Texas	74	76	-
32099-C7	Buffalo Gap	Texas	-	84	-
32099-C6	Potosi	Texas	-	84	-
32099-C5	Eula	Texas	-	84	-
32099-C4	Club Hollow	Texas	-	69	-
32099-C3	Admiral	Texas	-	70	-
32099-C2	Putnam South	Texas	-	70	-
32099-C1	Scranton	Texas	-	69	-

Table 1-2. Permit Areas by County.

County	Permit Area Count	Acres	Miles
Nolan	1	3.42	0.22
Taylor	28	155.8	9.0
Callahan	31	126.6	8.4
Eastland	6	23.4	1.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>665</b>	<b>309.2</b>	<b>19.0</b>

Waterway Name
Unnamed Tributary of Battle Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Mexia Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Lytle Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Little Elm Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Deep Creek
Club Hollow
Unnamed Tributary of North Prong Pecan Bayou
Unnamed Tributary of North Prong Pecan Bayou

Table 1-3. Natural Waterways Crossed by Loop 2.

Waterway Name
West Fork Brushy Creek
Mexia Creek
Elm Creek
Bull Wagon Creek
Little Bitter Creek
Button Willow Creek
Mulberry Creek
Cedar Creek
Cat Claw Creek
East Fork Brushy Creek
Brushy Creek
South Fork Leon River
Battle Creek
Lytle Creek
Little Elm Creek
North Prong Pecan Bayou
Kaiser Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Mulberry Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Deep Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Little Elm Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Mexia Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Bull Wagon Creek
Unnamed Tributary of Elm Creek
Unnamed Tributary
Unnamed Tributary
Unnamed Tributary of Cedar Creek
Unnamed Tributary of South Fork Leon River

## 1.2 Report Organization

This report is organized into seven numbered chapters and six lettered appendices. Chapter 1.0 provides an overview of the Project. Chapter 2.0 presents an overview of the environmental setting and geomorphology. Chapter 3.0 presents a discussion of the cultural context associated with the APE. Chapter 4.0 presents the research design and methods developed for this investigation. The results of this investigation are presented in Chapter 5.0. Chapter 6.0 presents the investigation summary and provides recommendations based on the results of field survey. A list of literary references cited in the body of the report is provided in Chapter 7.0. Maps of the field survey coverage for Loop 2 are displayed in Appendix A. Maps illustrating shovel test coverage in Permit Areas is displayed in Appendix B, however for more detailed test coverage of cultural resources see the individual site plan maps provided within the body of the text in Chapter 5.0. Appendix C contains a log of all excavated shovel tests.

Appendices D through F contain condensed versions of deed research documentation for the properties containing cultural resources 41TA396, 41TA397, and 41TA399.

### 1.3 Acknowledgements

Fieldwork on Loop 2 was conducted from April 2 to May 2019, with supplemental investigation taking place on August 6, from October 1 to 13, and again November 5 and 6, 2019. required approximately 2,320-person hours to complete. The Project was managed by Senior Principal Investigator Tony Scott. Field activities within Loop 2 were conducted by Gray & Pape Field Leaders Marcia Vehling, Chris Baltz, Monte Lawton, Charlie Rose, Kyle Mayer, and Jacob Hilton. Field Technicians included Amanda Kleopfer, Hilda Torres, Robert Beckwith, Marie Swartz, Katrina Miller, Kaitlin Roberts, Steven Sykes, Petrina Kelly, Kyle Potter, and Luis Gonzalez. Field efforts were also conducted by Horizon Field Leader Elizabeth

Sefton and Field Technicians McKinzie Froese, Dan Cambiano, Foster Duncan, and Steven Schooler under the guidance of Horizon Project Manager Jesse Owens. The report was prepared by Tony Scott, Hilda Torres, Amanda Kleopfer, and Ryan VanDyke. Prehistoric and Historic artifacts analysis was performed by Gray & Pape Lab Supervisor Eric Edelbrock and Lab and Curation Specialist Jacob Hilton. Architectural Historian Ryan VanDyke performed archival research for properties containing identified historic sites. Graphics were produced by Tony Scott. Jessica Bludau edited and produced the report.

Gray & Pape extends a special thank you to Lone Star Construction Manager Mike Churchman, Assistant Construction Manager Clyde McDonald, and Pipeline Inspectors Bill Laird, Shane Holdridge, Mark Salmon, and Patrick Hill, whose assistance and knowledge was instrumental in the timely and safe completion of the survey effort.

## 2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT

### 2.1 Physiography and Geomorphology

Most of the Project is situated in the North Central Plains area of the Interior Plains physiographic region. Nolan, Taylor, and Callahan Counties are characterized by the North Central Plains Physiographic region. The rolling terrain was created by the effects of erosion from ancient streams, leaving a landscape that is also steeply sloped in areas of highly dissected riverine edges (Bureau of Economic Geology [BEG] 1996). The northern portions of Nolan County consist of rolling uplands, while the southern areas contain plateaus intersected by valleys (Texas State Historical Association [TSHA] 2019). Taylor County is characterized by nearly level sloping plains and escarpments which separate it from the Edwards Plateau (Natural Resource

Conservation Service [NRCS] 2019). Callahan County is split east-west by the Callahan Divide which separates the watersheds of the Brazos and Colorado Rivers (TSHA 2019). A portion of southeast Callahan County lies within the Edwards Plateau natural region and has undulating to hilly grassy plains which slope eastward (NRCS 2019). Eastland County lies within the Grand Prairie Physiographic region. The southern part of the county contains a rolling sandy surface, while the northern and east-central regions have a broken hilly morphology (NRCS 2019).

### 2.2 Surface Geology

Loop 2 crosses 18 geological formations (Table 2-1). The surface deposits across the length of Loop 2 primarily consist of Permian-age mudstone or shale underlain by limestone, mudstone, sandstone, or siltstone.

Table 2-1. Geologic Groups/Formations Intersected by Loop 2.

Label	Formation/Group	Age	Rock Type 1	Rock Type 2
Ka	Antlers Sand	Early Cretaceous	sand	clay or mud
Pad	Admiral formation	Permian; Wolfcamp Series	mudstone	limestone
Pb	Blaine Formation	Permian; Guadalupe Series	mudstone	evaporite
Pbe	Bead Mountain Formation	Permian; Leonard Series	shale	limestone
Pcf	Clear Fork Group	Permian; Leonard Series	mudstone	sandstone
Pcj	Coleman Junction Formation	Permian; Wolfcamp Series	mudstone	limestone
Pec	Elm Creek Formation	Permian; Leonard Series	shale	mudstone
Pgc	Grape Creek Formation	Permian; Leonard Series	shale	limestone
Pjv	Jagger Bend and Valera Formations, undivided	Permian; Leonard Series	shale	mudstone
Plu	Lueders Formation	Permian; Leonard Series	shale	limestone
Pmo	Moran Formation	Permian; Wolfcamp Series	mudstone	sandstone
Ppu	Pueblo Formation	Permian; Wolfcamp Series	mudstone	sandstone
Psa	San Angelo Formation	Permian; Guadalupe Series	mudstone	siltstone
Psb	Santa Anna Branch Shale	Permian; Wolfcamp Series	mudstone	shale
Pse	Sedwick Formation	Permian; Wolfcamp Series	mudstone	sandstone
Pta	Talpa Formation	Permian; Leonard Series	shale	limestone
Qal	Alluvium	Holocene	sand	silt
Qu	Quaternary Deposit, undivided	Quaternary	sand	silt



## 2.3 Soils

Loop 2 intersects approximately 80 soils spread across the four counties (United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service, Soil Survey Office [USDA-NRCS SSO] 2008). Loop 2 is represented by the Tillman-Vernon-Hollister and Windthorst-Chaney-Duffau soil associations (BEG 2008). Tillman-Vernon-Hollister association is generally characterized as reddish well-developed soils that can be moderately deep before transforming into sandstone and mudstone bedrock (USDA-NRCS SSO 2008). Windthorst-Chaney-Duffau association forms on rolling landscapes that are covered with Cretaceous age sandstone, shale, and limestone outcrops. Duffau and Windthorst soils are deep, highly weathered soils that are highly susceptible to erosion. Chaney soil is characterized by deep clayey subsoils that transform into claystone or shale (USDA-NRCS SSO 2008).

The soils in Nolan County are dark brown with very gravelly clay loam over cracked limestone bedrock in the rangelands. Soils of the uplands are grayish brown with gravelly clay loam overlying white caliche. The hills and ridges have calcareous reddish-brown loam over red mottled sandstone (NRCS 2019). Taylor County has loamy surface soils that are reddish to brownish over clayey subsoils that have accumulated lime (TSHA 2019). Callahan County soils are a light to dark loam with clayey to loamy subsoils, although the soils in the southeast portion of the county are light with loamy to sandy surface layers and clayey subsoils (TSHA 2019). Eastland County's soils are loamy sand underlain by sandy clay in the uplands which are dissected by numerous streams and drainages. The soils of the ridgetops and hillsides are shallow with stony sandy loam over clay, sandy clay, and sandstone or limestone (NRCS 2019).

## 2.4 Natural Environment

Most of the Project area is characterized by the scrub brush and grasslands of the Rolling Plains (BEG 2000). In some places, however, the scrub brush and grasses are entirely replaced with agricultural crops (BEG 2000). Local plants include buffalo grass, various short grasses, juniper, and mesquite. Wildlife include the critically endangered lesser prairie chicken, as well as mammal species such as deer, fox, raccoon, skunk, opossum, badger, ringtail cat, bobcat, coyote, and peccary (Griffith et al. 2007). Other species inhabiting the area include waterfowl, rattlesnake, raptor, and jackrabbit (Lowther 1981). Loop 2 lies within the Kansan biotic province which contains grassland species, along with some Austroriparian species (Blair 1950).

### 2.4.1 Climate

The western portion of the Project area has a semi-arid climate that becomes subhumid in the eastern areas. Rainfall is typically less than 71 centimeters (28 inches), most of which falls during spring and early summer storms. Summer temperatures can be intense, but a large diurnal range and low humidity results in relatively cool evenings, even in the hottest times of the year. Winters are generally mild with occasional light snowfall, but sudden drops in temperature can vary (Moore 1977; Conner 1976; Clower 1981; Lowther 1981).

## 2.5 Land Use

Land use is largely agricultural throughout the Project area. A small portion of Loop 2 crosses a suburban area on the outskirts of Abilene in Taylor County. Portions of the Project not used for agriculture are generally covered by grasses and scrub brush. Much of the Project length is collocated and shows clear signs of disturbance from adjacent pipeline corridors and supporting infrastructure.

## 3.0 CULTURAL CONTEXT

---

### 3.1 Prehistoric Context

Prehistoric sites in the Southern High Plains and Central Plains regions are commonly found on the surface and in mixed context (Meltzer 1987). Sites are typically located along the remnants of draws, playas, and larger salina basins that have been filled in by eolian processes (Johnson and Holliday 2004). The majority of known prehistoric Clovis, Folsom, and Late Paleoindian archaeological sites in Texas are found in portions of the High Plains region near New Mexico and western Oklahoma. The general area was near the southernmost reach of now extinct megafauna in the United States and included mammoth and a large form of bison, which were frequently hunted by prehistoric groups.

Sites with historic components in the region date as far back to the 1700s as was recorded in Blanco Canyon. Most historic sites in the area represent materials left behind by Hispanic sheepherders called *pastores*, European buffalo hunters, military outfits, and Anglo dumpsites (Pertulla 2004).

Archaeological materials that have contributed to the development of a five-period cultural chronology, as developed by Kelley (1964) and Prikryl (1990) in the area based on excavations at a handful of intact sites. For the purpose of this report an attempt is made to generalize these periods in the following paragraphs; however, it should be noted that cultural periods are not equally represented across the varying ecological and physiographic areas that the Project intersects.

### 3.2 Paleoindian Period

The Paleoindian period falls within the latter part of the Pleistocene and into the early Holocene. It is generally agreed to have begun as far back as 11,500 years before present (B.P.) and continued until 8,500 B.P. and is

marked by ubiquitous hunting and on-site butchering of megafauna in small nomadic groups.

The Paleoindian period is further subdivided into three more specific periods marked by projectile point technologies (Frison 1991; Holliday 1997; Wheat 1972; Wormington 1957). These include the well-known Clovis, Folsom, and Late Paleoindian periods. The Clovis period is thought to have endured at least 500 years during the latter part of the Pleistocene and its lithic technology is the oldest known in North America. Clovis points are lanceolate-shaped with short flutes (Turner and Hester 1993). Clovis points are large, heavy, and well-made tools that were used for puncturing the thick flesh of large game. The Folsom period, from 10,800-10,300 B.P., is also defined by a large fluted lanceolate-shaped point. Folsom points look similar to the Clovis point, but are thinner, more symmetrical, evenly chipped on the edges, and have a single classic flute all the way up the center of the point (Turner and Hester 1993). The Late Paleoindian period, from 10,000-8,500 B.P., is characterized by excellent craftsmanship of long, thin, narrow, lanceolate points without flutes. Instead, these points have parallel flakes and are ground with thinned bases typically accomplished with a few vertical flakes (Turner and Hester 1993). Paleoindian sites of note located in the Southern High Plains and Central Plains regions include the Lone Wolf Creek (41MH23), Midland (41MD1), and McClean (41TA29) sites.

### 3.3 Archaic Period

Following a transition to a warmer climate, the Archaic period is accepted to have lasted between 8,500-1,250 B.P. The Archaic period is marked by an adaptation to less abundant water resources and to more dependence on vegetation as a food source than compared to people living in the Paleoindian period

(Johnson and Holliday 2004). The Archaic period is further subdivided into two periods, known as the Early and Late Archaic periods, which the former is characterized by a lack of occupational sites in the area during a time called the Altithermal when the land was hot, dry, and dusty. The Late Archaic is defined by a sudden increase in the number of sites around 4,500 B.P., when a noticeably milder climate with less hostile conditions returned to the area (Antevs 1954; Hughes 1991). Archaic sites are commonly associated with fewer megafauna kill sites than earlier Paleoindian sites. Such sites are often associated with an array of stemmed and later barbed dart points, ground stones, and hearths lined with burned stone and caliche-cobbles (Hofman 1989).

### 3.4 Late Prehistoric Period

The Archaic period was followed by the development of ceramic technology and the bow and arrow. These two inventions made way for significant sociocultural changes including a shift toward sedentism and decreased mobility. These developments are the hallmarks of the Late Prehistoric period, which lasted from A.D. 200-1450.

Because of more specific diagnostic traits associated with the Late Prehistoric, it is further subdivided into the Woodland period (A.D. 200-1450), the Palo Duro Complex (A.D. 500-1100), and the Antelope Creek Phase (A.D. 1200-1450). The Lake Creek Site in the Texas Panhandle represents the Woodland Period in the High Plains, which is characterized by cordmarked ceramics, corner-notched Scallorn arrow points, and a large assemblage of lithic flake tools (Hughes 1962). Palo Duro Complex Sites are defined by the use of pit houses and evidence of plant food procurement and processing. The first evidence of such was gathered during excavations by Willey and Hughes (1978) of the Deadman's Terrace Site, more commonly called Deadman's Shelter.

Finally, the Antelope Creek Phase, sometimes called the Antelope Creek Focus, is the most

distinctive and well-known of the Late Prehistoric periods in the Panhandle. Hughes (1991:31) documents the highest density of Antelope Creek Sites occurring along the Canadian breaks. Antelope Creek sites are best known by their pueblo-like structures with numerous rooms. These sites are also commonly identified by the presence of bone tools, made from butchered bison, scrapers, grinding slabs for plant processing, and sometimes obsidian (Hughes 1991).

### 3.5 Protohistoric Period

The Protohistoric period dates from A.D. 1450 to AD 1600. It is defined by documented trade activities with neighboring Pueblos, increased ceramic production projectile points that seem to be confined to one of two subdivisions of the Protohistoric. The Tierra-Blanca Complex and the Garza Complex are contemporary. The Tierra-Blanca Sites are thought to have traded with the New Mexico Pueblos and are typically identified by the presence of larger villages (Hughes 1991). The Garza Complex is associated with the Garza point type which seems to only appear at Garza Complex sites. Other point types found at Garza Complex sites include the Washita, Harrell, Lott, and Fresno (Hughes 1991).

### 3.6 Historic Period

Several Native American tribes are known to have inhabited the area prior to Spanish contact in 1541; these include the Apache, Comanche, Kiowa, and Kiowa-Apache (Newcomb 1961). In the nineteenth century, the area was inhabited by the Kiowa and Comanche tribes, who preferred free range over Oklahoma's reservations (Whitlock 1970). By then, the Comanche had displaced the Apache. It is widely known that by the nineteenth century, aboriginal groups remaining in the High Plains had begun exploiting horses for use during hunting and raiding. During that time, the Comanche were assigned by the Army to reservation life in Oklahoma (Newcomb 1961).



### 3.7 Historical Context of the Region

The earliest written descriptions of the north-central region of Texas come as a result of Spanish exploration of the areas to the north and west of the current Project. The cliff on the north facing of the Canadian River was seen by Francisco Vázquez de Coronado in 1541 on his way east from Cíbola, leading him to name the plateau the Llano Estacado, or *Palisaded Plain*. In addition to recording the initial explorations of the Llano Estacado, Coronado developed the region's orientation toward the Hispanic Southwest. Coronado's efforts were mimicked by Juan de Oñate during an early seventeenth century expedition along the Canadian River. In 1872, the Llano Estacado was described by General Randolph Marcy as a "great North American desert" with "not a tree, bush or water" (Whitlock 1970).

At the time, buffalo herds were common across the Llano Estacado. In the 1870s, conflict between American buffalo hunters and regional Native-American tribes reached its apex in the Red River War. Military defeat and the slaughter of the buffalo herds forced the Comanches, Kiowa, Cheyenne, and Arapaho off the plains to reservations (Haley 2010).

White settlement in the region remained sparse early on due to risk of hostilities with tribes such as the Comanche. Large cattle ranches and agriculture (mainly cotton) became the primary industry in the region with subsequent booms and bust within the petroleum and natural gas industries continuing to be the major driver of development of the region into the present day (Long 2010).

## 4.0 FIELD METHODOLOGY

---

This cultural resource investigation was designed to identify and assess new and previously recorded cultural resources that may be impacted by the proposed Project. Desktop assessment and modeling were performed prior to initiating field investigations to better understand cultural, environmental, and geological settings. Results of the desktop assessment were then used to develop the field methodology.

### 4.1 Site File and Literature Review

The background literature search included a review of previously conducted cultural resource surveys in the vicinity of the proposed Project area, and of any historic document pertaining to the history of the area. Site file research was performed to identify all previously recorded archaeological sites within a 0.8-kilometer (0.5-mile) study radius of the Project area and any recorded historic structures eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) or State Antiquities Landmark (SAL) listing located adjacent to the Project area. Site file research was done by reviewing records maintained by the Texas Archeological Research Laboratory in Austin, Texas, and by consulting THC.

Historical topographic maps and aerial photographs when available were reviewed to identify any historic structures, residential, and other structures that might be located close to or within the Project area. Historical maps of Texas and Texas counties were also reviewed in order to better understand the history of the region and to identify any potential historic trails and important historic sites located or crossing the Project area.

### 4.2 Field Methods

#### 4.2.1 Intensive Pedestrian Survey

The Project was subjected to pedestrian survey within permit areas. Permit areas were based on water features which were field delineated by

biological field crews in conjunction with the cultural resource survey. The permit areas for each water feature were assessed on a case-by-case basis but in general comprised the first terrace to first terrace of large perennial creeks and rivers that intersect the APE. For smaller streams and water features without terraces, a minimum baseline buffer area placed to either side of the water feature was assessed. These buffer areas consist of 180 linear meters (600 linear feet) to either side of larger perennial and intermittent drainages and 100 linear meters (300 linear feet) to either side of some intermittent and ephemeral drainages, wetlands, and catch basins. Based on the project's typical corridor width of 39.6 meters (130 feet), two transects were investigated, with additional transects added as needed for wider temporary workspaces. Transects were spaced no more than 30 meters (100 feet) apart. Because most of the project APE is collocated with an existing pipeline corridor, which at times subsumes half or more of the total corridor width, one survey transect was often within an existing pipeline easement. Existing easements were routinely maintained and often displayed greater than 70 percent surface visibility. Survey transects overlapping existing easements, excessive slope, or standing water were at a minimum subjected to pedestrian surface inspection/walkover, and also judgmentally shovel tested where warranted to confirm/refute suspected subsurface disturbance. Digital photography aided documentation of the existing conditions of the Project area and fieldwork methods, with photograph locations recorded on field maps and logged with a Global Positioning System (GPS) unit.

Shovel testing within permit areas was attempted along each transect at a number which met or exceeded Texas State Minimum Archaeological Survey Standards regardless of surface visibility. Shovel tests were generally spaced at intervals between 30 and 60 meters (100 and 200 feet). In areas of clear previous disturbance or areas of lower probability for

cultural resources, shovel tests were not typically conducted at a distance greater than 100 meters (328 feet). Shovel tests were attempted to depths of 1 meter (3.3 feet) or until culturally sterile subsoil was reached, except where bedrock was present at shallow depths, or where potential existing pipelines were present.

All shovel tests measured approximately 30 centimeters by 30 centimeters (1 foot by 1 foot). When possible, all soil was screened through 0.64-centimeter (0.25-inch) wire mesh. Vertical control of each shovel test was maintained by excavating in arbitrary 10-centimeter (4-inch) levels with reference to the parent soil stratum. The profile of each shovel test was inspected for color and texture change potentially associated with the presence of cultural features. Descriptions of soil texture and color followed standard terminology and soil color charts (Munsell 2005). Additional information such as mottling, evidence of disturbance, and moisture level was also recorded. All shovel test data were recorded in one of two formats for analysis: 1) a GIS file which had the appropriate attribute columns set up for population in the field, or 2) standardized paper forms. All shovel tests were backfilled after excavation and documentation. The excavated shovel tests were placed on field maps and points were taken with a GPS unit.

At each permit area location, a summary of the results of activities along with recommendations was provided to the Principal Investigator on a daily basis. These summaries were then submitted to the client. At regular intervals while survey was in progress shovel test forms were submitted to the Principal Investigator for review. Any need for additional work such as deep testing was based on the field results in coordination with the Field Archaeologist and arranged with the client.

#### 4.2.2 Deep Testing

As documented in Chapter 5.2 below, shovel test results in nearly all permit areas indicated deflated soils with subsoil or bedrock near the

surface. This is likely due to previous erosion and disturbance as a result of previous pipeline installations, the existing ROW of which subsumes the majority of the current APE. However, the location of Permit Area Number 6 at Mulberry Creek in Taylor County was identified as a candidate for deep testing. This determination was based on geomorphological data, and field results and discussions with the Field Archaeologists. The location is mapped for Holocene-age alluvial deposits which have the potential for a deep A horizon. Shovel test results at the location could not confirm that subsoils were reached and as a result, deep testing for the location was advised by the Field Archaeologist. The methodology for deep testing was formulated in conjunction with agency coordination. Agency consultation concurred with the use of machine auguring at the location. Auger tests were placed at 50-meter (164-foot) intervals, conducted along a single transect placed outside of the existing pipeline right-of-way (ROW) for safety concerns. Mechanical auguring was conducted with reference to the most recent draft of the Council of Texas Archeologists (CTA) guidelines. Soil matrix removed during auguring was placed on plastic tarp to keep it separated from the surrounding vegetation. The removed material was monitored for texture and color changes and screened using 1/4-inch mesh. Descriptions of soil texture and color followed standard terminology and the Munsell (2005) soil color charts. The locations of all deep tests were recorded with a sub-meter accurate GPS data collector and recorded on field maps.

#### 4.2.3 Site Definition

Surface visibility along the entire Project length was generally 70 percent or greater. Thus, all previously recorded sites that intersect the APE within permit areas were subjected to surface inspection supplemented by a sample of shovel tests placed at regular intervals within the previously established site boundary to check for deposition and density. A minimum of six radial shovel tests were typically attempted conducted in cardinal directions around the site

boundary within the limits of the APE. Delineation tests were typically conducted in 10-meter (33-foot) intervals but increased or decreased at the Field Archaeologist's discretion based on contributing field factors such as surface expression, previously established site size, previous disturbance, landforms, amount of surface visibility, and perceived areas of surface density. Delineation tests were generally pursued until reaching two consecutive negative tests beyond the established site boundary.

Newly identified sites were delineated in the same manner. Positive shovel tests, artifacts visible on the surface, and site boundaries were recorded on Project maps and via sub-meter accurate GPS. Newly identified sites and revisited previously recorded sites were also documented on standardized archaeological site forms.

For each cultural resource identified, including structures or other resources within or immediately adjacent to the APE, photographs were taken of the general vicinity and of any visible features, if present. A sketch map was prepared showing site limits, feature locations, permanent landmarks, topographic and vegetation variations, sources of disturbances, and total number of tests performed within and near the site. Artifacts recovered from shovel tests were not to be collected. All discovered artifacts were photographed in the field and placed in the backfilled shovel test or left on the surface. Locations of all positive tests were recorded with the GPS.

Each identified resource was given a temporary field site number. Site forms were submitted for each cultural site identified. Revisit site forms were completed for previously recorded sites re-identified in the field. State-issued trinomial site numbers were requested for cultural sites but not for identified isolates.

If any architectural resources had been identified, these would have been recorded on corresponding field forms. Details of form,

construction, material, style, condition, and alteration would be recorded both on the forms and photographically for each structure. All documentation would be reviewed by a qualified Architectural Historian who would decide if additional information or a personal field inspection was necessary at the survey level.

### 4.3 Archival Research

Historical research was conducted for three sites in Taylor County and one site in Nolan County, which were identified at the request of the USACE. A wide variety of sources, including historic maps, aerial photography, and local historic records were consulted. Gray & Pape reviewed historic topographic quadrangle maps, historic aeriels, and Google Earth historic aerial imagery. Deed research was conducted at the Taylor County Clerk's Office for the three historical sites within Taylor County. In-depth deed genealogical research was conducted on the names of previous owners for each site. In addition, historical General Land Office Maps, atlas maps, Ancestry.com, Find-a-Grave.com, and United States Census Records were consulted.

### 4.4 Laboratory Analysis

#### 4.4.1 Artifact Analysis

Artifacts encountered in the field were not collected; thus, no lab analysis was conducted. Artifacts were instead described and classified in the field as best as possible and representative samples were photographed. Data recorded in the field for uncollected artifacts included general attributes such as form (if identifiable), material, functional classification (if identifiable), and counts.

### 4.5 Curation

No diagnostic or non-diagnostic artifacts were collected in the course of the current survey. Gray & Pape will maintain Project records in their curation facility in Houston.

## 5.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATIONS

---

### 5.1 Result of Site File and Literature Review

A search of the Texas Archeological Sites Atlas, maintained by the THC, determined that no National Register properties intersect the Project alignment within Loop 2. The same research identified that 17 previously recorded archaeological sites, 17 previously conducted archaeological surveys, one historical marker, and one cemetery had been recorded within the 0.8-kilometer (0.5-mile) study radius of the Project area.

#### 5.1.1 Previously Recorded Surveys

According to a search of the Texas Archeological Sites Atlas, at least 17 previous surveys have been conducted within a 0.8-kilometer (0.5-mile) study radius of Loop 2 (Table 5-1, Appendix A). Four of those surveys intersect the Project alignment; however, these consist of narrow survey corridors and none significantly overlap the current Project. The most recent of these surveys were conducted by Tetra Tech, AR Consultants, and Horizon. Projects included the Colorado City to Corsicana Pipeline and the BridgeTex North Pipeline. A review of reports associated with these and other surveys in the vicinity indicated a mix between 100 percent survey coverage and survey of USACE jurisdictional water crossings. Survey findings suggest that while archaeological sites are not uncommon in the general vicinity, they do not typically contain the information that would result in a recommendation for eligibility. Some of these resources are discussed further in-depth below.

#### 5.1.2 Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites

Per a search of the Texas Archeological Sites Atlas, 17 previously recorded archaeological sites occur within the 0.8-kilometer (0.5-mile) study radius of the Project area. Those 17 resources, or at least portions of them, have been previously determined to be ineligible for listing on the National Register. Of those 17 resources, six are located within 91 meters (300 feet) of the Project APE (Table 5-2). Four of the resources that are potentially within permit areas were re-identified during survey and are described in greater detail in Section 5.2.2 of this report.

#### 5.1.3 Historical Markers

One historical marker is recorded within 0.8 kilometers (0.5 miles) of the Project. Marker number 3343, entitled “Merchant Home” was established in 1962 (THC 2019). Historical marker 3343 is located approximately 290 meters (951.44 feet) north of the Project corridor at its closest (Figure A21). It is located approximately 7.57 kilometers (4.7 miles) south-southeast of Baird, Texas.

#### 5.1.4 Cemeteries

Only one cemetery is located within the 0.8-kilometers (0.5-miles) radius of the Loop 2 Project area. Eula Cemetery (CA-C016) is located approximately 515 meters (1,689.63 feet) south of the project corridor at its closest (Figures A16/A17). Eula Cemetery (CA-C016) is located east of County Road 241 near Abilene, Texas, and contains approximately 815 memorials.

Table 5-1. Previously Recorded Area and Linear Surveys within 0.8 Kilometers (0.5 Miles) of the Proposed Loop 2 Project Area.

Project Type	Date	TAC Permit No.	Sponsor/Agency	Investigating Firm	Report Author	THC Review Date
Linear Survey	2/1976	-	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	-	-	-
Area Survey	9/1/1983	-	Texas Department of Transportation	-	-	-
Linear Survey	10/1984	-	SCS	-	-	-
Area Survey	1/1/1989	-	AF	-	-	-
Area Survey	4/1/1995	-	AF	-	-	-
*Linear Survey	11/1999	-	Texas Department of Transportation	-	-	-
Linear Survey	10/2000	-	USDA-RUS	-	-	-
*Linear Survey	1/2001	-	USDA-RD	-	-	-
Linear Survey	5/2001	2606	City of Abilene	-	-	-
Linear Survey	5/2001	2606	City of Abilene	-	-	-
Linear Survey	7/2001	2606	City of Abilene	-	-	-
*Linear Survey	9/1/2001	2678	City of Abilene	AR Consultants	Skinner, S. Alan	1/3/2001
Area Survey	7/1/2013	6480	Corps of Engineers	Horizon Environmental Services	Brownlow, Russell K., et al.	-
Area Survey	12/1/2013	-	Corps of Engineers	Horizon Environmental Services	Brownlow, Russell K.	-
*Area Survey	5/2/2014	-	Sunoco Pipeline, L.P.	Tetra Tech, Inc.	-	-

\*Indicates an intersection with the current project.

Table 5-2. Previously Recorded Archaeological Resources within 91 Meters (300 Feet) of the Loop 2 Project Area.

Trinomial	Site Type	Cultural Affiliation	Materials observed	Record Date	NRHP Status	NRHP Review Date
41CA27	Open Campsite and Lithic Scatter	Unknown Prehistoric	Tertiary flakes, scattered fire cracked rock (FCR).	2015	Ineligible	2015
41NL318	Lithic Procurement site and Lithic Scatter	Unknown Prehistoric	Tested cobbles, flakes, 3 crude bifaces, 1 preform.	2014	Ineligible	2014
41TA314	Lithic Scatter	Unknown Prehistoric	20 flakes, 1 core.	2013	Ineligible within ROW	2013
41TA353	Open Campsite and Lithic Scatter	Unknown Prehistoric	100 flakes, 10 cores, 1 biface.	2015	Ineligible within ROW	2015
41TA354	Open Campsite and Lithic Scatter	Unknown Prehistoric	100 flakes, 10 cores, 1 biface.	2015	Ineligible	2015
41TA371	Historic Artifact Scatter	Historic	Brick, decorated whiteware, clear, green, blue, amber, aqua, and milk glass, metal	2018	Unknown	N/A

## 5.2 Results of Field Investigations

Fieldwork included archaeological reconnaissance throughout USACE permit areas of the APE. Crews from both Gray & Pape and Horizon conducted field survey. In total, 65 permit areas were surveyed (Table 5-3). These entailed approximately 120 water features consisting of streams, rivers, wetlands, and ponds/catch basins were tested. These areas included more than 160 different parcels. A total 677 shovel tests were excavated: 652 within permit areas and 25 during site delineation outside of permit areas. Of those, six were positive for cultural materials resulting in the re-identification of five previously recorded resources, the discovery of six new resources, and two isolate finds (arranged by milepost in Table 5-4). Artifacts that may be associated with one additional previously recorded resource were identified within the Project APE but outside of permit areas (Table 5-5). Resource and artifact descriptions are provided in more detail in Sections 5.2.2 to 5.2.5, below. For organization and to discern jurisdictional versus non-jurisdictional, and previous versus new resources, discussions of resources are broken into four categories with corresponding subsections: 5.2.2.) Re-identified Previously Recorded within Jurisdictional Areas; 5.2.3) Newly Recorded Resource within Jurisdictional Areas; 5.2.4) Newly Recorded Isolates within Jurisdictional Areas; and 5.2.5) Previously Recorded Non-Jurisdictional Resources. Each resource within each subsection is arranged in order along the alignment from west to east.

### 5.2.1 Loop 2 General Characteristics

Loop 2 extends throughout Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties. This portion spans approximately 117.85 kilometers (73.23 miles) and 1,077.64 hectares (2,662.91 acres).

The vicinity of the loop is split between

pasture/scrub brush (Figure 5-1) and farmland (Figure 5-2). Surface visibility generally ranged from 70 to 100 percent. Almost the entire survey corridor has been previously impacted by pipeline installation, maintenance, or subsequent erosion, county roads, and unimproved roads that cross the APE. Within Loop 2, 677 shovel tests (see Appendices B and C). While the project intersects areas mapped for at least 80 soils series, permit areas most often intersected areas mapped for Pedernales, Sagerton, Chaney, and Cisco-Hext-Pedernales Association soils series. These soils typically have an A horizon below 30 centimeters (12 inches).

The typical shovel test profile for the loop consisted of reddish-brown clay or 7.5YR 4/4 sand followed by bedrock (Appendix C). The depth of the surface and subsurface layers was typically shallow (35 centimeters [13.78 inches]), indicating past impact by erosion or land modification. In most tests, these layers were underlain by bedrock. Because of this, very few tests approached 100 centimeters (33 inches). Approximately 108 shovel tests showed evidence of disturbance displayed as mottled soils containing larger quantities of calcium carbonate or gravels throughout. These tests typically were located within or very near the existing pipeline corridor limits.

### 5.2.2 Revisits of Previously Recorded Resources Located within Jurisdictional Areas

Five previously recorded resources that intersect the Loop 2 permit areas were re-identified during survey. The resources were re-identified by either Horizon or Gray & Pape crews. In some cases described below, Horizon performed the site investigation within the APE and Gray & Pape conducted delineation work outside of the APE to better define the site boundary. Results at each re-identified resource are described below. The sites are organized as they fall on the pipeline west to east.





Figure 5-1. Example of scrub brush vegetation coverage observed in Loop 2. View is to the southeast.



Figure 5-2. Example of agricultural areas observed in Loop 2. View is to the southeast.



Table 5-3. Survey Results within Permit Areas of the Loop 2 Project Area.

Permit Area No.	Parcels	Miles	Acres	UTM E	UTM N	Shovel Test Count	Deep Test Count	Resources Identified	Appendix A Figure	Appendix B Figure
1	LSX-NO-092.000	0.2	3.4	389697.5	3591167.0	10	-	41NL318	A1	B1
2	LSX-TA-009.000	0.2	2.8	397071.1	3589422.6	7	-	-	A3	B2
3	LSX-TA-009.000	0.1	2.0	397500.4	3589391.4	11	-	41TA396	A3	B3
4	LSX-TA-013.000, LSX-TA-014.000 / LSX-TA-015.000 / LSX-TA-016.000 / LSX-TA-017.000	0.1	2.0	399450.0	3588878.2	5	-	-	A4	B4
5	LSX-TA-018.000, LSX-TA-019.000	0.1	2.5	400780.8	3588566.7	9	-	41TA397	A4	B5
6	LSX-TA-031.000 - LSX-TA-034.000	1.0	18.5	406702.7	3587214.3	31	13	41TA353/41TA354	A5-A6	B6
7	LSX-TA-034.000, LSX-TA-035.100, LSX-TA-036.000	0.1	2.5	408032.2	3586888.4	1	-	-	A6	B7
8	LSX-TA-037.000 - LSX-TA-039.000	0.3	4.5	409068.5	3586431.8	8	-	-	A6	B8
9	LSX-TA-040.000	0.4	6.7	410915.3	3585661.2	33	-	41TA314	A7	B9
10	LSX-TA-040.100, LSX-TA-041.000	0.2	2.4	411598.9	3585353.3	4	-	-	A7	B10
11	LSX-TA-046.000	0.2	3.8	414984.3	3583725.3	7	-	-	A8	B11
12	LSX-TA-046.000, LSX-TA-050.000	0.7	11.5	415899.0	3583293.3	20	-	41TA398, TA-50-ISO-01	A8	B12
13	LSX-TA-050.000-LSX-TA-052.000	0.8	14.3	417094.2	3582790.4	26	-	-	A8	B13
14	LSX-TA-053.000, LSX-TA-054.000	0.3	4.0	418408.7	3582242.6	7	-	-	A9	B14
15	LSX-TA-066.000, LSX-TA-067.000	0.1	3.5	420077.5	3581720.5	9	-	-	A9	B15
16	LSX-TA-068.000-LSX-TA-070.000	0.1	2.7	421181.8	3581273.3	2	-	-	A9	B16
17	LSX-TA-071.000-LSX-TA-073.000	0.3	4.4	421863.1	3581034.5	5	-	-	A9	B17
18	LSX-TA-078.000, LSX-TA-081.000	0.4	7.9	423906.8	3579446.1	19	-	-	A10	B18

Permit Area No.	Parcels	Miles	Acres	UTM E	UTM N	Shovel Test Count	Deep Test Count	Resources Identified	Appendix A Figure	Appendix B Figure
19	LSX-TA-081.000-LSX-TA-083.000	0.2	3.6	425401.7	3579013.7	6	-	-	A10	B19
20	LSX-TA-087.000	0.2	2.5	426302.5	3578892.3	6	-	-	A11	B20
21	LSX-TA-089.000-LSX-TA-090.000	0.4	7.0	428209.3	3578645.1	7	-	-	A11	B21
22	LSX-TA-094.250, LSX-TA-094.260, LSX-TA-094.260	0.2	2.9	429653.3	3577138.9	13	-	-	A12	B22
23	LSX-TA-094.270, LSX-TA-094.280, LSX-TA-094.290, LSX-TA-094.305, LSX-TA-094.310	0.3	5.8	430313.1	3577082.4	21	-	-	A12	B23
24	LSX-TA-123.000-LSX-TA-125.000	0.6	12.0	432451.8	3577119.6	36	-	41TA399	A12	B24
25	LSX-TA-134.000, LSX-TA-137.000, LSX-TA-137.500	0.3	4.7	437267.1	3576237.5	6	-	-	A14	B25
26	LSX-TA-137.500	0.1	2.2	437737.4	3576240.3	5	-	-	A14	B26
27	LSX-TA-138.000, LSX-TA-138.100	0.2	2.9	438565.0	3576205.4	5	-	-	A14	B27
28	LSX-TA-138.000, LSX-TA-138.100, LSX-TA-139.000, LSX-TA-139.100, LSX-TA-140.000	0.3	4.4	438975.7	3576210.0	8	-	-	A14	B28
29	LSX-TA-142.000, LSX-TA-142.100, LSX-TA-143.000, LSX-TA-145.000, LSX-TA-146.000 / LSX-CA-001.000	0.8	13.5	440161.3	3576525.7	15	-	-	A14	B29
30	LSX-CA-009.000-LSX-CA-010.000	0.5	7.6	443706.7	3577720.4	10	-	-	A15	B30
31	LSX-CA-021.000 / LSX-CA-022.000, LSX-CA-022.001	0.2	2.9	446966.2	3579830.9	2	-	-	A16	B31
32	LSX-CA-025.100	0.1	2.2	448240.7	3579764.2	7	-	-	A16	B32
33	LSX-CA-027.000	0.1	2.0	448937.4	3579673.6	5	-	-	A17	B33
34	LSX-CA-028.000	0.2	3.3	450250.7	3579426.8	6	-	-	A17	B34
35	LSX-CA-034.000 / LSX-CA-035.000 / LSX-CA-036.000	0.2	3.9	452394.3	3578967.7	9	-	-	A17	B35

Permit Area No.	Parcels	Miles	Acres	UTM E	UTM N	Shovel Test Count	Deep Test Count	Resources Identified	Appendix A Figure	Appendix B Figure
36	LSX-CA-034.000 / LSX-CA-035.000 / LSX-CA-036.000	0.1	2.6	453777.9	3578650.8	8	-	-	A18	B36
37	LSX-CA-034.000 / LSX-CA-035.000 / LSX-CA-036.000	0.1	2.1	454804.4	3578415.7	5	-	-	A18	B37
38	LSX-CA-037.000 -LSX-CA-039.000	0.7	11.2	457156.3	3577862.8	8	-	-	A19	B38
39	LSX-CA-039.000	0.2	3.5	459346.6	3577346.5	19	-	41CA42, CA-39-ISO-02	A19	B39
40	LSX-CA-045.000, LSX-CA-045.100	0.7	11.7	463301.1	3576412.4	34	-	41CA27	A20	B40
41	LSX-CA-045.200, LSX-CA-046.000	0.5	6.4	465395.7	3575917.4	10	-	-	A21	B41
42	LSX-CA-046.000	0.1	1.4	465928.7	3575797.9	2	-	-	A21	B42
43	LSX-CA-046.000	0.2	2.0	467347.7	3575503.7	3	-	-	A21	B43
44	LSX-CA-051.000	0.2	2.9	469387.3	3575037.0	6	-	-	A22	B44
45	LSX-CA-052.000, LSX-CA-053.000	0.1	2.0	470248.7	3574848.6	3	-	-	A22	B45
46	LSX-CA-054.000 / LSX-CA-055.000, LSX-CA-056.000 / LSX-CA-057.000	0.3	5.8	471722.9	3574516.0	7	-	-	A22	B46
47	LSX-CA-056.000 / LSX-CA-057.000	0.3	6.0	472353.1	3574373.7	5	-	-	A22	B47
48	LSX-CA-061.000	0.1	1.9	473741.4	3574043.4	5	-	-	A23	B48
49	LSX-CA-062.000-LSX-CA-064.000	0.1	2.2	474440.4	3573877.3	6	-	-	A23	B49
50	LSX-CA-064.000, LSX-CA-065.000	0.4	7.3	474958.8	3573759.3	19	-	-	A23	B50
51	LSX-CA-067.000	0.2	3.0	476524.0	3573394.4	5	-	-	A24	B51
52	LSX-CA-071.000, LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	0.4	7.0	479191.9	3572791.8	10	-	-	A24	B52

Permit Area No.	Parcels	Miles	Acres	UTM E	UTM N	Shovel Test Count	Deep Test Count	Resources Identified	Appendix A Figure	Appendix B Figure
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	0.1	1.9	480392.4	3572453.1	8	-	-	A24	B53
54	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	0.1	1.9	480672.8	3572342.5	4	-	-	A25	B54
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	0.2	4.0	481027.9	3572294.2	15	-	-	A25	B55
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	0.2	2.7	481405.7	3572158.3	11	-	-	A25	B56
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	0.4	6.8	483461.7	3571733.7	10	-	-	A25	B57
58	LSX-CA-076.000-LSX-CA-078.000	0.3	5.0	484050.4	3571541.6	16	-	41CA43	A25	B58
59	LSX-CA-083.000	0.2	3.3	486887.2	3570955.6	4	-	-	A26	B59
60	LSX-EA-001.000	0.4	6.2	489351.6	3570420.7	4	-	-	A27	B60
61	LSX-EA-002.000-LSX-CA-078.000	0.2	3.9	490121.2	3570251.3	4	-	-	A27	B61
62	LSX-EA-006.000, LSX-EA-007.000	0.1	2.0	491441.6	3569968.6	4	-	-	A27	B62
63	LSX-EA-008.000, LSX-EA-008.500	0.1	1.7	491903.3	3569878.0	5	-	-	A27	B63
64	LSX-EA-014.000, LSX-EA-015.000	0.4	5.9	494133.3	3569471.0	12	-	-	A28	B64
65	LSX-EA-016.000	0.2	3.8	495185.7	3569293.9	15	-	-	A28	B65
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>310.9</b>			<b>648</b>	<b>13</b>			

Table 5-4. Identified Resources within the Loop 2 Permit Areas.

Trinomial	MP Begin	MP End	Site Type	New Site?	Cultural Affiliation	Previous Materials Observed	Record Date	Previous NRHP Status	NRHP Review Date	Current Materials Observed	Current Eligibility Rec	Appendix A Figure	Appendix B Figure	Report Figure
41NL318	109.28	109.58	Prehistoric lithic scatter and procurement site	No	Unknown Prehistoric	Remnants of a hearth consisting of 150 pieces of lithic debitage and about 24 pieces of FCR	3/25/2014	Ineligible	8/18/2014	Approximately 100+ flakes, 5 chert cores, 3 bifaces, 1 preform, 20 FRC, 2 tools	Ineligible within ROW	A1	B1	5-4
41TA396	114.45	114.48	Prehistoric lithic scatter and mid-20 <sup>th</sup> century historic scatter	Yes	Multicomponent Unknown Prehistoric / Late Middle Archaic and Mid-20 <sup>th</sup> century historic	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	20+ flakes, 1 biface, 1 projectile point, 30+ historic ceramics, 150+ glass, 25+ metal debris, 10 brick	Ineligible within ROW	A3	B3	5-35
41TA397	116.45	116.50	Mid-20 <sup>th</sup> century historic scatter	Yes	Mid-20 <sup>th</sup> century historic	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	12+ glass, 10+ historic ceramics, 1 metal	Ineligible within ROW	A4	B5	5-43
41TA353/354	120.32	120.59	Combined boundaries of two prehistoric lithic scatter	No	Unknown Prehistoric	Scattered FCR, a pointed biface, hammerstones, an expedient tool, modified flakes, scrapers, flakes, a spokeshave, and a possible Martindale dart point	1/16/2015	Ineligible	2015	200+ flakes, 2 bifaces, 1 uniface, 12 cores, 1 hammerstone, 2 manos, 9 tools, 2 projectile points, 2 preforms, 200+ FCR	Ineligible within ROW	A5-A6	B6	5-11
41TA314	123.09	123.15	Prehistoric lithic scatter	No	late to transitional archaic	FCR, a Marcos dart point, utilized flakes, as well as secondary and tertiary flakes	6/18/2013, 2/4/2015	Ineligible within ROW	2013	25 flakes, 2 cores, 12 FCR	Ineligible within ROW	A6-A7	B9	5-24
41TA398	126.47	126.93	Prehistoric lithic scatter	Yes	Unknown Prehistoric	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	34+ flakes, 1 utilized flake, 5 bifaces, 1 uniface, 6 cores, 1 scraper	Ineligible within ROW	A8	B12, B13	5-48
TA-50-ISO-01	127.25		Isolate	Yes	Unknown Prehistoric	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	2 flakes (1 utilized).	Ineligible	A8	B13	5-73
41TA399	138.68	138.75	1930s-1950s trash dump	Yes	1930s-1950s	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	400+ fragments of clear, brown, green, cobalt bottle glass, 100+ whiteware and other ceramics, 30 brick ("ABILENE"), 25 galvanized metal and aluminum	Ineligible	A12	B24	5-52

Trinomial	MP Begin	MP End	Site Type	New Site?	Cultural Affiliation	Previous Materials Observed	Record Date	Previous NRHP Status	NRHP Review Date	Current Materials Observed	Current Eligibility Rec	Appendix A Figure	Appendix B Figure	Report Figure
41CA42	156.98	157.02	Prehistoric lithic scatter	Yes	Unknown Prehistoric	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	13 flakes, 1 worked, 3 cores	Ineligible within ROW	A19	B39	5-65
CA-39-ISO-02	157.09		Isolate	Yes	Unknown Prehistoric	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	1 biface	Ineligible	A19	B39	5-65
41CA27	159.75	159.79	Open Campsite and Lithic Scatter	No	Unknown Prehistoric	Tertiary flakes, scattered FCR.	2015	Ineligible	2015	2 flakes, 1 mussel shell along waterway cutbank	Ineligible within ROW	A20	B40	5-28
41CA43	172.94	172.96	Prehistoric lithic scatter	Yes	Unknown Prehistoric	N/A	5/28/2019	N/A	N/A	10 flakes	Ineligible within ROW	A25	B58	5-69

Table 5-5. Identified Resources outside Loop 2 Permit Areas.

Trinomial	MP Begin	MP End	Site Type	New Site?	Cultural Affiliation	Previous Materials Observed	Record Date	Previous NRHP Status	NRHP Review Date	Current Materials Observed	Current Eligibility Rec	Appendix A Figure	Appendix B Figure	Report Figure
41TA371	132.12	132.17	historic scatter	No	Historic	brick, glass, miscellaneous metal, and a spark plug	2/2/2018	N/A	N/A	1 glass, 1 scraper	Ineligible within ROW	A10	B18	5-75

### 5.2.2.1 Resource 41NL318

#### Background/Previous Work

Resource 41NL318 was originally recorded in 2013 and 2014 by Tetra Tech, Inc. for the Permian Express Pipeline II survey (Karpinski et al. 2014). The resource was recorded as a small surface scatter representing a briefly-occupied resource procurement or processing camp. The resource was recorded on a low, eroded, gravelly ridge located adjacent to a pond formed from an unnamed tributary of Noodle Creek, approximately 70 meters (230 feet) west of County Road (CR) 222 (White Flat Road). The site includes the possible remnants of a hearth consisting of about 24 pieces of fire cracked rock (FCR) along with 150 pieces of lithic debitage. No diagnostic artifacts were identified within the site. The resource was found to be largely disturbed with erosion being the primary cause of disturbance. Additional impacts were contributed to artifact collecting, building of a cattle pond, adjacent farm road, and a nearby road-side refuse pile. The resource was not recommended for further work and was later determined to be ineligible within the pipeline ROW (THC 2019).

#### Current Investigation

Resource 41NL318 was revisited on April 9, 2019, by Horizon. The resource is located in Permit Area 1 to either side of CR 222. The site to the west of CR 222 is composed of two nearly level uplands dissected by an inlet of the adjacent pond. The site to the east of CR 222 rises sharply and contains two ridgetops within the ROW. The APE within the resource generally measures 40 meters (131 feet) wide with two areas of expanded workspace measuring approximately 47 meters (156 feet) wide. Of that amount, only approximately 30 meters (100 feet) of APE is located within an existing pipeline ROW. The site as originally mapped is now nearly completely within the existing pipeline ROW. No FCR or concentrations of FCR were observed at the original site location or in the expanded site area. The location within

the existing ROW is sparsely covered by grasses with the ground surface visibility decreasing outside of the ROW (Figure 5-3). The area is currently being used as a cattle pasture and has been impacted by flooding, erosion, existing pipelines and berming for erosion control and the nearby man-made pond. The resource primarily consists of a surface scatter located to the east/south side of the tributary and pond.



Figure 5-3. Location of Site 41NL318. View is to the east.

Initial investigation by Horizon consisted of pedestrian walkover and shovel tests excavated at 30-to 60-meter (100-to 200-foot) intervals within the APE (Figure 5-4). Observed artifacts include approximately 30 flakes, tested cobbles, 3 crude bifaces, and one preform (Table 5-6; Figure 5-5). Of the eight shovel tests placed within and outside of the original resource boundary/APE, one was positive for a single chert flake observed at between 20 and 30 centimeters (8 to 12 inches) below ground surface.

Table 5-6. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41NL318.

Depth	Flakes	Tools	Bifaces	Cores	Preform	FCR
Surface	100+	2	3	5	1	20
0-10	-		-	-	-	
10-20	-		-	-	-	
20-30	1		-	-	-	
30-40	-		-	-	-	
40-50	-		-	-	-	






Figure 5-4  
Plan view of Resource 41NL318.



**GRAY & PAPE**  
HERITAGE MANAGEMENT

- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New / Confirmed Site Boundary
- Previously Recorded Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Field-Delineated Water Feature
- ◆ Surface Find
- ◆ Bridge Location
- Observed Berm
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- ▨ Slope





Figure 5-5. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41NL318.

On April 16, 2019, Gray & Pape revisited the site to attempt to delineate the site limits to the north and south by surface inspection and shovel testing. Surface survey beyond the APE identified additional debitage and tested cores. Thirteen additional shovel tests were placed around the visible limits of the surface scatter outside of the APE. These tests were spaced between 20 and 30 meters (67 and 39 feet) apart due to the high visibility of the surface, erosion exposed subsoil visible at the surface, and observed landforms that the site occupies. Of the 13 additional shovel tests, none were positive for additional cultural materials.

The resultant resource is greatly expanded from the original site boundary and measures approximately 450 meters (1,500 feet) east-west by 180 meters (590 feet) north-south. Soils mapped for the location consist of Sagerton clay loam, Nipsum clay loam, Dermott soils, and Knoco clay (NRCS 2019). These soils typically have a shallow surface layer of brown (7.5YR 4/2) clay loam followed by a B horizon of brown (7.5YR 4/2) to reddish brown (5YR 5/4) clay. The soil is carbonate rich and impenetrable at shallow depths. A typical shovel profile within the resource/APE consists of a surface layer of red, dark brown, to reddish brown (5YR 4/4) clay to a depth of 20 to 30 centimeters (8 to 12 inches) followed by compact subsoil clay or bedrock (Appendix C).

This suggests the location has experienced severe erosion and lacks an A horizon.

#### Agency Revisit

The site was revisited by Gray & Pape and representatives of the USACE on October 29, 2019. During a walk over of the portion of the site located west of CR 222, the USACE representative observed approximately 100 chert flakes of mixed primary, secondary, and tertiary stages. All lithic materials appear to be of Edwards chert and are white to gray to color. Two formal tools were also observed on the surface. These include a worked uniface and a Clear Fork Uniface. In addition to the lithic artifacts observed at the site, approximately 20 FCR were observed scattered inside the ROW within and outside the immediate vicinity of the original boundary of 41NL318 (Figure 5-6).



Figure 5-6. Fragments of FCR located within the original boundary of Site 41NL318.

#### Diagnostic Artifact Analysis

The Clear Fork Uniface (commonly called a gouge) measures approximately 8 centimeters (3.1 inches) in length, 4 centimeters (1.6 inches) across at its distal end, and 1.5 centimeters (0.6 inches) across at its proximal end (Figure 5-7). The object is trapezoidal in cross-section measuring approximately 5 to 6 centimeters (2 to 2.4 inches) tall with a steep edge on the distal (working) end. Clear Fork Bifaces and Unifaces are prevalent through south Texas up through central north Texas. Temporally, they begin in the Paleo-Indian period and continue into the



Figure 5-7. Clear Fork Uniface (Gouge) observed on the surface at Site 41NL318.

Middle Archaic (Turner and Hester 1985 [1999 reprint] page 246-249).

Along the southern edge of the APE the USACE representative observed a previously unrecorded berm and bridge (Figure 5-8) partially inside the ROW and immediately south of the proposed ROW. The berm is oriented slightly southeast – northwest and roughly parallels the ROW along its southern edge for approximately 100 meters before continuing further away from the APE. The berm consists of local caliche gravel. The associated bridge is located approximately 3 meters (10 feet) south of the APE and is composed of a mixture of creosote timbers and roughhewn cedar planks. Portions of the supports are reinforced with sheet metal.

Preservation of the berm varied along its length, but it generally measured approximately 1.5 to 2 meters (5 to 6.5 feet) wide and 10 to 20 centimeters (4 to 8 inches) high. The berm did not appear to continue east across CR 222 and became indiscernible about 50 meters (164 feet) west of the road. Further, the berm does not appear to exist on the east side of CR 222



Figure 5-8. Section of berm that crosses the parcel and associated bridge located south of the APE.

and was only observed on the west side of the road.

#### Archival Research

The site is located on White Flat Road (FM 222) approximately 0.27 miles south of the road's intersection with the Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe Railroad in Nolan County. Site 41NL318 is partially within of Abstract 311, Section 45 and Abstract 56, both in Block 19, Texas & Pacific Railway Company (T&P RY CO) Survey. Historic maps and aerials were reviewed for additional information regarding 41NL318 (Table 5-7). According to the General Land Office (GLO) records, the north half of Section 56 was originally assigned to O.E. Templin; however, no date of the transaction is available (GLO 2019a). The entire 640 acres of Section 45 was granted to the T&P Ry Co. on May 5, 1876 (GLO 2019b). GLO maps from 1880 identifies the file numbers in Section 45 as S14515 and Section 56 as F38427. No individual is shown as the owner of either section. Both sections have east-west running drainages and the site 41NL318 is located between the drainages. No buildings or activities are shown within the sections.

Table 5-7. Historical maps and aerals for 41NL318.

Type	Date	Notes
GLO Map	1880	Land assigned as Sec 45 and Sec 56 of T&P Ry Co Survey – no individual owner; east-west running drainages
GLO Map	1879	Land assigned as Sec 45 and Sec 56 of T&P Ry Co Survey – no individual owner; only Sec 56 has drainage
Sweetwater Quad Topo Map	1893	Unnamed drainage to the west; no buildings or activities within site
Soil Survey Map	1922	Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe Railroad to the north of the site boundaries; no buildings or activities within site
Highway Map	1936	Building shown to west of White Plain Road (FM 222) in general vicinity of site
Big Spring Quad Topo Map	1954	Drainage shown to east and west of site; current configuration of roads visible; no buildings or activities within site boundaries
Merkel Quad Topo Map	1957	Road from White Flat Road through site, building to west and outside site boundaries, ponds to north and southwest; pipeline to the north and intersecting railroad
Atlas Map	1982	No buildings or structures within site
Aerial Photo	1997	Dirt road and irrigation ditch through site; building complex to west and outside site boundaries; site vegetated with trees and undeveloped to west of White Flat Road; site to east of White Flat Road is clear-cut crop or pastureland

The 1890 GLO map shows file numbers in each section with the north half of Section 56 as 49831 and the same number in Section 45, but with 2/1040 below the S14515. Only the southern drainage through Section 56 is shown, but no activities or buildings are shown in either section.

A 1922 United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil survey map shows Herndan as a stop on the Gulf, Colorado & Santa Fe Railroad to the northwest of the site boundaries. Toland is shown as a stop on the same railroad but is to the east of the site and within Taylor County. No activities or buildings are shown within the site boundaries. A 1936 highway map of Nolan County shows White Flat Road and a dwelling is shown between two drainages on the west side of the road, about halfway between Adrian Road (FM 130) and the east leg of White Flat Road (FM 222). A second dwelling is located on the west side of White Flat Road (FM 222) at the east leg curve of the road.

The 1954 Big Spring quad topographic map shows that the site is bisected by White Flat

Road (FM 222) and that White Flat Oil Field is located to the northeast of the site. The 1957 Merkel quad topographic map shows a dirt road traveling west through the site from White Flat Road (FM 222) and leading to a structure, which is outside the site boundaries. Several small ponds and a north-south drainage are located to the north and south of the site. The pipeline is shown to the north of the site, as is the railroad. The 1982 county atlas map shows no house on the west side of White Flat Road (FM 222) in the general vicinity of site 41NL318, but the dwelling at the corner of White Flat Road (FM 222) is labeled as Lula L. Hale, Trent, 2.

The 1997 aerial shows the current configuration of the unidentified access roads to the west of the site boundaries. A faint dirt road is visible running west through the site boundaries from White Flat Road (FM 222) and an irrigation ditch is shown running northeast through the site to the ditches along White Flat Road (FM 222). The site to the east of White Flat Road (FM 222) is clear-cut agricultural crop or pastureland. The west side of the site has the dirt road and

the irrigation ditch, as well as is covered with vegetation. No buildings or structures are visible within the site boundaries. A cluster of small structures is located to the west of the site boundaries and corresponds with the location of the building shown on the 1957 quad map, which is also likely the building shown on the 1936 highway map, as the scale is often difficult to infer. In subsequent aerals, the irrigation ditch appears as a cleared corridor.

While the identified berm and bridge were initially thought to be associated with a private rail or rail spur, historic maps indicate the berm is a former private road/drive. The road appears on a 1957 topographic map and appears to pass a residence location located beyond the site boundary and APE (Figure 5-9). The road path has changed slightly since then and it is unclear when it was abandoned; however, it does not appear in the same location on a 1984 topographic map.

Historic maps and aerals reveal that no houses or buildings were located within the boundaries of 41NL318. Additionally, no historically significant events are known to have occurred at the location of site 41NL318 or in association with any persons listed on the chain of title.

#### Site Summary

The amounts and types of materials observed at the site suggest the site was a procurement location. The resource overall appears to have experienced moderate erosion and deflation, with only one of 9 shovel tests conducted within the site boundary/APE containing material below surface. The sparsity of subsurface deposits within the APE, few diagnostics, and shallow soils recorded during the current effort suggests the resource is not significant and is of low research value. Archival research indicates no historically significant events are known to have occurred at the location of site 41NL318 or in association with any persons listed on the chain of title. The site portion located within the

APE does not retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.2.2 Resources 41TA353/41TA354

##### Background/Previous Work

Resources 41TA353 and 41TA354 were first recorded in 2015 by Turpin and Sons, Inc. (TAS) for the Lone Star Express 24 project (Burgess and Burgess 2015). The resources were originally recorded as open camp locations of unknown prehistoric affiliations. The sites were investigated by TAS by pedestrian survey and an undocumented number of shovel tests. Both resources were discovered to be eroded and deflated with little to no soil depth. Neither of the resources were recommended for further work. Both resources were later determined to be ineligible within the pipeline ROW (THC 2019).

Site 41TA353 consisted of a lithic and FCR concentration located immediately west of Farm-to-Market (FM) 1235 along the existing pipeline ROW. The ground surface was reported to be highly eroded with widely scattered FCR in two barely recognizable concentrations suggesting displacement. Artifacts were reported to entail dozens of secondary and tertiary flakes, a probable Martindale dart point, one pointed biface, a hammerstone, an expedient tool, and a modified flake. Based on the Martindale point, site was estimated to date to the Early Archaic period.

Site 41TA354 was recorded about 160 meters west (525 feet) west of Site 41TA353, as a lithic scatter on a small deflated ridge/hill overlooking Mulberry Creek to the west. The site was reported to contain about 100 pieces of debitage, an unrecorded number of stone tools, and a remnant thermal feature indicated by the presence of FCR.











Figure 5-9  
Observed berm and bridge overlaid on a  
topographic map circa 1957.

-  Bridge Location
-  Observed Berm
-  Project Centerline
-  Survey Corridor / APE
-  New / Confirmed Site Boundary



### Current Investigation

Resources 41TA353 and 41TA354 were revisited by Gray & Pape on April 10, 2019. The resource is located within Permit Area 6 to either side of FM 1235 but primarily to the west. The APE within the resources generally measures 40 meters (131 feet) wide with two areas of expanded workspace measuring approximately 47 meters (156 feet) wide (Figure 5-10 and 5-11). The location within the existing ROW is covered by short grasses but is largely exposed subsoil, rock, and cemented caliche, offering high surface visibility (Figure 5-10). The resource consists of a long, lithic scatter. Current investigation of the former resource boundaries within the corridor found that cultural materials continue between the two previously recorded resources, although somewhat sparsely as they are separated a wide low plain associated with the adjacent pond; therefore, the two boundaries have been combined. The resultant combined boundary measures approximately 450 meters (0.28 miles) east to west and 190 meters (623 feet) north to south. The landscape to the west of the site slopes sharply down from a high ridge to the adjacent waterway. The portion of the site located east of FM 1235 descends down from the road as well and is composed entirely of previous workspace with subsoil and rock visible on the surface. The resource likely extends further beyond the established boundary to the north and south but was not followed beyond that for the current project.

Initial survey of the APE recorded surface artifacts consisting of approximately 38 flakes, 7 cores, and one biface (Figure 5-12). The location of previously recorded Site 41TA354 was revisited a second time by Gray & Pape on August 7, 2019. Surface visibility was excellent, ranging from 90 to 100 percent. The location consists of a small deflated ridge that rises approximately 4.5 to 6 meters (15 to 20 feet)

above the surrounding landscape (Figures 5-11 and 5-13).



Figure 5-10. Location of Site 41TA353. View is to the east.

Based on the previous site boundary the northern half of the site located within the existing ROW is gone, leaving a sharp cut bank at the northern limit of the remaining ridge. The east and west sides of the ridge are steeply sloped and largely composed of rock. An artifact scatter was observed on the surface of what remains of the ridge top. The scatter measures approximately 27 meters (88.6 feet) north-south. The artifact scatter measures approximately 16 meters (52.5 feet) at its widest point east-west but quickly narrows to a width of about 4 meters (13.12 feet) to the south.

Artifacts identified on the surface included 50+ chert debitage, 1 sandstone hammerstone (Figure 5-14 and 5-15), 1 sandstone mano (Figure 5-16), 2 multidirectional chert cores (Figure 5-13), 1 chert projectile point (Figure 5-14), 5 expedient edge-modified chert tools (Figure 5-17), 2 chert preforms (Figure 5-18), and 6+ pieces of FCR. Two attempted projectile points observed on the surface were either incomplete (Figure 5-19) or too fragmentary for cross dating. A third, however, was intact enough to yield a potential date for the site.






Figure 5-11  
Plan view of combined Resources  
41TA353/354.



- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New / Combined Site Area
- Previously Recorded Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Field-Delineated Water Feature
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- ▭ Deflated Ridge
- ▨ Slope
- ▨ Low Area





Figure 5-12. Biface identified on the surface within the originally mapped boundary of Site 41TA353.



Figure 5-15. Alternate view of the sandstone hammerstone observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.



Figure 5-13. Deflated ridge within the limits of the original site boundary of 41TA354.



Figure 5-16. Possible mano (bottom) and source material (top) observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.



Figure 5-14. Sandstone hammerstone identified within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.



Figure 5-17. Multidirectional core observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.





Figure 5-18. Expedient/edge-modified tool observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.



Figure 5-19. Attempted projectile point observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.

Soils mapped for the location consist of Knoco-Badland complex, 1 to 12 percent slopes. Knoco soils contain a shallow surface layer of red (2.5YR 4/6) clay and reddish brown (2.5YR 5/4) clay followed by subsoil (C horizon) of reddish brown (2.5YR 5/4) dense clay and non-cemented claystone (NRCS 2019). Of the 11 shovel tests conducted within the site boundary/APE during survey none were positive for buried cultural material. Nearly all others showed signs of disturbance or erosion as indicated by the presence of subsoil and rock at the surface. A typical shovel test profile within the southern edge of the APE just outside of the existing ROW consisted of a surface layer of

brown (7.5YR 4/4 to 7.5YR 4/2) sandy loam to a depth of 50 centimeters (20 inches) or less before hitting extremely hard subsoil clay or bedrock (Appendix C). Tests within the ROW recorded much less soil (typically 10 centimeters [4 inches]) prior to hitting rock. This suggests some amount of soil is present at the southern limits of the APE, but the lack of buried cultural materials suggest the site is limited to the surface.

#### Agency Revisit

The combined boundary of Site 41TA353/354 was revisited again by Gray & Pape along with representatives of the USACE on October 29, 2019. During a walk over of the site, the USACE representative observed a dart point on the surface at erosional water path/rill (described below). USACE observed at least 200 FCR across the larger 41TA353/41TA354 site, with concentrations in approximately three areas and a steady FCR scatter across the general site area. FCR concentrations include one discreet feature (Feature 1 described below) and two additional diffuse FCR scatters, outside the original 41TA353 or 41TA354 site boundaries, but within the larger revised 41TA353/41TA354 site boundary near an existing stock pond. One of these scatters consisted of approximately 12 large (15-20 centimeter [5 to 8-diameter]) FCR that may represent a single use hearth feature based on the lack of fracturing. USACE also observed one formal biface fragment and one formal uniface fragment inside 41TA353 on the surface. 100-200 flakes, 2 cores, and 4 expedient tools were also observed across site 41TA353/41TA353.

In total the site contains over 230+ lithics and 200+ FCR (Table 5-8). Flakes represented all stages of processing but primarily of early to mid-stages as most were large and contained cortex. All material was of local (likely Edwards) chert and nearly all exhibited a gray color. Two diagnostics identified at the site are discussed in more detail below.

Table 5-8. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA353/354.

Depth	Flakes	Bifaces	Uniface	Cores	Hammerstone	Mano	Tools	Projectile Point	Preforms	FCR
Surface	200+	2	1	12	1	2	9	2	2	200+
0-10	1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10-20	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20-30	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30-40	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40-50	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### Diagnostic Artifact Analysis

A total of two diagnostic artifacts were observed within the expanded site boundary:

The first is a small, stubby projectile point (Figure 5-20) with a triangular blade and random flaking pattern was located on the surface of the deflated ridge among the scatter of debitage, cores and other stone tools in the previously recorded boundary of Site 41TA354 (Figure 5-20).



Figure 5-20. Elam dart point observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA354.

It has a maximum length of 29 millimeters (1.14 inches) with evidence of heavy reshaping at the distal end. Although the barbs have been damaged, the maximum width across the shoulders measures 24 millimeters (0.94 inches). The stem is slightly contracting and measures 9 millimeters (0.35 inches) in length and 14 millimeters (0.55 inches) in width. Based on these attributes, the point most likely

represents an Elam dart point. These have a distribution primarily in North central Texas into east Texas and are dated to the Late Archaic Period (Turner, Hester, and McReynolds 2011).

The second diagnostic observed at the site consists of a small to medium sized (approximately 4-centimeter [1.5-inch]) broad triangular dart point (Figure 5-21) identified on or adjacent to an erosional flowline/rill leading into the existing pipeline ROW.



Figure 5-21. Modified Carrollton or Edgewood type dart point observed on the surface within the original boundary of Site 41TA353.

The point is composed of gray/black waxy (possibly due to heat treatment) chert with fossil inclusions. It has a maximum length of 37.5 millimeters (1.47 inches). The maximum width across the shoulder/body measures 25 millimeters (0.98 inches). The stem is slightly contracting and measures 10 millimeters (0.39 inches) in length and 10 millimeters (0.39 inches) in width. The body has a plano-convex cross section and the stem is slightly contracting.



This point appears to be reworked, missing portions of base and shoulders, but based on general size, flaking, and shape this could be a type dart such as shows affinity with Carrollton or possibly Edgewood dart points dating to the middle archaic to transitional archaic (Turner and Hester 1985 [1999 reprint] page 85).

#### Supplemental Investigation of Feature 1

One concentrated and discreet thermal feature was observed inside the original boundary of site 41TA353. Feature 1 contained approximately 50 FCR in a 1-meter (3.3-foot) diameter concentration within the existing ROW (Figure 5-22). The concentration included a groundstone mano that has been fire cracked. The feature is located within the existing ROW and may represent one of the features mentioned in the original site record. At the request of the USACE, Gray & Pape revisited the site on November 5, 2019 to further investigate the feature in an attempt to discern if any subsurface portion of the feature remains intact.



Figure 5-22. Feature 1: discreet FCR scatter within the existing ROW and previously recorded site limits of 41TA353. View is to the southeast.

Two shovel tests were excavated within the feature and five others were placed around the feature at 5 to 10-meter (16 to 33-foot) intervals (Figure 5-23). Only one test (F1-1), performed at the center of the feature, contained subsurface material: one primary flake located just below the surface. The remaining tests were negative for any cultural materials or deposits suggestive of a thermal feature. Shovel Test F1-1 contained a profile of 2.5YR 5/8 clay and stone with a slight amount of what likely consists of windblown silt at the surface. Soils in and around the feature as indicated by Test F1-1 were very shallow and barely penetrable, reaching at most 20 centimeters (8 inches) through hard clay and rock (Appendix C).

#### Site Summary

The location has been previously disturbed by pipeline installation, and erosion/displacement. While a deflated feature was identified within the existing ROW, shovel testing in and around the feature produced no intact subsurface evidence of thermal activity. Rather, tests displayed a shallow, disturbed, or deflated soil and artifacts appear to be limited to the surface within the location. Of the total of 18 shovel tests conducted within the resource boundary/APE, only one contained cultural materials: a single flake just below surface. Although Feature 1 and two other clusters of FCR display some amount of spatial integrity, the lack of subsurface deposits within the APE and signs of disturbance observed during the current effort suggests the resource overall is not significant within the ROW. The site portion located within the APE does not retain the potential to provide significant research value, such as radiocarbon dating, and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

11/23/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 19-71601.001




Figure 5-23  
Plan view of the investigation of Feature 1 within the  
previously recorded boundary of 41TA353.



- Project Centerline
- ▭ Feature 1
- ▭ Previously Recorded Site West Edge
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- ▭ Erosion Control Berm

### 5.2.2.3 Site 41TA314

#### Background/Previous Work

41TA314 was originally recorded by Horizon in 2013 for the BridgeTex Pipeline ROW survey (Brownlow et al. 2014). The resource consists of a low-density surficial lithic scatter of unknown prehistoric affiliation. The site sits along a gentle slope directly west of Bull Wagon Creek. Horizon investigated the site within the Project ROW by pedestrian survey and six shovel tests. The observed artifact assemblage consisted of two retouched flakes, one secondary flake, and two tertiary flakes, all comprised of chert. No temporally diagnostic formal tools preserved floral or faunal remains, or thermally altered rocks, were noted on the site. All six shovel tests were negative for cultural materials or features. Horizon did not investigate the entire site boundary beyond the ROW; however, based on the lack of temporally diagnostic stone tools, any preserved floral or faunal remains, or stratified, buried cultural deposits within the ROW, Horizon recommended that the portion of site located within the ROW would not contribute to the site's overall NRHP eligibility status. The resource was not recommended for further work and was later determined to be ineligible within the pipeline ROW (THC 2019).

The resource was revisited by TAS in 2015 for the Lone Star Express 24 survey (Burgess and Burgess 2015). As a result, the site size was roughly doubled and expanded to the south-southwest. TAS investigated the site by pedestrian survey and the excavation of six shovel tests within the Project ROW and corresponding expanded site boundary. Observed surface cultural material included a few scattered FCR but no intact thermal features, and about 50 lithics including: a Marcos dart point, utilized flakes, as well as secondary and tertiary flakes. Of the six excavated shovel tests, only one was positive, containing a single flake between 0 and 10 centimeters (0 and 4 inches) below ground surface. Based on the identified Marcos dart point, the resource was considered to be of Late

to Transitional Archaic temporal affiliation. The area has been disturbed by bioturbation and existing pipelines. Two brush piles observed by TAS at the time also suggested brush clearing. As a result of survey, TAS determined the site to be deflated. No further investigations were recommended due to the low potential for subsurface deposits.

#### Current Investigation

Resource 41TA314 was revisited by Gray & Pape on April 10, 2019. The resource is located within Permit Area 9. The APE at the location predominantly measures 40 meters (131 feet) wide with one area of expanded workspace extending to 55 meters (180 feet) (Figure 5-24). The location within the existing ROW is covered by short grasses offering good surface visibility which decreases significantly beyond the ROW to the south (Figure 5-25). The location appears to be primarily used for cattle grazing. The resource consists of a sparse surficial lithic scatter. Surface artifacts observed during the initial survey consist of approximately 20 flakes and one chert core (Table 5-9). These were all located within the expanded site boundary recorded by TAS in 2015, which is now entirely within the existing pipeline ROW (Figure 26). Investigation in April 2019 consisted of pedestrian walkover and nine shovel tests excavated at 30 to 50-meter (100 to 164-foot) intervals: three tests within the site boundary and six tests within the surrounding APE (Figure 5-24).

Soils mapped for the location consist of Tillman clay loam which consist of surface layers of brown (7.5YR 5/3 to 4/3) loam and clay loam (NRCS 2019). A representative shovel test profile placed outside of the existing ROW consisted of brown (7.5YR 4/4) sandy loam to a depth of 25 centimeters (10 inches) followed by compact brown (7.5YR 4/4) clay to a depth of 35 centimeters (14 inches) before hitting extremely hard subsoil clay or bedrock. This profile closely matches soils mapped for the location.






Figure 5-24  
Plan view of Resource 41TA314.



**GRAY & PAPE**  
HERITAGE MANAGEMENT

- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New / Confirmed Site Area
- Previously Recorded Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Field-Delineated Water Feature
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- Yellow hatched area: Eroded Slope

However, within the existing ROW soils were typically much shallower, composed of very compact silty clay, and containing numerous rock fragments and gravels. This was particularly the case in the western portion of the site/APE which is at a higher elevation on the hillside and appears to have experienced more erosion.



Figure 5-25. Location of Site 41TA314. View is to the east.

Table 5-9. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA314.

Depth	Flakes	Cores	FCR
Surface	25	2	12
0-10	1	-	
10-20	-	-	
20-30	-	-	
30-40	-	-	



Figure 5-26. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41TA314.

### Supplemental Investigation

A supplemental survey of the site by Gray & Pape took place on November 5, 2019. During the revisit, approximately 14 primary or secondary stage flakes were observed as well as two cores and two worked uniface. Photographs from the previous survey confirm that several of the artifacts were identified during the site visit in April. One new, potentially diagnostic dart point was observed on the surface during the November revisit. This is discussed further below. All artifacts were composed of a local (likely Edwards) chert of waxy gray to grainy white chert. As well as lithics, about a dozen FCR were also observed spread within the existing ROW but not in any discernable clusters or association with cultural lithics.

Supplemental work in November 2019 resulted in the excavation of an additional 13 shovel tests: six tests within the site boundary and seven within the surrounding APE. One of these tests was in the immediate vicinity of the damaged projectile point. Of all 22 tests placed in and surrounding the site, only one was positive for cultural materials. Shovel Test S2, located at the bottom of the adjacent slope, contained a single primary or secondary flake between the surface and 5 centimeters (2 inches) depth.

### Diagnostic Artifact Analysis

One damaged medium sized corner-notched dart point was observed on the surface of an eroded slope southeast of the previously recorded site boundary (Figure 5-27). The distal end is broken, and one side is heavily damaged at the shoulder with evidence of reworking. The stem is slightly expanding and parallels the blade edge. The tip of the barb is also damaged. Extrapolation of the barb indicates a rather deep notch. It has a maximum length of 47 millimeters (1.85 inches). Although the barbs have been damaged, the maximum width across the shoulders measures 35 millimeters (1.38 inches). The stem (also modified) measures 8 millimeters (0.31 inches) in length and 15 millimeters (0.59 inches) in width.



Damage and modification of this point curtails a clear diagnostic typing. But the deep barb and slightly expanding stem shows affinity to Marshall dart points. Marshall points date to the middle Archaic and are found primarily in central Texas (Turner and Hester 1985 [1999 reprint] page 149-150).




Figure 5-27. Damaged/modified dart point (possible Marshall) observed on an eroded slope southeast of Site 41TA314.

#### Site Summary

The resource likely extends to the north as indicated by the previously recorded site boundary; however, this portion of the site was not re-examined during the current survey effort. The portion of the site located within the APE is largely limited to the existing pipeline corridor and is clearly disturbed. Although what appear to be natural soil profiles were observed within the APE beyond the existing pipeline ROW, tests placed in these areas produced no subsurface cultural materials or features. Of the ten shovel tests conducted within the site boundary/APE only one was positive: a single flake within colluvial material at the base of the slope. The overall lack of subsurface deposits within the APE, lack of diagnostics in good context, and signs of disturbance observed during the current effort suggests the resource is not significant within the current APE. No further work is recommended for the site. The site portion located within the APE does not retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the

National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.2.4 Resource 41CA27

##### Background/Previous Work

Resource 41CA27 was first recorded in 2015 by TAS for the Lone Star Express 24 project (Burgess and Burgess 2015). The resource was originally recorded as a small open camp location of unknown prehistoric affiliations. The site is located on a terrace alongside Mexia Creek approximately 100 meters (328 feet) west of Highway 283. The site was reported to consist of surface finds of two FCR concentrations and approximately 15 mostly tertiary flakes, one of which was of chalcedony. No intact features or more formal artifacts were identified at the site. The site was reported to have been damaged by erosion and adjacent pipeline construction as well as a two-track road which passes through the site. The site was not considered to be eligible for listing on the NRHP. In 2015, the THC determined the site to be ineligible (THC 2019).

##### Current Investigation

The location of Site 41CA27 was revisited by Gray & Pape on April 11, 2019. The location intersects the APE at Permit Area 40. The APE at the location measures 55 meters (180 feet) wide, with approximately 45 meters (148 feet) of that width located within an existing pipeline ROW (Figure 5-28). The northern edge of the APE, and a portion of the previously recorded site boundary, consists of a wide gully and slope, likely the result of flooding from an adjacent fork of Mexia Creek and subsequent erosion or truncation of the landscape as a result of previous pipeline installation. The existing ROW south of the gully is nearly level but also appears disturbed, with a great deal of rock and short grasses on the surface which allowed good surface visibility (Figure 5-29). Surface visibility is decreased beyond the ROW which is covered by longer grasses and scrub brush. Besides having been impacted by the existing pipelines, access roads and also cut through the mapped site location.




Figure 5-28  
Plan view of Resource 41CA27.



**GRAY & PAPE**  
HERITAGE MANAGEMENT

- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New / Confirmed Site Area
- Previously Recorded Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Field-Delineated Water Feature
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- ◆ Surface Find
- Cut Bank Inspection Areas
- Low Area





Figure 5-29. Location of Site 41CA27 showing the east fork of Mexia Creek (background) and portion of the low/eroded north side of the APE (center left). View is to the east.

Investigation of the resource consisted of pedestrian walkover and shovel testing. Gray & Pape observed no cultural materials on the surface during survey. Two shovel tests were placed within the mapped site location, both were negative for cultural materials but did show signs of disturbance. Another four tests were conducted within the ROW south of the site, all of which were negative for cultural materials.

Soils mapped for the location consist of Frio-Gageby association, frequently flooded. Frio soils consists of successive A horizon layers of dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) silty clay, clay loam, and silty clay loam to a depth of 102 centimeters (40 inches) followed by a B horizon of grayish brown (10YR 5/2) silty clay to a depth of 203 centimeters (80 inches) (NRCS 2019). Gageby soils consist of a surface layer (A

horizon) of brown (7.5YR 4/2) clay loam to a depth of 18 centimeters (7 inches). That is followed by a subsurface (A2 horizon) of brown (7.5YR 4/2) sandy clay loam to a depth of 61 centimeters (24 inches). Below that is successive B horizons of yellowish red (5YR 5/6) sandy clay loam to a depth of 203 centimeters (80 inches).

Shovel tests placed within the mapped site boundary consist of mottled brown (7.5YR 4/4) and yellowish red (5YR 5/6) sandy clay loam to a depth of 5 to 10 centimeters (2 to 4 inches) before the density of the soil and rock became impenetrable (Appendix C). This profile suggests soils within the site boundary are deflated and or truncated. Shovel tests located at the southern margins of the existing ROW to the south contained thicker soil deposition, containing a profile of brown (7.5YR 4/4) sandy loam to a depth of 50 centimeters (20 inches) followed by hardpan silty clay and bed rock.

#### Agency Revisit

The site was revisited by Gray & Pape and representatives of the USACE on October 29, 2019. During a walk over, the USACE representative observed approximately 12 FCR in the existing ROW. In addition, one modified flake, one bifacial edge failure flake (Figure 5-30, Table 5-10)), and one mussel shell fragment were identified within the APE but outside the recorded site boundary along a stream profile east of the known 41CA27 boundary (Figure 5-28). Both flakes were composed of local (likely Edwards) chert and were of gray coloration. Based on these finds, the site boundary has been expanded to the east along the edge of the waterway. The original recorders of 41CA27 observed FCR features and based on USACE's observations these features were likely north of the proposed ROW. USACE observed FCR scattered along the surface north of the proposed ROW that may represent FCR features impacted by previous pipelines.



Figure 5-30. Bifacial edge failure flake observed on the surface of the cut bank at Site 41CA27.

Table 5-10. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41CA27.

Depth	Flakes	FCR	Mussel Shell
Surface	2	12	1
0-10	-	-	-
10-20	-	-	-
20-30	-	-	-
30-40	-	-	-

### Supplemental Investigation

As stated above, waterways to either side (west and east) of the previously recorded site boundary were inspected for any cultural materials or buried features (Figure 5-28). A profile for each waterway was recorded to supply additional information on the soils present in the location.

#### Cut Bank Profile 1 – West Waterway

The west waterway was dry at the time of the visit and although it contained a good deal of vegetation along its bank, visibility was good overall (Figure 5-31). A profile of the bank, taken from the east bank, consists of uniform compact 7.5YR 6/4 silty clay loam (Figure 5-32). This profile continued from the surface all

the way to the bottom of the cut, which was approximately 2 meters (6.6 feet). In places where the bank was sharply cut, small sized rock was observed protruding at all levels and especially at the base of the profile. No cultural materials, buried surfaces, features, or potential cultural horizons were observed within the cutbank either at the profile or anywhere along the cut bank within the APE.



Figure 5-31. West fork of Mexia Creek located just west of the previously recorded boundary of Site 41CA27. View is to the east.



Figure 5-32. Cut Bank Profile 1 located in the western fork of Mexia Creek. Profile is located in the east cut bank. View is to the northeast.



### Cut Bank Profile 2 – East Waterway

The east waterway was likewise dry at the time of the visit, containing less vegetation as the banks are more eroded along its bank than to the west. Visibility was very good overall (Figure 5-33). A profile of the bank, taken from the west bank, consists of uniform 7.5YR 6/4 compact silty clay loam. Several small to medium rocks are observed protruding at all levels down to the base of the cut where rock becomes large and increasingly dense. The base of the cut is approximately 2 meters (6.6 feet) at the deepest. One small mussel shell fragment was observed in the profile approximately 35 centimeters (13.78 inches) below surface. As stated above, a one modified flake, one bifacial edge failure flake was also observed nearby in this area. Other than these few artifacts, no cultural materials, buried surfaces, features, or potential cultural horizons were observed within the cutbank either at the profile or anywhere along the cut bank within the APE.



Figure 5-33. Cut Bank Profile 2 located in the eastern fork of Mexia Creek. Profile is located in the west bank. Note mussel shell in center of picture. View is to the west.

### Site Summary

The small portion of previously recorded site located within the APE appears to have been impacted by previous pipelines and erosion as evidenced by a low washed out area and truncated adjacent landscape. The area inside the APE south of the site is relatively small and likewise impacted. Inspection of the surface within the previously recorded site boundary within the APE produced no cultural materials aside from a few scattered FCR within the low pipeline corridor and adjacent slope. Shovel tests conducted within and outside the site boundary produced no cultural materials. Inspection of the cut banks of two forks of Mexia Creek produced only a few artifacts out of context. Soil deposits suggest deflated or truncated soils within the existing ROW due to previous pipeline installations. Soils observed in the cut bank showed no evidence of buried surfaces or cultural horizons. The bulk of the resource is likely to the north of the APE as observed during the revisit by the USACE. Any portions of the site located along the previously installed pipelines are likely destroyed. No further work is recommended for the location. No attempt was made to investigate outside of the APE to the north during the current effort.

### 5.2.3 Newly Identified Resources within Jurisdictional Areas

Six new resources were identified as a result of survey within jurisdictional permit areas in Loop 2. These are described below in order of appearance on the alignment from west to east.

#### 5.2.3.1 Resource 41TA396

Resource 41TA396 was identified by Horizon on April 10, 2019. The resource consists of a mid-twentieth century trash dump and sparse prehistoric lithic scatter. The resource is located in Permit Area 3, approximately 0.5 kilometers (0.3 miles) north of CR 386 and 0.9 kilometers (0.6 miles) west of CR 349. The location is on a moderate slope between an upper and lower terrace roughly 65 meters (213 feet) east of a wetland and around the northern perimeter of a



man-made pond. The site is nearly entirely located within the existing pipeline corridor covered by short grasses offering good surface visibility (Figure 5-34). The landscape drops off significantly to the south of the existing ROW (Figure 5-35). The APE at the location measures 40 meters (131 feet) wide, with approximately 30 meters (100 feet) of that distance within an existing pipeline ROW. The resource boundary within the corridor measures approximately 50 meters (164 feet) east-west by 40 meters (131 feet) north-south. The location also appears to be bisected by a two-track road.



Figure 5-34. Location of Site 41TA396. View is to the southeast.

Investigation of the APE consisted of pedestrian survey and the excavation of shovel tests spaced between 15 and 20 meters (49 and 66 feet) (Figure 5-35). Historic materials observed on the surface within the resource include at least

five ceramic fragments, 10 glass shards (Figure 5-36), 10 brick fragments, and 10+ metal and construction debris. Observed prehistoric materials include at least ten flakes of mostly mid to late stage, one biface, and one projectile point (Table 5-11, Figure 5-37). A total of six shovel tests were conducted at the location: five within and one beyond the west edge of the site boundary.

#### Supplemental Investigation

A supplemental visit of the site by Gray & Pape took place on November 5, 2019 to further delineate the site and collect diagnostic information of the historic artifacts. Gray & Pape observed approximately 150+ glass fragments representative of multiple vessels, a more limited number of ceramic fragments (25+), 1 brick fragment and approximately 10 metal fragments. In addition to the historic artifacts, approximately 12 flakes were observed. These consisted of secondary and tertiary stage and were all composed of local (Edwards or similar material) chert of gray or tan coloration. Three additional shovel tests were conducted within at the location: two within and one beyond the east edge of the site boundary. Further, areas of project corridor to the east and west of the site were graded at the time of supplemental work. Grading penetrated approximately 15 to 20 centimeters (6 to 8 inches) below surface (Figure 5-38). These areas were inspected for additional materials or features. None were observed.

Table 5-11. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA396.

Depth	Flakes	Bifaces	Projectile Point	Historic Ceramics	Glass	Metal Debris	Brick Fragments
Surface	20+	1	1	30+	150+	25+	10
0-10	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
10-20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20-30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30-40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40-50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

11/23/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 19-71601.001




Figure 5-35  
Plan view of Resource 41TA396.



- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- ▨ Slope
- ▨ Drop Off





Figure 5-36. Sample of glass styles and colors observed at Site 41TA396.



Figure 5-37. Representative prehistoric materials identified on the surface within Resource 41TA396.



Figure 5-38. Graded section of pipeline workspace located east of Site 41TA396. View is to the south.

Between the two site visits, a total of nine shovel tests were excavated at the location: eight within the site and one beyond the west edge of the boundary. Soils mapped for the location include Clairemont silty clay loam and Pitzer-Weymouth complex (NRCS 2019). A typical shovel profile within the resource consisted of red (2.5YR 4/6) clay subsoil to a depth of 40 centimeters (16 inches) (Appendix C). This profile was confirmed by what was observed in the graded sections of pipeline workspace located beyond the site. The soil was extremely compact at the location and contained numerous calcium carbonate concretions. Of eight shovel tests conducted within the scatter, only one was positive for buried cultural material. This test produced a single chert flake between 0 and 10 centimeters (4 inches) below the ground surface. This test is located at the bottom of the slope and is likely colluvial. The resource does not likely continue beyond the ROW to the north and south due to existing disturbances, however, a corral located approximately 50 meters (164 feet) north of the ROW may be a source for some of the construction debris.

### Diagnostic Artifact Analysis

Historic artifacts date to the mid to late twentieth century as evidenced by color, seams, finish, and makers marks. Among the assemblage are artifacts that include aqua, green, clear, cobalt, opaque white, and brown colored glass (Figure 5-36), ferrous metal and can fragments and ceramics that include a few fragments of whiteware, or ironstone, hand painted whiteware, and porcelain. Overall, the majority of the artifacts largely post-date 1915 and extend to into the mid-twentieth century. Specific diagnostic examples include a fluted or paneled colorless possible twentieth century soda bottle; likely cosmetic jar with a continuous threaded finish (usually machine-made and 20th century); standardized machine-made glass jar with thread finish (after 1930s per Deiss 1981:95; Leif 1965:29) (Figure 5-40), machine-made bottle with a crown finish (after 1915) (Figure 5-41).



Figure 5-39. Clear glass jar with continuous thread finish.



Figure 5-40. Crown finish on beverage bottle.

The lone prehistoric diagnostic artifact consists of a projectile point with the following characteristics. It measures 39 millimeters (1.5 inches) long overall (after resharpener). It consists of a triangular to ovoid blade, with a random flaking pattern. The barbs span a total of 35 millimeters (1.38 inches) with one barb longer than the other and appears to have been re-sharpened with recurvate edges. The stem is slightly expanding, measuring 12 millimeters (0.47 inches) long by 21 millimeters (0.83 inches) wide. The base of the stem appears to be thinned and ground with a slightly convex

edge. Based on these attributes, the point mostly likely represents a Marshall dart point. These have a distribution primarily in central Texas, contemporary with Pedernales, dated to the Late Middle Archaic (Turner, Hester, and McReynolds 2011).



Figure 5-41. Probable Marshall dart point identified on the surface within resource 41TA396.

#### Archival Research

Archival research of the property was undertaken to further investigate the historic component of the resource. A limited title search was conducted by Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP by the Taylor County Clerk's Office (Table 5-12). The property is located at 2843 FM 1085 in Taylor County. The current parcel (Property ID 69643) is part of Abstract A-375 and contains 397 acres in Section 61, Block 19, Texas & Pacific Railway Company (T&P RY CO) Survey. The current owner, Janet A Whisenhunt also owns the 160-acre parcel to the north in Abstract A-1251, Section 52, Block 19 of the T&P RY CO Survey. Transactions prior to 1980

Table 5-12. Deed Research Results for 41TA396.

Grantee	Grantor	Grantor Sell Date	Description Type; Deed Volume/Page	Additional Information
Sunoco Pipeline, LP	Dink and Jan Whisenhunt	3/10/2014	Permanent Easement Agreement	397 acres Sec. 61, BLK 19, T&P Ry Co Survey, A-375; 40 ft for one pipeline
George D. Jones, Trustee	Dink and Jan Whisenhunt	1/4/1999	Deed of Trust; 2379/507	557 acres, Sec 61, Blk 19, T&P Ry Co Survey;
The Public	Dink and Jan Whisenhunt	3/29/1996	Homestead Affidavit and Designation	Part of 557 acres, Sec 61 & 52, Blk 19, T&P Ry Co Survey
Dink and Jan Whisenhunt	R.W. McDonnell	12/31/1992	Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien; 1897/548	557 acres, Sec 61 & 52, Blk 19, T&P Ry Co Survey
R.W. McDonnell	Hardin-Simmons University, a corporation	5/28/1992	Warranty Deed; 1853/244	1816.7 acres being all Sec 60 & parts of Secs 65, 61, 52 and 49, Blk 19, T&P Ry Co Survey
Hardin-Simmons University, a corporation	First National Bank of Abilene, Texas, Independent Executor of the Estate of William Arch Daniel, deceased	9/1/1983	Correction Warranty Deed; 1312/360	395 acres 61 Blk 19, T&P Ry Co Survey; Abst 375, 160 acres and Sec 52 Blk 19, T&P Ry Co Survey – corrects deed dated 4/15/1980

were not located by the County Clerk's Office or online. Historical maps and aerials were reviewed for additional information. According to the General Land Office (GLO) records, Abstract 375 was surveyed by the T&P Ry Co and assigned on August 27, 1874 (GLO 2019). A 1934 atlas of Taylor County identifies Section 61 of Block 19 of the T&P RR lands as unassigned. A 1940 map shows that the section was split into five different parcels. The boundaries for site 41TA396 are located within the western half, which was divided into two parcels, the northwest labeled Humble and the southwest labeled as --J Adcock, Merkel. The first initial of the owner is obscured by the Gulf, Colorado, and Santa Fe Railroad symbol. The Adcock family was rather large and consisted of several individuals with J as a middle initial. Ransom Jefferson Adcock was born on May 18, 1846 in Holly Springs, Mississippi and moved to Texas by 1880, where he was listed on the census in Navarro as a farmer (Ancestry 2019a, Find-a-Grave 2019a). He was married to

Dorinda Anne Brown, who died in 1907 in Merkel, Texas. They had only one child, a daughter named Belle. However, Ransom had approximately six siblings, and at least one of them, Robert Alexander Adcock, also moved to Texas, but he lived in Gustine, Comanche County, Texas. Robert was married twice to Martha Ellen Brown Adcock (1855-1889) and to Elizabeth Louise Roberts Adcock (1871-1912) (Find-a-Grave 2019b). Robert had four children with his first wife, including son, Oscar Johnson Adcock (1880-1967), and eight with his second wife, including Ransom Jefferson Adcock (1898-1979). Ransom was born and died in Gustine, Comanche County, Texas; however, Oscar, while born in Navarro, Texas, died in Merkel in 1967. Oscar married Cora Lee Bankhead Adcock and has four children, including Oscar Johnson, Jr on April 21, 1916 in Merkel, Texas (Find-a-Grave 2019c). Oscar, Jr. married his wife, Loraine J. McGaughy Adcock, in 1938 and they moved to Fort Worth (Find-a-Grave 2019d). Oscar, Sr. was listed on



the 1910 census as a rural route mail clerk in Merkel and on the 1930 census as the postmaster of the Merkel Post Office (Ancestry 2019b, 2019c). Based on his age and residence, it is most likely that Oscar, Sr. was the owner of the Section 61 southwest corner in 1940. The exact transaction date and which Adcock purchased the southwest portion of Section 61 is unknown at this time. Historic maps and aeriels reveal that no houses or buildings were located within the boundaries of 41TA396 (Table 5-13).

A chain of ownership extending back to 1980 was provided by Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP for the property on which site 41TA396 is located (Appendix D). According to the deeds, William Arch Daniel was the earliest known owner and his estate was sold by the First National Bank of Abilene in 1980. William Arch Daniel, known as Arch, was born in Wood County on January 31, 1891. He was a rancher, farmer, and business owner who operated Daniel Wholesale Auto Parts in Abilene. Arch served in World War I and married to Nina Pearl Morrison on September 24, 1924. He was also a member of the First Baptist Church since 1928, Masonic Lodge No. 195 RAM, Knights Templar Council

No. 10, a member of the Farm Bureau, and patron of the Order of Eastern Star, Cora Posey Chapter No. 1072 (Find-a-Grave 2019e).

Additional deeds were not found for this property during the search and a search of online records yielded the same result. A search of the Handbook of Texas Online for the names of past landowners reveals that no persons of historical significance are associated with this site. Additionally, no historically significant events are known to have occurred at the location of site 41TA396 or in association with any persons listed on the chain of title.

### Site Summary

Aside from one flake discovered in what is likely a colluvial context, all of the identified materials were identified on the surface. The resource appears to have experienced heavy disturbance and deflation due to previous impacts, including the existing pipeline corridor, two-track road, and man-made pond. The location appears to be a dumping ground for historic and modern material associated with the adjacent ranchland and agricultural fields.

Table 5-13. Historical maps and aeriels for 41TA396.

Type	Date	Notes
GLO Map	1859	Land unassigned – not shown on GLO map
GLO Map	1879	T&P RR, Block 19, Sec 61 unassigned to an individual but shown on map
GLO Map	1883	T&P RR, Block 19, Sec 61 unassigned to an individual but shown on map – “S. 14523 ptd” labeled within Sec. 61
Sweetwater Quad Topo Map	1893	Drainage to the north of the site; no buildings within or adjacent to site
Soil Survey Map	1922	Site located between T&P RR through Merkel to north and Gulf, Colorado, and Santa Fe Railroad to south – not within site boundaries
Atlas Map	1934	T&P RR, Block 19, Sec 61 unassigned to an individual but shown on map
Atlas Map	1940	Sec. 61, Block 19 split into 5 parcels; NW quarter – Humble, 7-5-33; NE – FC unknown, 1-5-31; NE – Hurley; SW – J Adcock, Merkel; SE – C. Cormes, Merkel
Merkel Quad Topo Map	1957	FM 1085 and 386 visible, pipeline shown through site, pond to south; no buildings within or adjacent to site boundaries
Atlas Map	1972	no buildings shown within or adjacent to site boundaries; bridge on FM 1085 to west of site
Atlas Map	1982	no buildings shown within or adjacent to site boundaries; bridge on FM 1085 to west of site
Aerial Photo	1996	No buildings or structures; pond visible to the south of the site; cultivated cropland with plow marks to northeast and southwest; site is vegetated with possible cleared area or road in northeast corner of site boundaries

The prehistoric materials likewise are most likely out of context. Other than the lone projectile point found at the location, the relatively recent date and commonality of the historic materials, shallow soils, lack of features, and lack of associated historically significant persons or events identified during the current effort suggests the resource within the ROW is not significant. No further work is recommended for the location. The site portion located within the APE does not retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.3.2 Resource 41TA397

Resource 41TA397 was identified by Horizon on April 15, 2019. The resource consists of a historic to modern trash dump and sparse surficial artifact scatter of mid-twentieth century domestic debris. The resource is located in Permit Area 5, approximately 7 meters (23 feet) north of CR 393 and 250 meters (831 feet) east of CR 126, west of a drainage associated with a man-made pond/catch basin (Figure 5-42 and Figure 5-42). The APE at the location measures between 24 to 55 meters (79 to 180 feet). The location at the time consisted of a slightly fallow wheat field so surface visibility was 100 percent (Figure 5-44). Observed surface materials include a sparse (50 or less) number clear bottle glass (including neck), brown glass, milk glass, whiteware ceramic, and unidentifiable metal fragments.

The scatter of items is lightly concentrated in the southern portion of the site near the road with fewer and fewer artifacts observed moving outward from there until they become outliers. No intact structures such as foundations were identified. The resource boundary within the APE measures approximately 85 meters (278.87 feet) east-west by 50 meters (164.04 feet) north-south. Six shovel tests were conducted within the densest area of the scatter, of which only one was positive for buried cultural material, consisting of a single whiteware fragment discovered in the top 10

centimeters (4 inches) below surface within the plow zone.

#### Supplemental Investigation

A supplemental visit of the site by Gray & Pape took place on November 5, 2019 to collect diagnostic information of the historic artifacts.



Figure 5-42. Conditions at Site 41TA397 in April 2019. View is to the east.

The materials present were very sparse and amounted to approximately a dozen glass fragments representative of multiple vessels, 10+ ceramic fragments, 1 ceramic tile fragment, and one metal harness buckle or industrial chain link (Figure (5-44) were observed on the surface (Table 5-14). Areas of project workspace located to the northwest of the site were graded at the time of supplemental work. Grading penetrated approximately 15 to 20 centimeters (6 to 8 inches) below surface. This area was inspected for additional materials or features. None were observed.

Soils mapped for the location consist of Sagerton clay loam and Rotan clay loam (NRCS 2019). A typical shovel test profile within the resource/APE consisted of a surface layer of yellowish red (5YR 4/6) silt to a depth of 10 centimeters (3.94 inches) followed by reddish brown (5YR 4/4) cemented subsoil clay to a depth of 25 centimeters (10 inches) (Appendix C). This profile suggests the soils may be truncated or deflated.




Figure 5-43  
Plan view of Resource 41TA397.



- Project Centerline
- ▭ Survey Corridor / APE
- ▭ New Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- ▨ Field-Delineated Water Feature
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test



Observation of the adjacent graded portions of the workspace confirm the truncated or deflated conditions.



Figure 5-44. Harness buckle or industrial chain link.

Table 5-14. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA397.

Depth	Glass	Historic Ceramics	Metal
Surface	12+	10+	1
0-10	-	-	-
10-20	-	-	-
20-30	-	-	-
30-40	-	-	-
40-50	-	-	-

### Diagnostic Artifact Analysis

Historic artifacts observed at Site 41TA397 date to the mid to late 20th century as evidenced by color, seams, finish, and makers marks. Among the assemblage are artifacts that include clear and opaque white, and brown colored glass. Ceramics include a few fragments of whiteware, or ironstone, and one stoneware fragment. Overall, the majority of the artifacts largely post-date 1915 and extend to into the mid-twentieth century. Specific diagnostic examples include an embossed Hazel-Atlas maker's mark (1920-1964) (Figure 5-45) (Toulouse 1971: pg239) and a fragment of stoneware exhibiting a Bristol glaze (1920+) (Figure 5-46) (Greer 1999).




Figure 5-45. Glass bottle base with Hazel-Atlas maker's mark.



Figure 5-46. Stoneware with Bristol glaze.

### Archival Research

Archival research of the property was undertaken. A limited title search was conducted by Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP by the Taylor County Clerk's Office. The property is located on FM 126 in Taylor County (Table 5-15). The current parcel (Property ID 28857) is part of Abstract A-751 and contains 160 acres (more or less) in the NW quarter of Section 6, Block 18, Texas & Pacific (T&P) Railway Company Surveys. Transactions prior to 1931 were not located by the County Clerk's Office or online. Historic maps and arials were reviewed for additional information. A 1934 atlas of Taylor County identifies Section 6 of

Block 18 of the T&P RR lands as assigned to Theodore Heyck. According to the General Land Office (GLO) records, Heyck purchased 640 acres on April 7, 1893 (GLO 2019). The 1900 census records have Theodore Heyck in Abilene Ward 3, who was born in Jun 1825 in Germany (Ancestry 2019a). He immigrated to the US in 1852 and was not naturalized. His wife, Sophie, is recorded to have been born at sea in 1843 to German parents. His daughter, Annie, was born in 1876 in Texas. An earlier 1870 census shows that Heyck lived in Lavaca, Calhoun County with his wife, Sophie, son Adolph (3), and son, Theodore (1) (Ancestry 2019b). He was listed as a commission broker. His son, Theodore Jr., stayed in the area and married Francis Giraud Heyck in Harris County, Texas, where he died and was buried in 1925 (Find-a-Grave 2019a).

A 1940 map identifies the northwest quarter of Section 6, Block 18 as owned by G.R. Holloway and has a date of 5/7/31, which likely indicates that Holloway purchased the land in May of 1931 or was the listed owner of that property in May 1931. George R. Holloway was born in 1858 in Tennessee and died in 1933 in Merkel, Texas (Find-a-Grave 2019b). His wife, Virginia, was listed on the 1910 census as VT and born in Mississippi (Ancestry 2019c). They had six children ranging from 3 to 18 years old at home

in 1910. Holloway was listed as a cattle and horse stockman and was self-employed in Merkel.

The exact transaction date and entity that Holloway purchased the approximately 160-acre property from is unknown at this time. A chain of ownership extending back to 1931 was provided by Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP for the property on which site 41TA397 is located (Appendix E).

Additional deeds were not found for this property during the search and a search of online records yielded the same result. Historic maps and aerials reveal that no houses or buildings were located within the boundaries of 41TA397 (Table 5-16). A topographic map dating to 1957 shows a structure located approximately 250 meters (820 feet) to the west, along CR 126 (NETR 2019). The structure is no longer present on maps dating after 1958 but two outbuildings are present until 1982. A search of the Handbook of Texas Online for the names of past landowners reveals that no persons of historical significance are associated with this site. Additionally, no historically significant events are known to have occurred at the location of site 41TA397 or in association with any persons listed on the chain of title.

Table 5-15. Deed Research Results for 41TA397.

Grantee	Grantor	Grantor Sell Date	Description Type; Deed Volume/Page	Additional Information
Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP	Sheri Shipman	2/7/2019	Right-of-Way Agreement	
Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP	Sheri Shipman	3/23/2015	Permanent Easement Agreement	
Sheri Shipman	Estate of Goldia L. Malone, deceased	5/21/1999	Probate; 389/739	Not listed in inventory as decedent had previously deeded out subject property; several warranty deeds from 1993-1999
Sheri Shipman	Goldia Malone	3/22/1993	Durable Power of Attorney; 1913/736	



Grantee	Grantor	Grantor Sell Date	Description Type; Deed Volume/Page	Additional Information
Goldia Malone, as Trustee of Goldia Malone Revocable Living Trust with Life Estate reserved for Grantor	Goldia Malone	3/1/1993	Quit Claim; 1913/733	
Gulf Refining Company	Goldia Malone, widow, Independent Executrix of Estate of Bob Malone, deceased	9/7/1951	Right-of-Way; 434/214	60 ft. pipeline
Goldia Malone	Estate of Bob Malone, deceased	12/1/1947	Probate	NW quarter, Sec 6, Block 18, T&P RR Co Land
Bob Malone	T.O. Massey, guardian to Lila Mae and J.H. Lowery	3/7/1944	Order Confirming Real Estate; 322/676	Cause 1538; sold Lila and J.H. Lowery's 1/5 interest in NW quarter, Sec 6, Block 18, T&P RR Co Land
T.O. Massey, guardian to Lila Mae and J.H. Lowery	Nora L. Pruitt	11/26/1943	Resignation of Guardianship	Mother, Nora Pruitt resigns as her children's guardian; Jones County Court appoints T.O. Massey
Bob Malone	Nora Pruitt & husband OD Pruitt; Opal Lowery Primrose & husband, E.E. Primrose; George G. Lowery & wife, Mabel Lowery; Ruth Lowery Shore & husband, R.E. Shore	9/27/1943	Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien	Sold 4/5 interest in NW quarter, Sec 6, Block 18, T&P RR Co Land
Nora Pruitt, guardian for Opal Lowery (16), J.H. Lowery (13), and Lila Mae Lowery (9)	Cause No. 1538 – Guardianship of minors, Opal, J.H., and Lila Mae Lowery – County Court of Jones County	9/13/1937	Application for Guardianship	Nora L. Pruitt – widow of G.C. Lowery (deceased 5/5/1938, father of children); other children include Ruth and George Lowery (not minors), each child had 1/10 interest and widow had 1/2 interest in land
G.C. Lowery	G.R. and Virginia Holloway	10/2/1931	Warranty Deed; 237/412	160 acres; NW quarter, Sec 6, Block 18, T&P RR Co Land

Table 5-16. Historical Maps and Aerials for 41TA397.

Type	Date	Notes
GLO Map	1859	Land unassigned – not shown on GLO map
GLO Map	1879	T&P RR, Block 18, Sec 6, unassigned to an individual but shown on map
GLO Map	1883	T&P RR, Block 18, Sec 6, unassigned to an individual but shown on map – “F-3647 ptd” labeled within Sec.
Sweetwater Quad Topo Map	1893	Road to the north of site, drainage to the southwest; no buildings within or adjacent to site
Soil Survey Map	1922	Site located between T&P RR through Merkel to north and Gulf, Colorado, and Santa Fe Railroad to south – not within site boundaries, FM 126 partially visible to west of site; no buildings or activities within site shown

Type	Date	Notes
Atlas Map	1934	Sec. 6, Block 18 assigned to Theo. Heyck, 3647
Atlas Map	1940	NW quarter of Sec. 6, Block 18 assigned to G.C. Holloway (Merkel), Humble 5/7/31; north-south creek/drainage visible through middle of NW quarter
Merkel Quad Topo Map	1957	FM 126 and 393 visible, pipeline shown through site, possible building or structure to north of pipeline – unknown; building at northeast intersection of FM 126 & 393 – not within site boundaries
Atlas Map	1972	Possible driveway to structure – not shown in legend; no buildings shown
Atlas Map	1982	Possible driveway to structure – not shown in legend; no buildings shown
Aerial Photo	1996	No buildings or structures; pond visible to the northeast of the site; surrounding land is visible as cultivated cropland with plow marks

### Site Summary

The size of the scatter was not pursued beyond the limits of the APE. However, inspection of graded areas northwest of the site confirms no artifacts are located beyond the site limits within the APE. Within the APE, Resource 41TA397 is characterized by a sparsity of historic artifacts and truncated or deflated soils, which suggests the resource is not significant. Aside from one glass fragment located within 10 centimeters (4 inches) below surface within the plow zone, no other artifacts were obtained from shovel tests. In addition to being disturbed by plowing, the site is located near the road where material is often pushed and/or dropped as vehicles turn and is likely removed from its original location on the property. No further work is recommended for the location. The site portion located within the APE does not retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.3.3 Resource 41TA398

Resource 41TA398 was identified by Horizon on April 11, 2019. The resource consists of a sparse surface scatter of lithic artifacts. The resource is located in Permit Area 12 on a relatively flat dissected upland approximately 100 meters (328 feet) south of CR 311 and 800 meters (0.5 miles) east of CR 1235, east of an ephemeral drainage and west of an unnamed tributary of Little Elm Creek (Figures 5-47 and 5-48). The APE at the location measures 40

meters (131 feet) wide, with approximately 30 meters (100 feet) of that amount within an existing pipeline corridor. The location at the time of survey consisted of a recently plowed field (Figure 5-34).



Figure 5-47. Location of Site 41TA398. View is to the east.

Surface materials observed by Horizon within the APE consisted 10+ flakes, four bifaces, one uniface, one utilized flake, and one scraper (Figures 5-49 and 5-50, Table 5-17). Flakes represented all stages, but most were primary or secondary and still displayed cortex. All materials were from created local chert (likely Edwards) and were gray to tan in color. Horizon noted that most of the materials were observed in the eastern half of the site. No diagnostic artifacts were identified. Horizon conducted 10 shovel tests within the scatter spaced roughly 30 meters (100 feet) apart, all of which were negative for buried cultural materials.




Figure 5-48  
Plan view of Resource 41TA398.



- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Field-Delineated Water Feature
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- ▨ Low Area





Figure 5-49. Representative flakes identified on the surface within Resource 41TA398.



Figure 5-50. Representative bifaces identified on the surface within Resource 41TA398.

On April 17, 2019, the site was revisited by Gray & Pape in an attempt to identify the site limits beyond the APE to the north and south. Investigation of the resource consisted primarily of pedestrian walkover and shovel tests on a

judgmental basis outside the visual limits of the scatter. Gray & Pape observed an additional biface, six cores, and 24 flakes located outside of the APE to the north and south (Table 5-17). Gray & Pape conducted an additional six shovel tests within the site boundary/APE. All were negative for cultural materials. Gray & Pape also conducted four shovel tests to the north and south of the surface scatter outside of the APE. These were also negative for buried cultural materials. Of the 16 total shovel tests conducted within the limits of the scatter, none were positive for buried cultural materials.

The resultant boundary measures 752 meters (0.5 miles) northwest-southeast by 270 meters (886 feet) northeast-southwest with the extent of the resource extending a short distance beyond the ROW to the north and south. Soils mapped for the location consist of Tillman clay loam and Sagerton clay loam (NRCS 2019). These soils typically contain a shallow (18 centimeters [ 7 inches]) A horizon of brown (7.5YR 4/2, 4/3, 5/3 loam and clay loam. A typical shovel profile within the resource/APE consists of a surface layer of reddish brown (2.5YR 4/4) to yellowish red (5YR 4/6) silty clay loam to a depth of 10 to 30 centimeters (4 to 12 inches) followed by compact red (2.5YR 5/6) subsoil clay (Appendix C). This appears to represent the lower B or C horizon subsoils of the Sagerton or Tillman soils series. Some shovel tests contained bedrock underlying the clay at a depth of 30 centimeters (12 inches). This profile suggests the original surface of the location have been severely truncated.

Table 5-17. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA398.

Depth	Flakes	Utilized flake	Bifaces	Unifaces	Cores	Scraper
Surface	34+	1	5	1	6	1
0-10	-	-	-	-	-	-
10-20	-	-	-	-	-	-
20-30	-	-	-	-	-	-
30-40	-	-	-	-	-	-
40-50	-	-	-	-	-	-



### Site Summary

Resource 41TA398 is characterized by a number of surface artifacts that have likely been redeposited due to land modification and agriculture. No diagnostic materials were identified during survey. The soils at the location appear to be deflated/truncated due to agriculture and erosion. This combined with the lack of subsurface artifacts suggests the resource is not significant. No further work is recommended for the location. The site does not appear to retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.3.4 Resource 41TA399

Resource 41TA399 was identified by Horizon on April 12, 2019. The resource consists of a surficial historic scatter and trash dump dating to the 1930s to 1950s. The resource is located in Permit Area 24 approximately 436 meters (0.3 miles) west of CR 106, on a very level ridgeline east of an intermittent drainage which flows into Cedar Creek (Figure 5-51). The APE at the location measures 55 meters (180 feet) wide. The location is sparsely wooded with several small push piles of brush and contains short grasses offering good surface visibility (Figure 5-51). The area appears to be used for cattle grazing as evidenced by many worn cattle paths through the location. The resource boundary measures approximately 120 meters (393.7 feet) east-west by 40 meters (131.23 feet) north-south.

Investigation of the resource consisted of pedestrian walkover and shovel tests excavated at between 10 and 30-meter (33 and 100-foot) intervals within the site (Figure 5-352). Observed materials include hundreds of fragments of glass, ceramics, metal, and other materials and a concentration of red brick (Table 5-18). These are discussed more below. Of the six shovel tests conducted within the resource/APE, none were positive for buried cultural materials.



Figure 5-51. Location of Site 41TA399. View is to the north.

### Supplemental Investigation

Gray & Pape revisited the site on November 5, 2019 to perform additional delineation, map artifact concentrations, and collect additional information on diagnostic artifacts. Gray & Pape observed that the eastern portion of the site to the east contains a few metal items associated with cattle such as a feed trough, and associated farm implementation and downed barbed wire fencing. Many of the site's artifacts are located in the western edge and specifically contained within two concentrations (Figure 5-52). A total of nine supplemental shovel tests were conducted within the site boundary/APE to complete delineation. Five of those tests were conducted as part of investigation of two concentrations of artifacts. These are described in more detail below. In addition to the supplemental shovel tests, a cut bank along the waterway to the west was inspected for deeper materials or features.

The first concentration observed is a scatter of pressed bricks, stamped "ABILENE" (Figures 5-53 and 5-54). The concentration measures approximately 6 meters (20 feet) long by roughly 6.5 meters (21 feet) wide or 38 square meters (409 square feet). The concentration is located at the edge of the upland, just before a drop to a lower terrace. The brick is primarily on the surface although some have sunk into the

11/24/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 19-71601.001



Figure 5-52  
Plan view of Resource 41TA399.



- |                                  |                        |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| — Project Centerline             | ◆ Surface Find         |
| — Survey Corridor / APE          | ⊕ Positive Shovel Test |
| — New Site Area                  | ○ Negative Shovel Test |
| — Existing Pipelines             | — Cut Bank Inspection  |
| — Field-Delineated Water Feature | — Slope/Drop Off       |
| — Contour                        | — Low Area             |



ground slightly or have been trampled in by cattle. There are no other materials within the concentration that appear to be directly associated with the brick. Four shovel tests were placed in the area of the brick: one in the center (S1) and 3 around the perimeter (tests S2, S3, and 122). None were positive for additional materials below surface. Based on this, the brick does not appear to represent an in-situ feature.



Figure 5-53. Brick scatter within Resource 41TA399. View is to the north.



Figure 5-54. Red brick stamped "ABILENE."

The second concentration is composed of hundreds of glass, metal, and ceramic fragments spread over a narrow low terrace at the west edge of the site. The concentration measures approximately 25 meters (82 feet) long and 9 meters (29.5 feet) or approximately 217 square meters (259.5 square yards). The terrace is highly deflated with subsoil, gravels, and rock on the surface amidst the historic

materials. A single shovel test was attempted within the scatter but was terminated after only 8 centimeters (3 inches) by the density and hardness of the soil. A total of 15 shovel tests were excavated as part of site delineation. None contained buried cultural materials. No testing was conducted outside of the site boundary to the east. This area is a sparsely vegetated fallow ag field which shows clear signs of subsoil at the surface. The centerline where it passes the site is partially overlapped by a rutted access road. The road ruts were inspected for materials and shovel tests were placed along the centerline where it passes the site, but this area was highly deflated and eroded. Tests immediately contacted compact subsoil.

Table 5-18. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41TA399.

Depth	Glass	Historic Ceramics	Brick	Metal
Surface	400+	100+	30	25
0-10	-	-	-	-
10-20	-	-	-	-
20-30	-	-	-	-
30-40	-	-	-	-
40-50	-	-	-	-

Soils mapped for the location consist of Colorado soils and Sagerton clay loam which generally have a surface layer of brown (7.5YR 4/2) clay loam or light reddish brown (5YR 6/3) loam (NRCS 2019). A typical shovel profile within the resource/APE consists of heavily compacted red to strong brown (2.5YR 4/6 to 7.5YR 5/6) clay to a maximum depth of 30 centimeters (12 inches) followed by bedrock (Appendix C). This soil profile was verified in the cut bank west of the site, which contained a uniform 7.5YR 5/6 clay to a depth of 1 meter (39 inches). This profile suggests a B horizon of the Sagerton soil series.

#### Diagnostic Artifact Analysis

Historic artifacts observed at Site 41TA399 date to the mid to late 20th century as evidenced by color, seams, finish, and makers marks. Among

the assemblage are artifacts that include clear, brown, green, blue, and purple bottle glass, soda bottle base and neck fragments, milk glass jars, whiteware and other ceramics, vulcanized rubber fragments, an embossed white metal plate, a notched aluminum strip, metal can fragments, a drawer pull, a carbon rod from a battery, galvanized metal and aluminum fragments, and a concentration of red brick.

While there were many styles of brick made by the Abilene Pressed Company (renamed Abilene Brick Company in 1936) the style of Abilene brick observed at the site is modern and likely dates post WWII. The plant was in business until 1980 (Arrick/Orrick Genealogy 2019; Newspapers.com 2019). Other diagnostic artifacts are detailed in Table 5-19 and Figures F-55 to 5-62 below.

Table 5-19. Diagnostic historic artifacts observed at Site 41TA399.

Figure	Artifact	Comments
5-55	Clear bottle with the Owens-Illinois Glass base maker's mark	Maker's mark dates between 1929 -1954 (Toulouse, Julian Harrison; 1971 p403). Applied label dates to post-1935 (Deiss 1981:95).
5-56	Glass cosmetic jar, press molded, opaque white with continuous threaded finish, external	Continuous thread date to post 1919 (Deiss 1981:95; Leif 1965:29). Likely 1950s (Lindsey 2019).
5-57	Glass bottle, amber with embossed lettering and continuous thread finish	Listerine was prescription only until 1914. This bottle post-dates 1914 (Lindsey 2019).
5-58	Earthenware, Fiestaware, yellow	First manufactured by The Homer Laughlin China Company in 1936. (Huxford and Huxford 1984) ( <a href="https://fiestafactorydirect.com/pages/our-history">https://fiestafactorydirect.com/pages/our-history</a> 2019).
5-59	Glass bottle, amber with maker's mark	Maker's mark D9 68 50 DES PAT. 128624. Patent filed by E. L. Du Pree of Chappaqua, NY on May 14, 1941. Patented Aug 5, 1941.
5-60	Glass cosmetic jar, press molded, opaque white with maker's mark	Maker's mark: MENTHOLATED 12 REG TRADE MARK. Yucca Company founded in 1889, Mentholated first produced in December 1894. Jar likely dates to the 20 <sup>th</sup> century.
5-61	Furniture knob; pressed glass	19 <sup>th</sup> to 20 <sup>th</sup> century manufacture.
5-62	Earthenware vessel, whiteware with blue annular banding	Two blue painted annular bands. Likely 19 <sup>th</sup> century.




Figure 5-55. Beverage bottle with Owens-Illinois maker's mark on base.



Figure 5-56. Pressed glass cosmetic jar.





Figure 5-57. Embossed Listerine bottle.




Figure 5-58. Yellow Homer Laughlin Fiestaware.



Figure 5-59. Amber bottle base with Design Patent # 128624.




Figure 5-60. Mentholatum cosmetic/ointment jar.




Figure 5-61. Pressed glass furniture knob.



Figure 5-62. Blue annular banding on whiteware vessel.

Other artifacts that were not necessarily diagnostic but are important to note are a metal spoon and knife (Figure 5-63 and 5-64), and a thin metal band with rounded edges, that bore a decorative stamp for the Texas Centennial flanked by the dates 1836-1936. Much of the identified materials have an estimated date range from the 1910s-1950s with a few older pieces.



Figure 5-63. Metal spoon.



Figure 5-64. Metal tableware knife.

### Archival Research

Archival research of the property was undertaken. A limited title search was conducted by Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP by the Taylor County Clerk's Office. The property is located on Highway 204 in Taylor County and was originally part of the Lunatic Asylum Lands. The current parcel (Property ID 109134) is part of Abstract 1258 and contains 48.283 acres in SE quarter of Section 26 of the Lunatic Asylum Lands. These lands were assigned by the Legislature on August 30, 1856 as part of an act which set apart and appropriated land for multiple Asylums and allocated 100,000 acres of land to each institution. These lands were surveyed in Callahan, Comanche, Eastland, Jones, Shackelford, Stephens, Taylor, and Tom Green counties (Gammel 1898). Transactions prior to 1945 were not located by the County Clerk's Office or online (Table 5-20). Historic maps and aerials were reviewed for additional information (Table 5-21). A 1934 atlas of Taylor County identifies the southeast quarter of Section 26 of the Lunatic Asylum Lands as assigned to L.J. Gould. According to the General Land Office (GLO) records, Gould purchased 160 acres in the southeast quarter of Section 26 on March 15, 1884 (GLO 2019). A 1940 map identifies the southeast quarter of Section 26 of the Lunatic Asylum Lands as owned by G.A. Chrone. No information regarding either L.J. Gould or G.A. Chrone could be located in a genealogical records search.

Table 5-20. Deed Research Results for 41TA399.

Grantee	Grantor	Grantor Sell Date	Description Type; Deed Volume/Page	Additional Information
Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP	Anton and Kim Melnyk	5/9/2006	Permanent Easement Agreement; 3226/662	
Anton and Kim Melnyk	Tommie and Cecil Allen	5/8/2006	Warranty Deed; 3226/662	
Tommie and Cecil Allen	Donald R. and Carolyn Grubbs	2/23/1994	Warranty Deed; 1993/64, 61	
Tommie Allen and Donald R. Grubbs	Estate of Lucille A. Grubbs, deceased	1/27/1992	Probate – Application for Probate of Will as Muniment of Title; 295/684	Tommie and Donald are siblings – children of Lucille A. Grubbs; each received 50% interest – power of attorney granted on March 6, 1991
	Cecil and Tommie V. Allen	4/20/1976	Affidavit; 1027/949	States that the 2 acres, out of 52-acre tract, SE corner, Sec. 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands, is their homestead
Cecil and Tommie V. Allen	Troy Tony and Lucille Grubbs	6/24/1964	OUTSALE: Warranty Deed; 746/27	Conveys 2 acres out of 52-acre tract out of 120 acres out of SE corner of Sec. 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
Troy Tony and Lucille Grubbs	Novel and Vida M. Baize	12/12/1962	Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien; 697/411	Earlier deed is almost identical dated 4/24/1962 (677/223)
State Highway Commission	George D. and Alesa Kiker	5/25/1954	Deed; 485/524	Parcel B: 1.84 acres of which 1.011 acres are present in county road
George D. and Alesa Kiker	C.A. McGaughey et aux	10/15/1945	Deed; 339/289	

A chain of ownership extending back to 1945 was provided by Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP for the property on which site 41TA399 is located (Appendix F). Additional deeds were not found for this property during the search and a search of online records yielded the same result. Historic maps and aeriels reveal that no houses or buildings were located within the boundaries

of 41TA399. A search of the Handbook of Texas Online for the names of past landowners reveals that no persons of historical significance are associated with this site. Additionally, no historically significant events are known to have occurred at the location of site 41TA399 or in association with any persons listed on the chain of title.



Table 5-21. Historical maps and aerals for 41TA399.

Type	Date	Notes
GLO Map	1859	Land assigned as Sec 26 of Lunatic Asylum Lands – no individual owner; East Fork of Red Creek runs north-south through western half of section
GLO Map	1879	Sec 26 of Lunatic Asylum Lands, unassigned to an individual but shown on map with four quarter file numbers labeled as 2213 (in NW), 2385 (in NE), 2340 (in SE), and 2212 (in SW)
GLO Map	1883	Sec 26 of Lunatic Asylum Lands, unassigned to an individual but shown on map with four quarter file numbers labeled as 2213 (in NW), 2385 (in NE), 2340 (in SE), and 2212 (in SW)
Abilene Quad Topo Map	1890	Cedar Creek to the west and a drainage to the east; no buildings or activities within site
Soil Survey Map	1922	Abilene & Southern Railroad, Cedar Creek, and road in general vicinity of modern FM 126 to the west of site, road in general vicinity of modern FM 1750 to south; no buildings or activities within site
Atlas Map	1934	Section 26 of the Lunatic Asylum Lands assigned to L.J. Gould
Atlas Map	1940	Section 26 of the Lunatic Asylum Lands assigned by G.A. Chrono
Kirby Lake Quad Topo Map	1957	Drainage shown to the west and ponds to the north and south; site appears on edge of drainage field/wetland; current configuration of roads visible, building to southeast of site on the west side of Key Lane; no buildings or activities within site boundaries
Aerial Photo	1967	No buildings or structures; pond visible to the south of the site; surrounding land is visible as undeveloped land with vegetation; land to east is cultivated farmland with dirt roads and plow marks
Atlas Map	1972	No buildings or structures within site; buildings along FM 602 to north, two buildings along west side of FM 106, and two on the south side of Clark Road
Atlas Map	1982	No buildings or structures within site; multiple buildings along FM 602 to north, to east along FM 106, south along Clark Rd, and west along FM 126 and in the El Dorado Addition
Aerial Photo	1994	No buildings or structures; pond visible to the north of the site; surrounding land is visible as undeveloped land with dense vegetation; land to east is cultivated farmland with dirt roads and plow marks
Aerial Photo	2002	No buildings or structures; surrounding land is visible as undeveloped land with dense vegetation; land to east is cultivated farmland with plow marks

The 1967 aerial and 1958 topographic map show a structure located alongside CR 106. That structure appears to have been replaced with a modern structure that occupies the location. It's possible these materials date to the time of the earlier structure but it is impossible to say with certainty.

#### Site Summary

The resource may extend north of the corridor, but this area was not investigated. However, within the corridor, the resource is characterized by surface artifacts that are certainly displaced and lack of subsurface deposition. The location is severely deflated, and subsoil and numerous gravels and rock is encountered immediately. While producing several artifacts, the resource is not likely to add any additional information to the knowledge of historic occupation of the area beyond what has been recorded and is not recommended for further work. The site does not appear to retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.3.5 Resource 41CA42

Resource 41CA42 was identified by Gray & Pape on April 4, 2019. The resource consists of a low-density surficial lithic scatter. The resource is located in Permit Area 39 approximately 0.88 kilometers (0.55 miles) west of CR 309, approximately 26 meters (85 feet) west of a wetland associated with Club Hollow Creek, and less than 5 meters (16.4 feet) east of a two-track road (Figure 5-65). The location is on gently sloping terrace that is currently scrub brush pasture and is sparsely covered by grasses and a great deal of rock at the surface, offering good surface visibility (Figure 5-66). The APE at the location measures 40 meters (131 feet) wide, with approximately 30 meters (100 feet) of that width located within an existing pipeline ROW. The resource boundary within the corridor measures 80 meters (262.47 feet) east-west by 30 meters (98.43 feet) north-south. A

clear line of redeposited larger rocks was observed at the edge of the ROW within the APE. These consist of the results of back dirt sifting prior to backfilling the previous pipeline trench. The larger rocks are removed during the process, so they don't damage the pipe when the soil is backfilled on top of the pipe. Observed surface artifacts were comprised of approximately ten flakes, three chert cores, and one worked flake (Figure 5-67, Table 5-22). All the material was of local chert (Edwards) of gray to tan color. Flakes were largely of early stage as evidenced by cortex.

Table 5-22. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41CA42.

Depth	Flakes	Cores	Work Flake
Surface	13	3	1
0-10	-	-	-
10-20	-	-	-
20-30	-	-	-
30-40	-	-	-
40-50	-	-	-

#### Supplemental Investigation

The site was initially only investigated by surface inspection as no shovel tests were allowed on the property per the landowner's request. Gray & Pape revisited the location on October 3, 2019 after the easement had been purchased for the project. A total of nine supplemental shovel tests were excavated in the location: four within the site and five surrounding. None of the shovel tests contained buried cultural materials.

Soils mapped for the area consist of Lueders-Speck association which consists of a shallow gravelly clay loam derived from residuum from indurated limestone (NRCS 2019). The typical soils profile of the association consists of A and B horizons of gravelly and cobbly clay to a depth of 33 centimeters (13 inches) before encountering fractured layered limestone.




Figure 5-65  
Plan view of Resources 41CA42  
and CA-39-ISO-02.



**GRAY & PAPE**  
HERITAGE MANAGEMENT

- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Field-Delineated Water Feature
- Surface Find

- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test





Figure 5-66. Location of Site 41CA42. View is to the northwest.



Figure 5-67. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41CA42.

Shovel tests conducted at the site exhibited shallow surface layers of reddish brown (5YR 4/3) silt loam to an average depth of 10 centimeters (4 inches) followed by a layer of bedrock. A few tests exhibited a second stratum consisting of dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2 to 3/3) silty clay to a maximum depth of 28 centimeters (11 inches) followed by bedrock.

#### Agency Revisit

The site was revisited by Gray & Pape and representatives of the USACE on October 29, 2019. During a walk over USACE observed three chert flakes, high surface visibility, and frequent rock exposure at this site. One flake observed during the visit appeared to be a blade, located outside of the site boundary to the east.

#### Site Summary

A nearby Isolate Find, CA-39-ISO-02, is likely associated with the site (discussed below). This find consists of a biface identified on the surface and is discussed later in the report. A small number of other artifacts were also observed east of the site during the agency visit in late October. However, the majority of the observed materials, although sparse, were identified west of the waterway within the site boundary as currently mapped (Figure 5-65). All of the artifacts are out of context. Of four shovel tests conducted within the site boundary, none were positive for cultural materials. The resource appears to have experienced moderate impacts from the previous pipeline installation. A great deal of bedrock is exposed on the surface and soils are quite shallow. This combined with the lack of subsurface artifacts suggests the resource is not significant. No further work is recommended for the location. The site does not appear to retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.3.6 Isolate CA-39-ISO-02

Isolated Find CA-39-ISO-02 was identified by Gray & Pape on April 4, 2019. The find consists of a single chert biface of local material (likely Edwards) (Figure 5-68) that was discovered on the ground surface during pedestrian walkover. The find is located approximately 110 meters (361 feet) east-southeast of resource 41CA42, within a low grassy wetland associated with Club Hollow Creek (Figure 5-65). Initially, the location was only subjected pedestrian walkover.

#### Supplemental Investigation

Supplemental investigation was conducted on October 3, 2019 after the easement had been purchased for the project. This resulted in the excavation of six shovel tests: one at the find and five surrounding the location. Soils mapped for the area consist of Lueders-Speck association which consists of a shallow gravelly

clay loam derived from residuum from indurated limestone (NRCS 2019). The typical soils profile of the association consists of A and B horizons of gravelly and cobbly clay to a depth of 33 centimeters (13 inches) before encountering fractured layered limestone. Shovel tests resulted in a soil profile of an extremely shallow (5 centimeters [1 inch]) layer of reddish brown (5YR 4/3) silty loam followed by bedrock (Appendix C). This appears to verify the lack of soil deposition at the site.



Figure 5-68. Biface identified on the surface representing Isolate CA-39-ISO-02.

Shovel test delineation of the find did not identify cultural material exist at the location. The naturally occurring shallow soils at the location have been eroded further by previous pipeline impacts. The find is not likely to add to the knowledge of prehistoric occupation of the area and is not recommended for further work. The isolate does not retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### 5.2.3.7 Resource 41CA43

Resource 41CA43 was identified by Gray & Pape on April 12, 2019. The resource consists of a low-density lithic scatter of unknown prehistoric affiliation. The resource is located in Permit Area 58 approximately 1.24 kilometers (0.77 miles) east-southeast of CR 880, within the existing pipeline corridor on a dissected

upland with a gentle slope to the north side of a small intermittent drainage extending from Battle Creek (Figure 5-69). The APE at the location measures 40 meters (131 feet) wide, with approximately 30 meters (100 feet) of that width located within an existing pipeline ROW. The resource boundary within the APE measures approximately 40 meters (131.23 feet) northwest-southeast by 10 meters (32.8 feet) northeast-southwest and occupies a small raised landform and adjacent slope.

Beyond the site boundary, the ground slopes down to the north, south, and west. The west edge of the APE drops off into a wooded area where some cuts caused by erosion have exposed the soil horizons along the edge of the APE. The southern portion of the site has a high degree of slope down to the waterway which has allowed a great deal of limestone to be exposed. The area is covered by short grass, scrub brush, and exposed limestone bedrock which allowed excellent surface visibility (Figure 5-70).

Investigation of the resource consisted of pedestrian walkover and shovel testing. Observed surface artifacts consist of 10 fine-grained chert flakes of local (likely Edwards) material of gray/white color (Figure 5-71, Table 5-23). Most were composed of early stage manufacture as evidenced by cortex. These were found within the existing pipeline ROW. No diagnostic artifacts or more developed tools were identified. A large cobble of what appeared to be quartz was located on the site but was not worked. Attempts at shovel testing the location were hindered by the preponderance of limestone bedrock on the surface. Further, the site scatter is entirely located within the existing pipeline ROW adjacent to previously installed pipelines. However, five shovel tests were excavated surrounding the site: four west of the site boundary along the APE at 30-meter (100-foot) intervals within the APE, and one east of the site at the edge of the APE (Figure 25). None of the tests were positive for cultural materials.



11/25/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 19-71601.001




Figure 5-69  
Plan view of Resource 41CA43.



- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- New Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Field Delineated Waterway
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- ▨ Slope/Drop Off





Figure 5-70. Overview of site 41CA43 location. View is to the southeast.



Figure 5-71. Representative materials identified on the surface within Resource 41CA43.

Table 5-23. Artifact Assemblage Observed at 41CA43.

Depth	Flakes
Surface	10
0-10	-
10-20	-
20-30	-
30-40	-
40-50	-

#### Agency Revisit

The site was revisited by Gray & Pape and representatives of the USACE on October 29, 2019. During a walk over USACE observed approximately 12 flakes, all similar white chert,

across the surface of 41CA43. Eight flakes of the same raw material were in a very small (less than 25-centimeter [10-inch]) concentration within the known boundary of 41CA43, and inside the maintained ROW of an existing pipeline. While this at first appeared to be a discreet lithic reduction feature, it was later determined to be the location where artifacts from the previous investigation in April 2019 had been gathered for photographs and discarded. This was confirmed through a comparison with the artifact photos (Figure 5-71) and discussion with crew personnel of the previous investigation. However, due to the limited size of the landform the artifacts were originally found close-by in the general vicinity, thus the location was shovel tested during supplemental investigation of the site as discussed further below.

USACE observed a sandy matrix across the entire surface of 41CA43 with no bedrock exposure except inside small erosional/stream features where the stream bed contained sandstone bedrock (these features appear outside the 41CA43 boundary). It was the opinion of the USACE representative that inside the 41CA43 boundary the sandy matrix is supported to have a depth of at least 25 to 50 centimeters (10 to 20 inches) based on soil profiles USACE observed along the edge of the maintained pipeline ROW. A classic sandy matrix with soil horizons A-E-Bt in the soil profile was visible at various profile exposures just outside of the 41CA43 site boundary.

#### Supplemental Survey

Gray & Pape revisited the site location on November 5, 2019 to perform additional delineation of Site 41CA43. An additional 11 shovel tests were excavated across the location: five within the site boundary and six surrounding it. One shovel test (S1) was placed at the location of what appeared to be a small lithic reduction workspace as identified during the agency revisit in October.

Soils mapped for the location consist of Cisco-Hext-Pedernales association which typically contain a shallow (10-centimeter [4-inch]) surface layer of brown (7.5YR 5/4) loamy fine sand before successive layers of yellowish red (5YR 4/6) sandy clay loam subsoil (NRCS 2019). Overall, tests located within the site boundary and along the centerline typically contained a very shallow (10 to 15-centimeter [4 to 6-inch]) layer of pink (7.5YR 7/4) compact sandy loam before encountering multicolored compact clay subsoil / consolidated sandstone. This likely represents the BCk - Cr horizons of the Hext soil series, which is mapped for the location. This result and the presence of limestone at the surface suggests the location has been highly eroded. Pipeline personnel who accompanied the agency and supplemental site visit stated that the location had been previously graded and truncated, resulting in an artificially leveled landform (Patrick Hill personal communication October 29, 2019).

As observed by the USACE representative, shovel tests placed along the western edge of the APE above the drop-off into the wooded area contained a slightly thicker (25 centimeters [10 inches]), or more natural sandy upper stratum (Appendix C). This is likely the closest the location comes to what used to be the natural soil profile. However, shovel tests all along the western edge of the APE produced no cultural materials.

#### Site Summary

The resource is characterized by a sparsity of surface artifacts and lack of diagnostic artifacts. Shovel testing within the site boundary and along the centerline of the location produced a lack of soil deposition. This seems to confirm the statement made by pipeline personnel that the area had been truncated. Of a total of five shovel tests conducted within the site boundary, none were positive for cultural materials. This suggests that the resource within the ROW is not significant. No further work is recommended for the location. The site portion located within the APE does not retain the potential to provide

significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

### 5.2.4 Newly Identified Isolates within Jurisdictional Areas

5.2.4.1 Two new isolates were identified as a result of survey within jurisdictional permit areas in Loop 2. One isolate, CA-039-ISO-02 was discussed above (pg. 69) along with Resource 41CA42. The other is Isolate TA-50-ISO-01.

#### 5.2.4.2 Isolate TA-50-ISO-01

Resource TA-50-ISO-01 was identified by Horizon on April 11, 2019. The resource consists of two flakes (one utilized) identified at the surface and within a shovel test at a depth between 0 and 10 centimeters (0 and 4 inches) (Figure 5-72). The resource is located 500 meters (0.31 miles) southeast of Resource 41TA398 within an area of mesquite brush that lines a small meander surrounded by agricultural fields. The APE at the location measures between 40 and 55 meters (131 and 180 feet) wide. Approximately 30 meters (100 feet) of that width is within an existing pipeline corridor (Figure 5-43). Investigation of the resource consisted of pedestrian walkover and three delineation shovel tests placed around the lone positive test at between 10 and 20-meter (33 and 66-foot) intervals within the APE (Figure 5-73).



Figure 5-72. Materials representative of Isolate TA-50-ISO-01.

11/25/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 19-71601.001



Figure 5-73  
Plan view of Isolate TA-50-ISO-01.

- Project Centerline
- ▭ Survey Corridor / APE
- Existing Pipelines
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test





Soils mapped for the location consist of the Colorado series which typically contain an A horizon of light reddish brown (5YR 6/3) silt loam (NRCS 2019). The shovel test profile of the lone positive test consists of a surface layer of dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) clay loam followed by yellowish red (5YR 4/6) silty clay loam subsoil with stream gravel inclusions. This suggests the soils at the surface are composed of the C horizon of the Colorado series. None of the excavated tests were positive for cultural materials. No additional cultural materials were identified on the surface near the find.

The shallow depth of the find is likely the result of previous pipeline or agricultural impacts or natural taphonomic processes such as cattle trampling. The isolate is not recommended for further work. The isolate does not retain the potential to provide significant research value and is thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D.

#### **5.2.5 Revisit Results of Identified Previously Recorded Non-Jurisdictional Resources**

In addition to revisits of previously recorded resources located in permit areas, one previously recorded resource, Site 41TA371, is located within 91 meters (300 feet) of the APE along non-jurisdictional uplands.

##### **5.2.5.1 Resource 41TA371**

Resource 41TA371 was originally recorded by Burns and McDonnell Engineering in 2018. The resource was described as an 80- by 50-meter (262- by 164-foot) historic scatter located in a plowed agricultural field. Material noted at the time included brick, glass, miscellaneous metal, and a spark plug. Material was limited to the ground surface and no diagnostic material or cultural features were identified. The site was recommended as not eligible for listing on the NRHP (Darnell et al. 2018).

Resource 41TA371 was revisited by Horizon on April 12, 2019. The location is in a level agricultural field (Figure 5-74) approximately

20 meters (787 feet) west of Permit Area 18. The APE at the location measures 40 meters (131 feet) wide, of which nearly all is within the existing pipeline ROW (Figure 5-75). The area has been impacted by plowing and existing pipelines. Investigation of the resource consisted of pedestrian walkover and the excavation of three shovel tests within the APE south of the previously recorded site boundary. One piece of glass and one chert scraper were observed on the ground surface within the APE. All shovel tests were negative for cultural resources.



Figure 5-74. Overview of 41TA371. View is to the east.

Soils mapped for the location consist of Clairemont silty clay loam which generally contain a surface layer of reddish brown (5YR 5/4) silt loam (NRCS 2019). A typical shovel profile within the resource/APE consisted of a surface layer of yellowish red (5YR 5/8) silty sand to a depth of 10 centimeters (4 inches). This was underlain by yellowish red (5YR 4/6) dense sandy clay to 25 centimeters (10 inches), followed by a loose yellowish red (5YR 4/6) sandy clay to a depth of 70 centimeters (27 inches) below the surface. This soil profile suggests the soils at the location have been displaced or truncated.

As a result of the two surface finds, the boundaries of site 41TA371 were initially expanded extended south to include an area of 90 by 50 meters (295 by 164 feet) within the

11/24/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 19-71601.001




Figure 5-75  
Plan view of Resource 41TA371.



- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- Previously Recorded Site Area
- Existing Pipelines
- Permit Area
- ◆ Surface Find
- ⊕ Positive Shovel Test
- Negative Shovel Test

current ROW. However, upon review of the data provided by Horizon, sparsity of material identified, and the redeposited context of the artifacts, Gray & Pape does not recommend the site boundary be expanded to include these artifacts. The lack of additional materials and the deflated/truncated soils of the location suggest the find is not significant. No further work is recommended for the location.

### 5.2.6 Deep Test Results

Fieldwork at Mulberry Creek was conducted on August 6, 2019. The permit area (Number 6) at Mulberry Creek is located approximately 4.27 kilometers (2.65 miles) south-southeast of Merkel, Texas, and subsumes approximately 18.47 acres (7.47 hectares) (Figure 5-50). Soils mapped in this area consist of Gageby clay loam (Ga), Colorado soils (Cr), Knoco-Badland complex (ObE), and Tillman clay loam (TmB) (NRCS 2019).

Gageby soils are very deep, well drained, moderately permeable mollisols that formed in calcareous, loamy alluvium. A typical soil profile consists of five strata (Ap-A-Bwl-Bw2-Bck) that extend to 203 centimeters (80 inches) below the surface. The profile includes a surface layer (A horizon) of brown (7.5YR 4/2) clay loam to a depth of 18 centimeters (7 inches). That is followed by a subsurface (A2 horizon) of brown (7.5YR 4/2) sandy clay loam to a depth of 61 centimeters (24 inches). Below that is successive B horizons of yellowish red (5YR 5/6) sandy clay loam to a depth of 203 centimeters (80 inches) (NRCS 2019).

The Colorado series is comprised of very deep, well drained, moderately permeable entisols that formed in calcareous loamy alluvium. These nearly level soils can be found on flood plains. A typical soil profile consists of three strata (A-C1-C2) to a depth of 152 centimeters (60 inches). A typical profile includes a surface layer (A horizon) of light reddish brown (5YR 6/3) silt loam to a depth of 13 centimeters (5 inches). That is followed by successive C horizon layers of light reddish brown (5YR 6/3) loam to

a depth of 152 centimeters (60 inches) (NRCS 2019).

The Knoco series consists of very shallow to shallow, well drained, very slowly permeable entisols that formed in residuum weathered from claystone over Permian-aged noncemented claystone bedrock. These soils are located on interfluvies, side slopes and erosional footslopes on dissected plains. A typical soil profile consists of five strata (A1-A2-C-Cd1-Cd2) to a depth of 152 centimeters (60 inches). The profile includes a surface layer (A horizon) of red (2.5YR 4/6) clay to a depth of 13 centimeters (5 inches). That is followed by a subsurface (A2 horizon) layer of reddish brown (2.5YR 5/4) clay to a depth of 23 centimeters (9 inches). Below that is successive subsoil (C horizon) layers of reddish brown (2.5YR 4/4 to 5/4) of dense clay and noncemented claystone to a depth of 152 centimeters (60 inches) (NRCS 2019).

The Tillman series consists of very deep, well drained, slowly permeable mollisols. These soils formed in loamy and clayey alluvium derived from redbed clays and claystone sediments of Permian age. Tillman soils can be found on nearly level to gently sloping alluvial plains and alluvial plain remnants of the Central Rolling Red Plains and Rolling Limestone Prairie. A typical soil profile consists of nine strata (A1-A2-Bt1-Bt2-Btk1-Btk2-Btk3-2Bck-2Cr) to a depth of 216 centimeters (85 inches). The profile includes a surface (A horizon) layer of brown (7.5YR 5/3) loam to a depth of 8 centimeters (3 inches). That is followed by a subsurface (A2 horizon) layer of brown (7.5YR 4/3) clay loam to a depth of 18 centimeters (7 inches). Below that are successive subsoil (B horizon) layers of reddish brown (5YR 4/3 to 4/4) clay to a depth of 203 centimeters (80 inches). The following layer consists of red (2.5YR 4/8) clay loam to a depth of 216 centimeters (85 inches) (NRCS 2019).



11/24/2019 Created in ArcGIS 10.4 for G&P Project 18-72603.001




Figure 5-76  
Deep test locations within Permit Area 6 at Mulberry Creek.



- Project Centerline
- Survey Corridor / APE
- Existing Pipelines
- Field-Delineated Water Feature
- Topographic Contours
- + Auger Test
- Negative Shovel Test
- X Unexcavated - Could Not Be Accessed



At least three shovel tests at the location (B1, B3, and B4) contained soils that continued beyond the depth of the shovel tests (1 meter [39 inches]) and could represent A horizon material (Appendix C). The location was thus recommended for deep testing by the Field Archaeologist. This recommendation in tandem with the geomorphological data mapped for the location resulted in the area being deep tested. Field investigations consisted of deep testing by means of mechanical auger attached to a Bobcat (Figure 5-76). Each test measured 38.1 centimeters (15 inches) in diameter. A total of 15 auger tests were attempted (Figure 5-77). Two tests were not able to be conducted for reasons described below.

The proposed location of Deep Test (DT) 5 could not be entered due to the thickness of the mesquite. A shovel test was conducted at the location instead. The proposed location of DT7 was not able to be excavated due to the location being essentially cut off from the rest of the ROW by the winding of Mulberry Creek. There was no means to access the location without traveling outside of the APE, which was not permitted by the landowner.



Figure 5-77. Deep testing in progress within the Permit Area 6 at Mulberry Creek. View is to the northwest.

Although tests differed slightly while moving across the permit area, a typical deep test profile (Table 5-25) within the permit area consists of a surface layer of yellowish red (5YR 4/6 or 5/6) silty clay loam to an average depth

of 50 centimeters (19.7 inches) followed by yellowish red (5YR 5/6) silty clay or silty clay loam extending to an average depth of 135 centimeters (53 inches) (Figure 5-52). In the nine tests where bedrock or the water table were not encountered, a third stratum was observed which consisted of red (2.5YR 5/6) silty clay to the base of excavation at 180 centimeters (71 inches) below surface.



Figure 5-78. Representative soil profile as observed in DT10 at Mulberry Creek.

The soils exhibited don't appear to overly represent any one soil series, but the presence of yellowish red silty clay likely represents subsoils of the Gageby soil series. This would indicate that an established A horizon is lacking at the location. None of the deep tests were positive for cultural materials, features, or paleosols.

While one planned deep test was substituted with a shovel test, the soils observed in the test appeared similar to those of nearby deep tests. This similarity suggests there was no potential for deeply buried cultural materials or paleosols to be missed by a shallower excavation. One planned test location was not accessible during the deep testing, however, based on adjacent tests DT6 and DT8, it is likely that the location would harbor the same yellowish red subsoils as encountered in those tests. Based on these results, there is no evidence for deeply buried resources within the anticipated impact depth at the Mulberry Creek permit location.

Table 5-24. Deep Test Profiles from the Mulberry Creek Project Area.

Number	Creek	Survey Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat IV Depth	Strat IV Munsell	Strat IV Texture	Comment
DT1	Mulberry	Negative	20	7.5YR 4/3	SiLo	180	7.5YR 4/6	SiClLo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT2	Mulberry	Negative	20	7.5YR 4/3	SiLo	70	5YR 4/6	SiClLo	180	5YR 5/6	SiCl	-	-	-	-
DT3	Mulberry	Negative	80	5YR 4/6	SiClLo	180	5YR 5/6	SiCl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT4	Mulberry	Negative	35	5YR 6/6	SiClLo	165	5YR 5/6	SiCl	180	2.5YR 5/6	SaCl	-	-	-	-
Shovel Test 5	Mulberry	Negative	40	5YR 5/6	SiClLo	65	2.5YR 4/6	SiClLo	-	-	-	-	-	-	*Mechanical auger could not reach location; shovel tested instead
DT6	Mulberry	Negative	60	5YR 5/6	SiClLo	180	5YR 5/6	SiCl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DT7	Mulberry	Unexcavated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Unable to access location
DT8	Mulberry	Negative	45	5YR 5/4	SiClLo	110	5YR 5/6	SiCl	180	5YR 4/6	SiCl	-	-	-	-
DT9	Mulberry	Negative	50	5YR 5/6	SiClLo	110	5YR 5/6	SiCl	180	2.5YR 5/6	SiCl	-	-	-	-
DT10	Mulberry	Negative	35	5YR 5/4	SiClLo	120	5YR 5/6	SiCl	180	2.5YR 5/6	SiCl	-	-	-	-
DT11	Mulberry	Negative	45	2.5YR 4/6	SiClLo	115	5YR 5/6	SiClLo	180	2.5YR 4/6	SiCl	-	-	-	Chert gravels in Strat III
DT12	Mulberry	Negative	140	2.5YR 4/6	SiClLo	160	2.5YR 4/6	SaClLo	-	-	-	-	-	-	Water table at 140 cmbs
DT13	Mulberry	Negative	45	5YR 4/6	SiClLo	140	2.5YR 4/6	SiCl	160	2.5YR 5/6	SaClLo	-	-	-	Terminated at 160 due to water saturation
DT14	Mulberry	Negative	45	5YR 4/6	SiClLo	100	2.5YR 4/6	SiCl	120	2.5YR 4/6	Sa	180	5YR 4/6	SaCl	Slate gravels and cobbles
DT15	Mulberry	Negative	60	5YR 5/6	SiClLo	140	5YR 4/6	SiCl	180	5YR 4/6	Sa	-	-	-	Moderately saturated with water in Strat III



## 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

---

This report details the results of pedestrian cultural resource survey of permit areas within 117.85 kilometers (73.23 miles) of the Lone Star Express II Pipeline Project - Loop 2 in Nolan, Taylor, Callahan, and Eastland Counties, Texas. The lead agency for the project is the USACE, Fort Worth District. Nearly all of the Project will be installed by open trench.

A records and literature review initiated prior to survey identified four previously recorded archaeological sites potentially intersecting USACE permit areas within Loop 2. Fieldwork on Loop 2 was conducted in the Spring of 2019 and required approximately 2,320-person hours to complete. Survey involved archaeological reconnaissance and shovel testing throughout anticipated permit areas within the Project corridor.

Fieldwork was conducted by crews affiliated with both Gray & Pape and Horizon. Fieldwork began in April and continued to May 2019. Supplemental field efforts took place in August, October, and November 2019. Intensive pedestrian survey was undertaken within 65 permit areas encapsulating a total of 29 kilometers (18 miles) of centerline and 125.9 hectares (311 acres) of APE. A total of approximately 677 shovel tests were excavated, of which six were positive for cultural material within the APE. An additional 22 shovel tests were conducted as part of resource delineation efforts. Five previously recorded resources: 41NL318, 41TA353, 41TA354, 41TA314, and 41CA27 were re-identified as a result of survey. In addition, six new previously unrecorded resources: 41TA396, 41TA397, 41TA398, 41TA399, 41CA42, 41CA43, and two isolate finds were also identified. Materials were identified adjacent to one additional previously identified resource, 41TA371, which is located outside of Permit Areas. However, these consisted of only two artifacts found on the surface in a disturbed context. Thus, the site was not expanded into current APE.

None of the identified resources are recommended as eligible for listing on the NRHP or as a SAL (Table 6-1). Four resources are of a historic age or contain a historic component: 41NL318, 41TA396, 41TA397, and 41TA399. Sites 41TA396, 41TA397, and 41TA399 consist of 1910s to 1950s historic scatters representative of trash dumps. Site 41NL318 contains the remnants of a private road/drive consisting of a raised berm and wooden bridge likely dating to the 1930s to 1950s based on available maps. The remainder of the resources are prehistoric.

Prehistoric site contents consist nearly entirely of surface scatters of artifacts, with artifact classes largely the same across each site, consisting mainly of debitage, with varying numbers of cores and bifaces. On very few occasions, a preform or more refined tool were observed. In general, the resources appear to represent raw material procurement areas due the abundant chert deposits available in the rocky soil or eroding out of nearby waterways. Activities are believed to have been largely limited to the procurement and testing of cobbles and the expedient manufacture of bifaces. While secondary and tertiary flakes were noted at a few locations, it appears that for the most part more refined tool manufacture was taking place elsewhere.

The prehistoric scatters contained few temporally diagnostic artifacts. Resources 41NL318, 41TA353/354, 41TA396, and 41TA314 contained the only diagnostic prehistoric artifacts identified during survey. Site 41TA353/354 contained Elam and Carrollton type projectile points and Site 41NL318 contained a Clear Fork Uniface, all of which can date to the middle to transitional Archaic. Sites 41TA396 and 41TA314 each contained a likely Marshall dart point which dates to the Late Middle Archaic.

Table 6-1. Summary of Resources Identified within Permit Areas of the APE.

Trinomial	Site Type	Temporal Affiliation	Research Value	NRHP Recommendation
41NL318	Prehistoric Lithic Scatter / Quarry / Historic Drive or Road	Unspecified Prehistoric	Low	Not eligible
41TA353/41TA354	Prehistoric Open Camp	Unspecified Prehistoric	Low	Not eligible
41TA314	Prehistoric Open Camp	Late to Transitional Archaic	Low	Not eligible
41TA396	Prehistoric Lithic Scatter / Historic Scatter	Late Middle Archaic / Mid-20 <sup>th</sup> Century	Low	Not eligible
41TA397	Historic Scatter	Mid-20 <sup>th</sup> Century	Low	Not eligible
41TA398	Prehistoric Lithic Scatter	Unspecified Prehistoric	Low	Not eligible
41TA399	Historic Trash Dump	1930s-1950s	Low	Not eligible
41CA27	Prehistoric Open Camp	Unspecified Prehistoric	Low	Not eligible
41CA42	Prehistoric Lithic Scatter	Unspecified Prehistoric	Low	Not eligible
41CA43	Prehistoric Lithic Scatter	Unspecified Prehistoric	Low	Not eligible

Table 6-2. Summary of resources Identified Outside of Permit Areas of the APE.

Trinomial	Site Type	Cultural Affiliation	Current Recommendations
41TA371	Historic scatter and prehistoric isolate	Early to Mid-20 <sup>th</sup> century, Unknown Prehistoric	Ineligible

No artifacts were collected. No cultural features or historic-age standing resources were encountered within the APE. The resource areas within the pipeline corridor showed clear signs of disturbance from the adjacent pipeline ROW or past agricultural practices. Indications of soil deflation, erosion, and land modifications such as terracing, agriculture, and pond manufacture were also observed. Together, these characteristics illustrate the fact that the observed materials are displaced and thereby limit the information that could be gained from any further formal study of these resources.

One location, Mulberry Creek in Permit Area 6, was investigated by mechanical auguring to determine if the location contained soils with A horizons deeper than can be reached by shovel. However, deep testing within the APE at the location displayed a surface and subsurface that likely represent the B and C horizons of the Gageby series and produced no evidence for the potential of deeply buried resources or

buried paleosols within the anticipated depth of impact at the location.

Based on the overall lack of soil deposition, few diagnostics, and lack of integrity, it is the opinion of Gray & Pape that no portion of any of the recorded resources within the proposed Loop 2 ROW retain the potential to provide significant research value and are thus recommended not eligible for the National Register, under Evaluation Criterion D or for State Antiquities Landmark status. Gray & Pape recommends no additional archaeological work for these resources or surveyed permit areas of the Loop 2 project.

## 7.0 REFERENCES CITED

---

### Ancestry.com

- 2019a Ransom Jefferson Adcock in the 1880 United States Federal Census. [https://search.ancestry.com/cgi-bin/sse.dll?indiv=1&dbid=6742&h=11363120&tid=&pid=&usePUB=true&\\_phsrc=EBx453&\\_phstart=successSource](https://search.ancestry.com/cgi-bin/sse.dll?indiv=1&dbid=6742&h=11363120&tid=&pid=&usePUB=true&_phsrc=EBx453&_phstart=successSource). Accessed November 2019.
- 2019b Oscar J Adcock in the 1910 United States Federal Census. [https://search.ancestry.com/cgi-bin/sse.dll?indiv=1&dbid=7884&h=28643832&tid=&pid=&usePUB=true&\\_phsrc=EBx450&\\_phstart=successSource](https://search.ancestry.com/cgi-bin/sse.dll?indiv=1&dbid=7884&h=28643832&tid=&pid=&usePUB=true&_phsrc=EBx450&_phstart=successSource). Accessed November 2019.
- 2019c Oscar J Adcock in the 1930 United States Federal Census. [https://search.ancestry.com/cgi-bin/sse.dll?indiv=1&dbid=6224&h=60813921&tid=&pid=&usePUB=true&\\_phsrc=EBx447&\\_phstart=successSource](https://search.ancestry.com/cgi-bin/sse.dll?indiv=1&dbid=6224&h=60813921&tid=&pid=&usePUB=true&_phsrc=EBx447&_phstart=successSource). Accessed November 2019.

### Antevs, Ernst

- 1954 Climate of New Mexico During the Last Glacio-Pluvial, *Journal of Geology* 62.2, pp. 182-191.

### Arrick/Orrick Genealogy

- 2019 Abilene Brick Plant. <http://www.arrick.com/family/brickplant.html> Accessed November 2019.

### Blair, W. Frank

- 1950 *The Biotic Provinces of Texas*. Texas Journal of Science 2(1):93–115.

### Brownlow, Russell K., Jennifer L. Cochran, and Jeffrey Owens

- 2014 A Cultural Resources Assessment of the USACE Jurisdictional Areas along BridgeTEX Pipeline Company, LLC's Proposed BridgeTex North Pipeline ROW. Report prepared by Horizon Environmental Services, Inc., Austin, Texas.

### Bureau of Economic Geology (BEG)

- 1996 *Physiographic Map of Texas*. The University of Texas at Austin.
- 2000 *Vegetation/Cover Types of Texas*. The University of Texas at Austin.
- 2008 *General soil Map of Texas*. The University of Texas at Austin.

### Burgess, Kathleen and Terry Burgess

- 2015 Site forms for Sites 41NL320, 41NL321, 41NL323, 41NL326. Texas Historical Commission Sites Atlas Online. <https://atlas.thc.state.tx.us/>. Accessed April 2019.

### Burgess, Terry and Carrie Davis

- 2015 *Lone Star Express 24-inch Pipeline Project*. Turpin and Sons, Inc., Canyon Lake.

### Darnell, Bruce, Dale Norton, and Shelly Fishbeck

- 2018 Texas Archeological Site Form for Site 41TA371. Texas Archeological Sites Atlas. <http://atlas.thc.state.tx.us>. Accessed July 12, 2019.



Deiss, Ronald W.

1981 The Development and Application of a Chronology for American Glass. Master's thesis, Illinois State University, Normal, IL.

Clower, Dennis F.

1981 *Soil Survey of Callahan County, Texas*. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.

Conner, Nathaniel R.

1976 *Soil Survey of Taylor County, Texas*. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.

Find-a-Grave

2019a Ransom Jefferson Adcock. <https://www.findagrave.com/memorial/23091177/ransom-jefferson-adcock>. Accessed November 2019.

2019b Robert Alexander Adcock. <https://www.findagrave.com/memorial/34669957/robert-alexander-adcock>. Accessed November 2019.

2019c Oscar Johnson Adcock, Sr. <https://www.findagrave.com/memorial/48034158/oscar-johnson-adcock>. Accessed November 2019.

2019d Oscar Johnson Adcock, Jr. <https://www.findagrave.com/memorial/74520095/oscar-johnson-adcock>. Accessed November 2019.

2019e William Arch Daniel. <https://www.findagrave.com/memorial/39496860/william-arch-daniel>. Accessed November 2019.

Ferring, C. Reid

2007 The Geology of Texas. Thompson Corporation, Stamford.

Frison, G.C.

1991 The Goshen Paleoindian Complex: New Data for Paleoindian Research. In *Clovis and Adaptations*, edited by R. Bonnicksen and K.L. Turnmire. Center for the Study of the First Americans, University of Maine, Orono.

General Land Office

2019a Texas General Land Office Land Grant Search: Results for Nolan County, Abstract 311, File No. 14515. <http://www.glo.texas.gov/history/archives/land-grants/landgrants.cfm?intID=268957>. Accessed November 2019.

2019b Texas General Land Office Land Grant Search: Results for Nolan County, Abstract 1277, File No. 38427. <http://www.glo.texas.gov/history/archives/land-grants/landgrants.cfm?intID=269937>. Accessed November 2019.

2019c Texas General Land Office Land Grant Search: Results for Taylor County, Abstract 375. <http://www.glo.texas.gov/history/archives/land-grants/LandGrantsWorklist.cfm>. Accessed November 2019.

2019d Texas General Land Office Land Grant Search: Results for Taylor County, Abstract 751. <http://www.glo.texas.gov/history/archives/land-grants/LandGrantsWorklist.cfm>. Accessed November 2019.

2019e Texas General Land Office Land Grant Search: Results for Taylor County, Abstract 1258, File No. 2340. <http://www.glo.texas.gov/history/archives/land-grants/LandGrantsWorklist.cfm>. Accessed November 2019.

- Griffith, Glenn, Sandy Bryce, James Omernik, and Anne Rogers  
2007 *Ecoregions of Texas*. Dynamac Corporation, Corvallis.
- Greer, G.H.  
1981 American Stonewares: The Art and Craft of Utilitarian Potters. Schiffer Pub Ltd (1981).
- Haley, James L.  
2010 Red River War. *Handbook of Texas Online*.  
<http://www.tshaonline.org/handbook/online/articles/qdr02>. Accessed April 2019.
- Henderson, John C.  
2010 Tom Green County. *Handbook of Texas Online*.  
<https://tshaonline.org/handbook/online/articles/hct07>. Accessed March 10, 2018.
- Hofman, Jack L.  
1989 Prehistoric Culture History—Hunters and Gatherers in the Southern Great Plains. In *From Clovis to Comanchero: Archaeological Overview of the Southern Great Plains*, by Jack L. Hofman, Robert L. Brooks, Joe S. Hayes, and Douglas W. Owsley, Richard L. Jantz, Murray K. Marks, and Mary H. Manheim. Research Series No. 35. Arkansas Archaeological Survey, Fayetteville.
- Holliday, V.T.  
1997 *Paleoindian Geoarchaeology of the Southern High Plains*. University of Texas Press, Austin.
- Hughes, Jack T.  
1991 Prehistoric Developments on the Texas High Plains. *Bulletin of the Texas Archeological Society*, 60, pp. 1-56.  
1962 Lake Creek: A Woodland Site in the Texas Panhandle. *Bulletin of the Texas Archeological Society*, 32, pp. 65-84.
- Johnson, Eileen and Vance T. Holliday  
2004 Archeology and Late Quaternary Environments of the Southern High Plains. In *The Prehistory of Texas*, Edited by Timothy K. Pertulla. Texas A&M University Press, College Station.
- Kelley, Jane Holden  
1964 Comments on the Archeology of the Llano Estacado. *Bulletin of the Texas Archeological Society*, 35, pp. 1-18.
- Lief, Alfred  
1965 *A Close-up of Closures: History and Progress*. Glass Container Manufactures Institute, New York.
- Lindsey, Bill  
2019 Historic Glass Bottle Identification & Information Website. ONLINE. 2010. Society for Historical Archaeology and Bureau of Land Management. Available:  
<http://www.sha.org/bottle/index.htm> [Accessed November 2019].

Long, Christopher

2010 Handbook of Texas Online, "CALLAHAN COUNTY," accessed March 23, 2019, <http://www.tshaonline.org/handbook/online/articles/hcc03>. Uploaded on June 12, 2010. Modified on June 2, 2017. Published by the Texas State Historical Association.

Lowther, A.C.

1981 *Soil Survey of Nolan County, Texas*. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.

Meltzer, D.J.

1987 The Clovis Paleoindian Occupation of Texas: Results of the Texas Clovis Fluted Point Survey. *Bulletin of the Texas Archeological Society* 57:27-68.

Moore, Joe D., Winfred C. Coburn, and Edward R. Harris

1977 *Soil Survey of Eastland County, Texas*. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.

Munsell Soil Color Chart (Munsell)

2005 Revised Edition. Macbeth Division of Kollmorgan Instruments Corporation.

Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS)

2019 Natural Resource Conservation Service. "Web Soil Survey" <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm>. (Accessed 10 March 2019).

Newcomb, W.W.

1961 *The Indians of Texas: from Prehistoric to Modern Times*. University of Texas Press, Austin.

Newspapers.com

2019 'Native' Bricks Pave Abilene History. The Abilene Reporter-News. Abilene, Texas, Thursday Evening, September 24, 1959. [https://www.newspapers.com/image/?clipping\\_id=22291456&fcfToken=eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsInR5cCI6IkpXVCJ9.eyJmcmVILXZpZXctaWQiOiQ1MjY1NDQzLCJpYXQiOiE1NzQzNjY5OTgslmV4cCI6MTU3NDQ1MzM5OH0.DzmaMcWMXbDSBVSZiLJu3eURFI7hxDOzoNtYjFYGbikAccessed November 2019](https://www.newspapers.com/image/?clipping_id=22291456&fcfToken=eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsInR5cCI6IkpXVCJ9.eyJmcmVILXZpZXctaWQiOiQ1MjY1NDQzLCJpYXQiOiE1NzQzNjY5OTgslmV4cCI6MTU3NDQ1MzM5OH0.DzmaMcWMXbDSBVSZiLJu3eURFI7hxDOzoNtYjFYGbikAccessed November 2019).

Karpinski et al., Robert

2014 Site forms for Site 41NL318. Texas Historical Commission Sites Atlas Online. <https://atlas.thc.state.tx.us/>. Accessed April 2019.

Pertulla, Timothy K. (editor)

2004 *The Prehistory of Texas*. Texas A & M University Press, College Station.

Prikryl, D.J.

1990 *Lower Elm Fork Prehistory: A Redefinition of Cultural Concepts and Chronologies along the Trinity River, North-central Texas*. Report No.37. Austin: Office of the State Archeologist, Texas Historical Commission.



Texas State Historical Association (TSHA)

2019 The Handbook of Texas Online. <https://tshaonline.org/handbook>. Accessed March 2019.

Texas Archeological Sites Atlas

2019 Atlas Map. Restricted Access. <https://atlas.thc.state.tx.us/>. Accessed April 2019.

Toulouse, Julian Harrison

1971 *Bottle Makers and Their Marks*. New York: Thomas Nelson, Inc. 1971.

Turner, Ellen Sue and Thomas R. Hester

1993 A Field Guide to Stone Artifacts of Texas Indians. Second Edition. Texas Monthly Field Guide Series, Gulf Publishing Company, Houston.

Turner, Ellen Sue, Thomas R. Hester, and Richard L. McReynolds

2011 Stone Artifacts of Texas Indians. Taylor Trade Publishing, Plymouth, United Kingdom.

United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service, Soil Survey Office (USDA-NRCS, SSO)

2008 General Soil Map of Texas. [https://legacy.lib.utexas.edu/maps/texas/texas-general\\_soil\\_map-2008.pdf](https://legacy.lib.utexas.edu/maps/texas/texas-general_soil_map-2008.pdf). Accessed May 2019.

Wiley, Patrick S. and Jack T. Hughes

1978 *The Deadman's Terrace Site, Archeology at MacKenzie Reservoir*. Archeological Survey Report 24. Office of the State Archeologist, Texas Historical Commission, Austin.

Wormington, H.M.

1957 *Ancient Man in North America*. Popular Series, 4th Ed., Denver: Denver Museum of Natural History.

Wheat, Joe Ben



1972 *The Olson-Chubbuck Site. A Paleo-Indian Bison Kill*. Memoirs 26. Society for American Archeology, Washington, D.C.

Whitlock, V.H.

1970 *Cowboy Life on the Llano Estacado*. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman.

<https://fiestafactorydirect.com/pages/our-history> Accessed November 2019.

## **APPENDIX A: PERMIT AREAS WITHIN THE LOOP 2 PROJECT ALIGNMENT**



#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary




Permit areas within the  
Loop 2 project alignment.


Figure A1







- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A2








#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.


Figure A3









- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary



Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.





#### Legend




- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary



Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A5





- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary






Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A6








#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary






Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A7








#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A8







Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.


Figure A9







- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A10







Legend

- Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A11







#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the  
Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A12







- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary







Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A13








Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.




Figure A14











Legend

- Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary




Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.




Figure A16











Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.


Figure A18







- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary






Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A19







#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the  
Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A20







#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.




Figure A21












#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary






Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A23



**GRAY & PAPE**  
HERITAGE MANAGEMENT





Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary






Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.


Figure A24










- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary




Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.





- Legend
- ▲ Isolate Find
  - New / Expanded Sites
  - Previously Recorded Sites
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area Location and Number
  - Project Centerline
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A26







#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A27








#### Legend

- ▲ Isolate Find
- New / Expanded Sites
- Previously Recorded Sites
- Field Delineated Water Features
- Permit Area Location and Number
- Project Centerline
- Historical Markers
- Cemeteries
- Previous Linear Survey
- Previous Area Survey
- USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Permit areas within the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure A28













**APPENDIX B: FIELD RESULTS WITHIN PERMIT AREAS ALONG  
THE LOOP 2 PROJECT ALIGNMENT.**









- Legend
- Project Centerline
  - Survey Corridor
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area
  - Positive Shovel Test
  - Negative Shovel Test
  - Deep Test
  - New/Confirmed Site Area
  - Isolate Find
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary






Field results within permit areas along the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure B2






























Figure B8










- Legend
- Project Centerline
  - Survey Corridor
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area
  - Positive Shovel Test
  - Negative Shovel Test
  - Deep Test
  - New/Confirmed Site Area
  - Isolate Find
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Field results within permit areas along the Loop 2 project alignment.



Figure B11



































Field results within permit areas along the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure B17

























- Legend
- Project Centerline
  - Survey Corridor
  - Field Delineated Water Features
  - Permit Area
  - Positive Shovel Test
  - Negative Shovel Test
  - Deep Test
  - New/Confirmed Site Area
  - Isolate Find
  - Historical Markers
  - Cemeteries
  - Previous Linear Survey
  - Previous Area Survey
  - USGS Quadrangle Boundary





Field results within permit areas along the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure B22






























































































































































































Field results within permit areas along the Loop 2 project alignment.

Figure B65





## **APPENDIX C: SHOVEL TEST LOG**













Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
6	LSX-TA-033.000	B1	Gray & Pape	Subsoil? Deep test recommended	406589	3587230		Negative	100	75yr4/4	sac1									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A11	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	406754	3587197	41TA353/534	Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	rock									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A10	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	406780	3587182	41TA353/534	Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	rock									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A9	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	406787	3587212	41TA353/534	Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A7	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	406802	3587181	41TA353/534	Negative	50	75yr4/4	sa				rock					
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	406827	3587175	41TA353/534	Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	rock									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	406828	3587201	41TA353/534	Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	406844	3587199	41TA353/534	Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A8	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	406953	3587142	41TA353/534	Negative	25	75yr4/4	sa				rock					
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407015	3587138	41TA353/534	Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	bedrock									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407016	3587119	41TA353/534	Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	bedrock									
6	LSX-TA-033.000	A4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407025	3587168	41TA353/534	Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-034.000	A14	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407162	3587091		Negative	20	7.5yr4/4	salo		30	7.5yr4/4	sac1					
6	LSX-TA-034.000	A12	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407171	3587105		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-034.000	A15	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407185	3587088		Negative	25	7.5yr4/4	salo		35	7.5yr4/4	sac1					
6	LSX-TA-034.000	A13	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407190	3587101		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-034.000	B3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407235	3587080		Negative	20	7.5yr4/4	salo		35	7.5yr4/4	sac1					
6	LSX-TA-034.000	B2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407242	3587097		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-034.000	B4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407274	3587074		Negative	25	7.5yr4/4	salo		35	7.5yr4/4	cl					
6	LSX-TA-034.000	B1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407277	3587093		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sac1lo									
6	LSX-TA-034.000	B5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	407397	3587045		Negative	20	75yr4/4	salo				hydic					

















Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
13	LSX-TA-052.000	SRS36	Horizon		417607	3582573		Negative	55	5YR4/6	silty clay loam									
13	LSX-TA-050.000	106	Horizon		416671	3582958		Negative	20	dark brown	cl		30	reddish brown	cl					
13	LSX-TA-050.000	107	Horizon		416798	3582898		Negative	20	dark brown	cl		30	reddish brown	cl					
13	LSX-TA-050.000	108	Horizon		416845	3582876		Negative	30	very dark brown	lo		40	gray	cl					
13	LSX-TA-052.000	109	Horizon		417224	3582732		Negative	30	dark brown	cl									
13	LSX-TA-052.000	110	Horizon		417690	3582536		Negative	20	dark brown	cl		30	dark reddish brown	cl					
13	LSX-TA-050.000	B1	Gray & Pape		416580	3583002		Negative	25	5YR4/6	clay									
14	LSX-TA-054.000	153	Horizon		418385	3582242		Negative	20	2.5YR4/4	clay									
14	LSX-TA-053.000	154	Horizon		418334	3582262		Negative	20	5YR4/2	clay									
14	LSX-TA-054.000	158	Horizon		418370	3582250		Negative	10	brown	sandy loam		25	reddish brown	dense clay					
14	LSX-TA-054.000	SRS37	Horizon		418422	3582228		Negative	40	5YR4/6	silty clay loam									
14	LSX-TA-054.000	SRS38	Horizon		418488	3582203		Negative	60	5YR4/6	silty clay loam									
14	LSX-TA-054.000	111	Horizon		418454	3582216		Negative	30	dark red	cl									
14	LSX-TA-054.000	112	Horizon		418515	3582192		Negative	30	dark red	cl									
15	LSX-TA-066.000	155	Horizon		420114	3581717		Negative	20	5YR4/4	clay									
15	LSX-TA-066.000	156	Horizon		420168	3581714		Negative	20	5YR5/4	clay									
15	LSX-TA-066.000	A3	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	420088	3581711		Negative	25	75yr4/4	salo				rock					
15	LSX-TA-066.000	A4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	420090	3581732		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sacлло									
15	LSX-TA-066.000	A5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	420058	3581741		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sacлло									
15	LSX-TA-066.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	420058	3581717		Negative	25	75yr4/4	salo				rock					









Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
19	LSX-TA-083.000	SRS53	Horizon		425453	3578980		Negative	30	5YR3/3	clay									
20	LSX-TA-087.000	157	Horizon		426280	3578888		Negative	20	5YR3/4	sandy clay		30	5YR4/4	clay					
20	LSX-TA-087.000	158	Horizon		426246	3578890		Negative	20	5YR4/4	clay									
20	LSX-TA-087.000	159	Horizon		426218	3578893		Negative	20	5YR4/4	clay									
20	LSX-TA-087.000	118	Horizon		426312	3578884		Negative	20	dark brown	cl		30	dark reddish brown	cl					
20	LSX-TA-087.000	119	Horizon		426343	3578884		Negative	20	dark brown	cl		30	dark reddish brown	cl					
20	LSX-TA-087.000	120	Horizon		426373	3578880		Negative	30	brown	cl lo		40	dark brown	cl					
21	LSX-TA-089.000	B1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	428362	3578589		Negative	50	5YR 6/3	sacl									
21	LSX-TA-089.000	B2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	428364	3578603		Negative	35	5YR 6/3	sacl									
21	LSX-TA-089.000	B3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	428336	3578620		Negative	40	5YR 6/3	sacl									
21	LSX-TA-089.000	B4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	428320	3578602		Negative	50	5YR 6/3	sacl									
21	LSX-TA-089.000	A3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	428243	3578633		Negative	60	5YR 6/3	sacl									
21	LSX-TA-089.000	A2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	428408	3578570		Negative	45	5YR 6/3	sacl									
21	LSX-TA-089.000	A1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	428414	3578594		Negative	50	5YR 6/3	sacl									
22	LSX-TA-094.260	169	Horizon		429647	3577140		Negative	30	2.5YR5/6, 5YR3/4	clay									
22	LSX-TA-094.260	170	Horizon		429650	3577164		Negative	20	2.5YR2.5/4	clay		40	2.5YR2.5/2	clay					
22	LSX-TA-094.260	177	Horizon		429631	3577143		Negative	5	dark brown	clay loam		30	brown	dense clay					
22	LSX-TA-094.260	178	Horizon		429630	3577112		Negative	10	dark brown	clay loam		30	brown	dense clay					
22	LSX-TA-094.260	179	Horizon	disturbed road gravels	429630	3577229		Negative	5	yellowish brown	constructi on fill		30	mixed brown & dark brown	dense clay					





Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
23	LSX-TA-094.290	SRS49	Horizon		430189	3577092		Negative	40	5YR4/6	loamy clay									
23	LSX-TA-094.290	128	Horizon		430441	3577092		Negative	30	reddish brown	si cl lo		40	dark reddish brown	cl					
23	LSX-TA-094.290	129	Horizon		430472	3577092		Negative	30	reddish brown	si cl lo		40	dark reddish brown	cl					
23	LSX-TA-094.290	130	Horizon		430445	3577068		Negative	30	reddish brown	si cl lo		40	dark reddish brown	cl					
23	LSX-TA-094.290	131	Horizon		430216	3577067		Negative	30	very dark reddish brown	si cl lo									
23	LSX-TA-094.290	132	Horizon		430257	3577069		Negative	10	very dark reddish brown	si cl lo		80	reddish brown si lo						
23	LSX-TA-094.270	B1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in subsoil	430076	3577069		Negative	50	5YR4/6	si cl lo									
23	LSX-TA-094.290	B2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in subsoil	430147	3577070		Negative	50	5YR4/4	si cl lo									
23	LSX-TA-094.290	A2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in subsoil	430147	3577088		Negative	50	5YR4/6	si cl lo									
24	LSX-TA-124.000	160	Horizon		432616	3577109		Negative	20	2.5YR6/6	silty clay		30	2.5YR3/6	clay					
24	LSX-TA-124.000	161	Horizon		432647	3577135		Negative	20	2.5YR5/4	clay									
24	LSX-TA-124.000	162	Horizon		432670	3577116		Negative	20	5YR5/4	silty clay		30	5YR4/4	clay					
24	LSX-TA-123.000	B1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	431978	3577136		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	saclo									
24	LSX-TA-123.000	B2	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	432079	3577137		Negative	25	75 yr4/4	sa				rock					
24	LSX-TA-123.000	B3	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	432181	3577130		Negative	15	75 yr4/4	sa				rock					
24	LSX-TA-123.000	B4	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	432223	3577131		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sa				rock					
24	LSX-TA-123.000	B5	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	432338	3577132		Negative	25	75yr4/4	sa				rock					
24	LSX-TA-123.000	B6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	432464	3577121		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	saclo									
24	LSX-TA-123.000	B7	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	432060	3577137		Negative	20	75 yr4/4	salo				rock					

























Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
38	LSX-CA-037.000 / LSX-CA-038.000	A18	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	457086	3577873		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	saclo									
38	LSX-CA-037.000 / LSX-CA-038.000	A19	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	457214	3577839		Negative	15	75 yr4/4	Sand				Bedrock					
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A1	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout	459506	3577297		Negative	20	5YR 4/3	sil		30	5YR 3/2	sicl					
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A2	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459454	3577313		Negative	5	5YR 4/3	sil		7	Rock						
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A3	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459399	3577324		Negative	5	5YR 4/3	sil		7	Rock						
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A4	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459348	3577334		Negative	9	5YR 4/3	sil		14	Rock						
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A5	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout	459300	3577345		Negative	18	5YR 4/3	sil		28	5YR 3/2	sicl					
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Caliche just under surface throughout area, mostly caliche and limestone	459256	3577358	41CA42	Negative	3	5YR 4/3	sil							bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A8	Gray & Pape	No gravels on surface or subsurface	459205	3577371	41CA42	Negative	17	5YR 4/3	sil		25	5YR 3/3	sicl			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	A7	Gray & Pape	Caliche just under surface throughout area, mostly caliche and limestone	459233	3577367	41CA42	Negative	3	5YR 4/3	sil				bedrock			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DW	Gray & Pape	No gravels on surface or subsurface	459189	3577375		Negative	15	5YR 4/3	sil		20	5YR 3/3	sicl			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DW2	Gray & Pape	No gravels on surface or subsurface	459180	3577378		Negative	20	5YR 4/3	sil		25	5YR 3/3	sicl			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DE	Gray & Pape	Caliche just under surface throughout area, mostly caliche and limestone	459269	3577355		Negative	10	5YR 4/3	sil		15	5YR 3/3	sicl			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DE2	Gray & Pape	Caliche just under surface throughout area, mostly caliche and limestone	459280	3577353		Negative	17	5YR 4/3	sil		20	5YR 3/3	sicl			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DN	Gray & Pape	Caliche just under surface throughout area, mostly caliche and limestone	459235	3577379		Negative	5	5YR 4/3	sil		10	5YR 3/3	sicl			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DS	Gray & Pape	No gravels on surface or subsurface	459231	3577356	41CA42	Negative	20	5YR 4/3	sil		28	5YR 3/3	sicl			bedrock		
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DE	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459392	3577336		Negative	5	5YR 4/3	sil				Rock					



Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DS	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459382	3577329		Negative	10	5YR 4/3	silos				Rock					
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DS2	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459381	3577321		Negative	5	5YR 4/3	silos				Rock					
39	LSX-CA-039.000	DE2	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459401	3577334		Negative	10	5YR 4/3	silos				Rock					
39	LSX-CA-039.000	D	Gray & Pape	Caliche gravels throughout, strat 2 is caliche rock	459382	3577340		Negative	15	5YR 4/3	silos				Rock					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462923	3576513		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462891	3576504		Negative	5		rock									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462836	3576520		Negative	5		rock									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462869	3576525		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462808	3576525		Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllorck									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462837	3576532		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462809	3576542		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462923	3576498		Negative	20	75yr4/2	cllo		25	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	clrk					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	462903	3576519		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463195	3576451		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B7	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463231	3576445		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B8	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463198	3576426		Negative	35	75yr4/4	salos		40	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllorck					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B9	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463224	3576424		Negative	40	75yr4/5	salos		45	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllorck					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B10	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463247	3576415		Negative	35	75yr4/4	salos		40	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllorck					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B11	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463265	3576435		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B12	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463293	3576424		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B13	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463277	3576409		Negative	20	75yr4/2	salos			75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saccllorck					

Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B14	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463372	3576376		Negative	20	75yr4/2	sal			75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	sacclorck					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B15	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463405	3576370		Negative	25	75yr4/2	sal			75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	sacclorck					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B16	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463415	3576394		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B17	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463381	3576403		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B18	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463440	3576371		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sa		25	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	sacclorck					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B19	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463463	3576385		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	B20	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463493	3576376		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A1	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463598	3576324		Negative	50	75yr4/4	sa		60	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	sacclorck					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463591	3576350	41CA27	Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463560	3576355	41CA27	Negative - Disturbed	5	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463643	3576335		Negative - Disturbed	15	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463668	3576328		Negative - Disturbed	20	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463654	3576307		Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	sacclorck									
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A7	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463675	3576303		Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	sacclorck									
40	LSX-CA-045.100	A1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463722	3576297		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	saclo									
40	LSX-CA-045.100	A2	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	463810	3576285		Negative	15	75 yr4/4	sa				Rock					
40	LSX-CA-045.000	A2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	463560	3576331		Negative	40	75yr4/4	sa		55	75yr4/4 ; 5yr5/6	sacclorck					
41	LSX-CA-045.200	A14	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465097	3575977		Negative	5		Bedrock									
41	LSX-CA-045.200	A15	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465195	3575962		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	saclo									
41	LSX-CA-045.200	A16	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465332	3575914		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	saclo									
41	LSX-CA-046.000	x1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465427	3575892		Negative	20	10YR3/3	siclo				Rock					



Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
41	LSX-CA-046.000	x2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465469	3575898		Negative	50	10YR3/3	sicllo				Rock					
41	LSX-CA-046.000	x3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465506	3575888		Negative	15	10YR3/3	sicllo				Rock					
41	LSX-CA-046.000	x4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465572	3575877		Negative	15	10YR3/2	sicllo				Rock					
41	LSX-CA-046.000	x5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465609	3575867		Negative	25	10YR3/3	sicllo		35	10YR4/3	sicllo					
41	LSX-CA-046.000	x6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465656	3575850		Negative	25	10YR5/4	sacлло									
41	LSX-CA-046.000	x7	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465759	3575826		Negative	10	10YR4/3	silo				rock					
42	LSX-CA-046.000	x9	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465998	3575772		Negative	25	10YR3/3	sicllo				Rock					
42	LSX-CA-046.000	x8	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	465877	3575801		Negative	10	10YR3/3	silo				Rock					
43	LSX-CA-046.000	y3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	467470	3575475		Negative	35	10YR4/3	sallo				Rock					
43	LSX-CA-046.000	y4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	467371	3575499		Negative	35	10YR3/1	sicl									
43	LSX-CA-046.000	y5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	467266	3575518		Negative	20	5YR4/4	sicllo		30	5YR4/4, 10YR4/3	sicllo					
44	LSX-CA-051.000	A3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	469365	3575033		Negative	5		bedrock									
44	LSX-CA-051.000	A4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	469317	3575037		Negative	5		bedrock									
44	LSX-CA-051.000	A5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	469322	3575058		Negative - Disturbed	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sacлло									
44	LSX-CA-051.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	469354	3575050		Negative - Disturbed	5	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	bedrock									
44	LSX-CA-051.000	A2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	469283	3575050		Negative	5	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sacлло									
44	LSX-CA-051.000	A1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	469461	3575011		Negative	10	75yr4/4 ; 75yr4/2	sacлло									
45	LSX-CA-052.000	A7	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	470244	3574833		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sa				rock					
45	LSX-CA-052.000	A8	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	470245	3574850		Negative	5	75yr4/4	rock									
45	LSX-CA-052.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	470178	3574844		Negative	15	75yr4/4	rock									
46	LSX-CA-054.000	A9	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	471904	3574462		Negative	30	75yr4/4	sa				rock					











Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
	/ LSX-CA-075.000																			
52	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	B8	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	479391	3572749		Negative	5		rock									
52	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	B9	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	479451	3572735		Negative	5		rock									
52	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	B10	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	479438	3572720		Negative	5		rock									
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480306	3572466		Negative	20	10YR4/4	salo		50	10YR6/3	saclo					
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A2	Gray & Pape	Inundated	480335	3572456		Negative	5		H2O									
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A3	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480367	3572449		Negative	20	10yr4/4	salo		30	10yr6/3	salo		40	7.5yr5/6	saclo	
53	LSX-CA-072.000	A4	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480399	3572438		Negative	10	10YR5/4	sa		20	7.5YR4/6, 7.5YR6/2	saclo					

Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
	/ LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000																			
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A5	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480432	3572431		Negative	20	10YR5/4	sa		30	10YR5/4	saclo					
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000		Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480335	3572483		Negative - Disturbed	30	10YR5/4, 7.5YR4/6	dist saclo									
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000		Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480445	3572456		Negative - Disturbed	30	10YR5/4, 7.5YR4/6	dist saclo									
53	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480465	3572424		Negative	20	10YR4/4	salo		30	7.5YR4/6	saclo					
54	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A7	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480588	3572337		Negative	20	10YR4/4	dist cl lo		30	7.5YR5/8	cllo					
54	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000	A8	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480620	3572326		Negative	20	10YR4/4	dist cl lo		30	7.5YR5/8	cllo					



Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
	/ LSX-CA-075.000																			
54	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A9	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480682	3572322		Negative	10	7.5YR4/6	salo		20	5YR6/2	salo				rock	
54	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A10	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480738	3572339		Negative	20	10YR4/4	sicllo									
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A11	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480885	3572327		Negative	25	10YR3/4	silo		35	7.5YR4/6	sicllo					
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A12	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480913	3572319		Negative	30	7.5YR3/3	cllo									
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	B1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480912	3572304		Negative	20	10YR2/2	sicllo									
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	B2	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480938	3572297		Negative	20	10YR2/2	sicllo									
55	LSX-CA-072.000	A13	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	480938	3572316		Negative	40	10YR4/4	salo				gravels					





Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
	/ LSX-CA-075.000																			
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A18	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481097	3572274		Negative	30	10YR4/4, 10YR5/6	dist sa cl lo									
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A19	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481128	3572253		Negative	25	10YR4/4	salo		35	7.5YR4/6	saclo					
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A20	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481150	3572234		Negative	30	10YR4/4	salo		50	7.5YR5/6	sac					
55	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A21	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481182	3572212		Negative	50	10YR6/3	salo		60	7.5YR4/6	saclo					
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A22	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481287	3572161		Negative	10	10YR3/4	salo		50	10YR6/3	salo		60	7.5YR4/6	saclo	
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A23	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481317	3572152		Negative	20	10YR3/4	salo		40	10YR5/6	sa		50	2.5YR4/6	saclo	
56	LSX-CA-072.000	A24	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481359	3572152		Negative	10	10YR3/2	salo		50	10YR5/4	saclo					

Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
	/ LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000																			
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A25	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481390	3572151		Negative	10	10YR5/4	sa		35	7.5YR4/6	saclo					
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000		Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481320	3572173		Negative - Disturbed	30	10YR3/4, 10YR5/4	dist saclo									
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000		Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481447	3572172		Negative - Disturbed	30	10YR3/4, 10YR5/4	dist saclo									
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A26	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481418	3572146		Negative	30	10YR4/4	salo		40	7.5YR4/6	saclo					
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A27	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481443	3572149		Negative	20	7.5YR5/6	siclo									
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000	A28	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481469	3572149		Negative	35	10YR4/4	salo		45	7.5YR4/3	saclo					



Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
	/ LSX-CA-075.000																			
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A29	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481504	3572150		Negative	50	10YR4/4	sal									
56	LSX-CA-072.000 / LSX-CA-073.000 / LSX-CA-074.000 / LSX-CA-075.000	A30	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	481540	3572146		Negative	20	7.5YR4/4	sal		40	10YR4/6	saclo					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	B11	Gray & Pape	Terminated at bedrock	483628	3571680		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sa				rock					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	B12	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	483596	3571691		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sa		30	75yr4/4	sac					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	B13	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	483560	3571696		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sal		30	75yr4/4	sac					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	B14	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	483536	3571702		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sal		30	75yr4/4	sac					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	B15	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	483338	3571750		Negative	15	75yr4/4	sal		25	75yr4/4	sac					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	B16	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	483304	3571762		Negative	20	75yr4/4	sal		30	75yr4/4	sac					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	B17	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	483274	3571770		Negative	25	75yr4/4	sal		35	75yr4/4	sac					
57	LSX-CA-076.000 / LSX-CA-077.000	A1	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	483238	3571775		Negative	20	7.5YR 4/3	sal		30	Mottled 7.5YR 5/4	sac					







Permit Area Number	TRACT_N UMB	Test Number	Firm	Comment	Easting	Northing	Site Number	Test Result	Strat I Depth	Strat I Munsell	Strat I Texture	Strat I FS	Strat II Depth	Strat II Munsell	Strat II Texture	Strat II FS	Strat III Depth	Strat III Munsell	Strat III Texture	Strat III FS
61	LSX-EA-004.000	B6	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	490175	3570224		Negative	25	75yr4/4	cl				hydric					
61	LSX-EA-003.000	B7	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	490050	3570264		Negative	30	75 yr4/4	cllo		40	75 yr4/2	cl					
61	LSX-EA-003.000	B8	Gray & Pape	Terminated in Subsoil	490067	3570260		Negative	20	75 yr4/2	cl									
62	LSX-EA-007.000	175	Horizon		491468	3569958		Negative	10	7.5YR4/1	sandy loam		20	10YR4/1	clay					
62	LSX-EA-007.000	185	Horizon	offset due to standing water	491433	3569966		Negative	20	dark brown	clay loam		40	pale brown	moist sandy clay		50	yellowish brown	clay	
62	LSX-EA-007.000	SRS56	Horizon		491448	3569963		Negative	20	5YR4/4	clay		45	5YR5/6	sand					
62	LSX-EA-007.000	139	Horizon		491508	3569950		Negative	10	brown	sa lo		60	yellowish brown	sa lo		80	yellowish red	so cl	
63	LSX-EA-008.500	176	Horizon		491906	3569863		Negative	5	7.5YR7/3	sand		20	2.5YR5/8, 2.5YR4/3, 7.5YR5/4	clay					
63	LSX-EA-008.000	186	Horizon		491882	3569886		Negative	30	pale brown	moist sandy loam		45	brown/ yellowish brown	dense clay					
63	LSX-EA-008.000	187	Horizon	B horizon	491826	3569897		Negative	20	dark brown	sandy loam		80	yellowish brown	fine sand					
63	LSX-EA-008.000	SRS57	Horizon		491851	3569887		Negative	20	5YR5/6	loamy sand		45	5YR6/3	clay					
63	LSX-EA-008.500	140	Horizon		491932	3569862		Negative	20	mottled brown, yellowish brown, yellowish red	sa cl		30	red	cl					
64	LSX-EA-014.000	177	Horizon		494103	3569468		Negative	10	7.5YR6/2	sand		20	2.5YR6/8	clay					
64	LSX-EA-014.000	178	Horizon		494139	3569462		Negative	30	7.5YR7/3	sandy loam		90	7.5YR7/3	sandy clay					
64	LSX-EA-015.000	179	Horizon	Terminated in watertable	494279	3569443		Negative	70	7.5YR7/3	sand				watertable					
64	LSX-EA-014.000	188	Horizon		494078	3569475		Negative	50	pale brown	moist sand		80	very dark brown	saturated sand					
64	LSX-EA-015.000	189	Horizon	B horizon	494254	3569443		Negative	20	grayish brown	sandy loam		80	pale brown	fine sand					
64	LSX-EA-015.000	190	Horizon	B horizon	494379	3569422		Negative	20	grayish brown	sandy loam		80	pale brown	fine sand					
64	LSX-EA-014.000	SRS58	Horizon		494034	3569479		Negative	15	5YR4/3	loamy sand		50	5YR7/2	sand					



## **APPENDIX D: DEED RESEARCH FOR SITE 41TA396**



## **SUPPLEMENT & UPDATE TO LIMITED TITLE CERTIFICATE**

**Project: Lone Star Express II – Baden to LSX4**  
**AFE NO: 453 000 000 541**

**Prepared For: Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
**Current Tract No(s): LSX-TA-009.000**

**Supplementing / Updating:** A prior LTC dated 1/15/2015 for Tract No: LSE-TA-009.000 for 2015 LSE Project.

### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY**

**That certain tract of land, containing 557 acres, more or less, situated in Section 61, A-375 and Section 52, A-1251, Block 19 of the T & P RR. Co. Surveys, Taylor County, Texas, and being the same land described in that certain Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien from R.W. McDonnell to Dink Whisenhunt and wife, Jan Whisenhunt, filed for record on December 31, 1992 in Volume 1897, Page 548, in the Official Public Records of Taylor County, Texas.**

<b>PRESENT OWNERS OF RECORD (AS TO SURFACE INTEREST)</b>		
<b>Name &amp; Marital Status / Legal Styling</b>	<b>Mailing Address</b>	<b>Ownership %</b>
<b>Jan Whisenhunt, aka Janet A. Whisenhunt, Individually and as Independent Executrix of the Will and Estate of Alvis Dwayne Whisenhunt, deceased</b>	P.O. Box 311 Merkel, TX 79536-0311	100.00%

<b>TAX ASSESSMENT SUMMARY</b>					
<b>Tax Parcel No(s).</b>	<b>Tax Parcel Acreage</b>	<b>Assessed to</b>	<b>Mailing Address</b>	<b>Assessed %</b>	<b>Taxes Paid (Y/N)</b>
69643	397.00	Janet A Whisenhunt	P.O. Box 311 Merkel, TX 79536-0311	100.00%	Y
14306	160	Janet A Whisenhunt	P.O. Box 311 Merkel, TX 79536-0311	100.00%	Y

### **ENCUMBRANCES SEARCHED / IDENTIFIED**

#### **CONTRACTS TO PURCHASE (Include any current Contracts to Purchase even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found new of record

#### **MORTGAGES (Include any open mortgages or DOTs even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found new of record

#### **LIENS (Include any open Liens even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found new of record

#### **SURFACE LEASES AND TENANTS (excluding mining & mineral interest leases)**

None found new of record

#### **EASEMENTS (Only Include New Easements Identified since Prior LTC, for older easements refer to Prior LTC):**

Permanent Easement Agreement to Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP filed at Inst. # 2015-00004475

#### **JUDGMENTS AND LIS PENDENS (Include any current Judgments even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found new of record

**Certification:** We certify that we have made a careful search of the subject property in the public land records of Taylor County, Texas, from the date listed on the above referenced prior LTC, and located the following supplemental documents recorded therein. It is expressly understood that this Limited Title Certificate is not a guaranty or warranty of title.

Supplemental Instruments Identified					
Document Type	Probate	Volume		Doc Date	3/29/2016 (DOD)
Grantor	The Will and Estate of Alvis Dwayne Whisenhunt, deceased	Page		Date Filed	5/24/2016
Grantee	Jan Whisenhunt, Independent Executrix	Inst. No.	Cause No. 28724		
Legal Description	Subject 557 acre property and other lands described in Inventory				
Comments	Jan Whisenhunt devisee in the Will and Issued letters testamentary appointing her Independent Executrix. Inventory Approved containing subject property. No formal disposition from the Estate.				
Document Type	Permanent Easement Agreement	Volume		Doc Date	3/16/2015
Grantor	Dink Whisenhunt and wife, Jan Whisenhunt	Page		Date Filed	3/31/2015
Grantee	Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP	Inst. No.	2015-00004475		
Legal Description	Subject 557 acre property				
Comments	50' permanent pipeline easement				
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					

**Researcher Notes:** None

**Research Conducted From:** 1/15/2015 good through: 6/8/2018

Research By: G. Doyle

Date Submitted: 12/5/2018

Title Reviewed By: Jim Dennard

Date Reviewed: 1/2/2018

**Any Supplemental Documents referenced above are Enclosed**

Name of Title Company  
Upperline Energy Partners

**UPPERLINE**  
ENERGY PARTNERS

**Tract No(s): LSX-TA-009.000**

Update to Limited Title Certificate

Page No. 2

Property

Account

Property ID:	14306	Legal Description:	A1251 SUR 52 T & P RY CO, BLOCK 19, ACRES 160.0
Geographic ID:	A1251000300	Agent Code:	
Type:	Real		
Property Use Code:			
Property Use Description:			

Location

Address:	CR 365	Mapsc0:	
Neighborhood:	TRENT ISD RURAL ABSTRACTS	Map ID:	TB04
Neighborhood CD:	905		

Owner

Name:	WHISENHUNT JANET A	Owner ID:	298485
Mailing Address:	PO BOX 311 MERKEL, TX 79536-0311	% Ownership:	100.000000000000%
		Exemptions:	

Values

(+) Improvement Homesite Value:	+	\$0	
(+) Improvement Non-Homesite Value:	+	\$0	
(+) Land Homesite Value:	+	\$0	
(+) Land Non-Homesite Value:	+	\$911	Ag / Timber Use Value
(+) Agricultural Market Valuation:	+	\$144,792	\$13,660
(+) Timber Market Valuation:	+	\$0	\$0
-----			
(=) Market Value:	=	\$145,703	
(-) Ag or Timber Use Value Reduction:	-	\$131,132	
-----			
(=) Appraised Value:	=	\$14,571	
(-) HS Cap:	-	\$0	
-----			
(=) Assessed Value:	=	\$14,571	

Taxing Jurisdiction

Owner:	WHISENHUNT JANET A
% Ownership:	100.000000000000%
Total Value:	\$145,703



Entity	Description	Tax Rate	Appraised Value	Taxable Value	Estimated Tax		
CAD	TAYLOR APPRAISAL DISTRICT	0.000000	\$14,571	\$14,571	\$0.00		
GTA	TAYLOR COUNTY	0.609100	\$14,571	\$14,571	\$88.75		
STR	TRENT ISD	1.450000	\$14,571	\$14,571	\$211.28		
	Total Tax Rate:	2.059100					
Taxes w/Current Exemptions:					\$300.03		
Taxes w/o Exemptions:					\$300.03		

## Improvement / Building

No improvements exist for this property.

and

#	Type	Description	Acres	Sqft	Eff Front	Eff Depth	Market Value	Prod. Value
1	4T	Tillable Class 4	45.7000	1990692.00	0.00	0.00	\$41,616	\$4,479
2	4P	Pasture Class 4	52.6000	2291256.00	0.00	0.00	\$47,900	\$3,366
3	6P	Pasture Class 6	10.9000	474804.00	0.00	0.00	\$9,926	\$763
4	6T	Tillable Class 6	15.8000	688248.00	0.00	0.00	\$14,388	\$2,196
5	3T	Tillable Class 3	34.0000	1481040.00	0.00	0.00	\$30,962	\$2,856
6	CM	Commercial	1.0000	43560.00	0.00	0.00	\$911	\$0

## Roll Value History

Year	Improvements	Land Market	Ag Valuation	Appraised	S Cap	Assessed
2019	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2018	\$0	\$145,703	13,660	14,571	\$0	\$14,571
2017	\$0	\$145,703	13,835	14,746	\$0	\$14,746
2016	\$0	\$145,703	13,783	14,694	\$0	\$14,694
2015	\$0	\$123,206	13,783	14,553	\$0	\$14,553
2014	\$0	\$114,541	13,783	14,499	\$0	\$14,499
2013	\$0	\$111,568	13,683	14,380	\$0	\$14,380
2012	\$0	\$108,341	13,446	14,123	\$0	\$14,123
2011	\$0	\$96,603	13,196	13,800	\$0	\$13,800
2010	\$0	\$96,603	12,965	13,569	\$0	\$13,569
2009	\$0	\$96,603	12,965	13,569	\$0	\$13,569
2008	\$86,087	\$96,603	12,965	99,656	\$0	\$99,656
2007	\$68,263	\$90,522	12,965	81,794	\$0	\$81,794
2006	\$7,500	\$90,522	13,372	20,872	\$0	\$20,872
2005	\$0	\$82,779	13,372	13,372	\$0	\$13,372

## Deed History - (Last 3 Deed Transactions)

#	Deed Date	Type	Description	Grantor	Grantee	Volume	Page	Deed Number
1	5/24/2016	PRO	PROBATE	WHISENHUNT DINK & JAN	WHISENHUNT ANET A			
2	12/31/1992	F	F	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	1897	548	
3	5/29/1992			ARDIN SIMMONS	MC DONNELL R W	1853	244	

# Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP

Chain of Title

<b>Project:</b> Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP			
<b>PARCEL NUMBER(S)</b>		<b>TRACT NO.</b>	
A0375000500/PID 69643		LSE-TA-009.000	
A1251000300/PID 14306			
<b>Description:</b>			
<p>That certain tract of land, containing 557 acres, more or less, situated in Sections 61 &amp; 52, Block 19 of the T &amp; P RR, Co. Surveys, Taylor County, Texas and being the same land described in that certain Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien from R. W. McDonnell to Dink Whisenhunt and wife, Jan Whisenhunt, filed for record on December 31, 1992 in Volume 1897, Page 548, in the Official Public Records of Taylor County, Texas.</p>			
<b>Present Status:</b>	<b>Taxes</b>	<b>Liens</b>	<b>Judgments</b>
CURRENT	Paid	None	None

<b>Chain of Title:</b>	
<b>Grantor:</b> Dink Whisenhunt and wife, Jan Whisenhunt  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Sunoco Pipeline L.P., a Texas limited partnership	<b>Document:</b> Permanent Easement Agreement <b>Dated:</b> March 10, 2014 <b>Filed:</b> June 2, 2014 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 2014-00008035 <b>Volume:</b> N/A <b>Page:</b> N/A <b>Acreage:</b> 397 acres Sec. 61 Blk. 19 T & P Ry Co. Surveys Abst. 375
<i>Notes: 40 ft for one pipeline</i>	
<b>Grantor:</b> Dink Whisenhunt and wife, Jan Whisenhunt  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> George D. Jones, Trustee	<b>Document:</b> Deed of Trust <b>Dated:</b> January 4, 1999 <b>Filed:</b> January 4, 1999 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 50 <b>Volume:</b> 2379 <b>Page:</b> 507 <b>Acreage:</b> 557 acres Sec. 61 Blk. 19 T & P RR Co. Sur.
<i>Notes: OUTSTANDING. In the amount \$95,000 dated 1/4/1999 maturing 180 months from this date, payable to James Ted Averitt</i>	
<b>Grantor:</b> Dink Whisenhunt  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Blair Water Supply Corp	<b>Document:</b> Right of Way Easement <b>Dated:</b> November 3, 1998 <b>Filed:</b> November 17, 1998 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 21665 <b>Volume:</b> 2366 <b>Page:</b> 634 <b>Acreage:</b> 563.97 acres being part of Sec. 61 & 52 Blk. 19 T & P RR Co. Sur.
<i>Notes: 15 ft</i>	
<b>Grantor:</b> Dink Whisenhunt and wife, Jan Whisenhunt  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> The Public	<b>Document:</b> Homestead Affidavit and Designation <b>Dated:</b> March 29, 1996 <b>Filed:</b> April 11, 1996 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 5977 <b>Volume:</b> 2150 <b>Page:</b> 858 <b>Acreage:</b> Part of 557 acres Sec. 61 & 52 Blk. 19 T & P RR Co. Sur.
<i>Notes:</i>	

# Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP

## Chain of Title

Grantor: R. W. McDonnell	Document: Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien
conveyed to:	Dated: December 31, 1992
Grantee: Dink Whisenhunt and wife, Jan Whisenhunt	Filed: December 31, 1992
	Doc. No.: 21253
	Volume: 1897
	Page: 548
	Acreage: 557 acres being part of Sec. 61 & 52, Blk. 19, T & P RR Co. Surveys
Notes: VESTING Lien in the amount of \$111,577.50 to George D. Jones, Trustee. REL 2379/504.	
Grantor: Hardin-Simmons University, a corporation	Document: Warranty Deed
conveyed to:	Dated: May 28, 1992
Grantee: R. W. McDonnell	Filed: May 29, 1992
	Doc. No.: 8629
	Volume: 1853
	Page: 244
	Acreage: 1816.7 acres being all Sec. 60 & parts of Secs. 65, 61, 52, and 49 Blk. 19 T & P RR Co. Sur.
Notes: Containing 1816.7 acres, of which 19.22 acres lie within F. M. Hwy. 1082, 23.5 acres with Santa Fe RR right of way and 18 acres, deeded to Taylor County along the RR in SW part of Sec. 61, leaving 1772.1 acres net, more or less.	
Grantor: Hardin-Simmons University	Document: Easement and ROW
conveyed to:	Dated: April 19, 1985
Grantee: West Texas Utilities Company, a private Corporation	Filed: June 10, 1985
	Doc. No.: 11458
	Volume: 1409
	Page: 566
	Acreage: 307 and 412 acre tract Sec. 60 and 61 Block 19 T & P RR Co Sur.
Notes: Electric lines	
Grantor: First National Bank of Abilene, Texas, Independent Executor of the Estate of William Arch Daniel, Deceased	Document: Correction Warranty Deed
conveyed to:	Dated: September 1, 1983
Grantee: Hardin-Simmons University, HSU Station	Filed: September 7, 1983
	Doc. No.: 18454
	Volume: 1312
	Page: 360
	Acreage: 395 acres 61 Blk. 19 T&P RR Co. Sur. Abst. 375, 160 acres and Sec. 52 Blk. 19 T&P RR Co. Sur. Abst. 1251
Notes: Correct and supersedes WD 1150/642.	
Grantor: First National Bank of Abilene, Texas, Independent Executor of the Estate of William Arch Daniel, Deceased	Document: Warranty Deed
conveyed to:	Dated: April 15, 1980
Grantee: Hardin-Simmons University	Filed: May 19, 1980
	Doc. No.: 7662
	Volume: 1150
	Page: 642
	Acreage: 395 acres 61 Blk. 19 T&P RR Co. Sur. Abst. 375, 160 acres and Sec. 52 Blk. 19 T&P RR Co. Sur. Abst. 1251
Notes:	
Agent: Ryan Freeland	
Date: 1/22/2015	



## **APPENDIX E: DEED RESEARCH FOR SITE 41TA397**

## **SUPPLEMENT & UPDATE TO LIMITED TITLE CERTIFICATE**

Project: Lone Star Express II – Baden to LSX4  
AFE NO: 453 000 000 541

Prepared For: Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP  
Current Tract No(s): LSX-TA-018.000-REV 1

**Supplementing / Updating:** A prior LTC dated 1/3/2015 for Tract No: LSE-TA-018.000 for 2015 LSE Project.

### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY**

**That certain tract of land, containing 160 acres, more or less, being called the Northwest Quarter (NW/4) of Section 6, Block 18, T & P Ry. Co. Surveys, A-751, Taylor County, Texas, and being more particularly described in that certain Warranty Deed from Nora L. Pruitt et al to Bob Malone, filed for record on March 18, 1944 in Volume 324, Page 260, of the Deed Records of Taylor County, Texas;**

**SAVE AND EXCEPT that certain tract of land, containing 3.04 acres, more or less, out of Section 6, Block 18, T & P Ry. Surveys, Taylor County, Texas and being the same land described in that certain Warranty Deed from Sheri Shipman, a married person, not joined herein by her spouse, as the property hereby conveyed constitutes no part of their business or residence homestead and is in her sole management and control; to Kenneth E. Lantrip, filed for record on November 4, 2003 in Volume 2895, Page 81, of the Official Public Records of Taylor County, Texas.**

### **PRESENT OWNERS OF RECORD (AS TO SURFACE INTEREST)**

<b>Name &amp; Marital Status / Legal Styling</b>	<b>Mailing Address</b>	<b>Ownership %</b>
Sheri Shipman, a/k/a Sheri L. Shipman	P.O. Box 508 Merkel, TX 79536	100%

### **TAX ASSESSMENT SUMMARY**

<b>Tax Parcel No(s).</b>	<b>Tax Parcel Acreage</b>	<b>Assessed to</b>	<b>Mailing Address</b>	<b>Assessed %</b>	<b>Taxes Paid (Y/N)</b>
28857	156.595	Sheri Shipman	P.O. Box 508 Merkel, TX 79536	100.00%	\$320.72 Owed 2018

### **ENCUMBRANCES SEARCHED / IDENTIFIED**

#### **CONTRACTS TO PURCHASE (Include any current Contracts to Purchase even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found of record

#### **MORTGAGES (Include any open mortgages or DOTs even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found of record

#### **LIENS (Include any open Liens even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found of record

#### **SURFACE LEASES AND TENANTS (excluding mining & mineral interest leases)**

None found of record

#### **EASEMENTS (Only Include New Easements Identified since Prior LTC, for older easements refer to Prior LTC):**

Sheri Shipman to Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP, recorded 4/20/2016. (Instrument # 2015-00005597)

Sheri Shipman to West Texas LPG Pipeline LP, recorded 2/11/2019 (Instrument # 2019-00001920)

#### **JUDGMENTS AND LIS PENDENS (Include any current Judgments even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found of record

**Certification:** We certify that we have made a careful search of the subject property in the public land records of Taylor County, Texas, from the date listed on the above referenced prior LTC, and located the following supplemental documents recorded therein. It is expressly understood that this Limited Title Certificate is not a guaranty or warranty of title.

Supplemental Instruments Identified					
Document Type	Right of Way Agreement	Volume		Doc Date	2/7/2019
Grantor	Sheri Shipman	Page		Date Filed	2/11/2019
Grantee	West Texas LPG Pipeline LP	Inst. No.	201901920		
Legal Description	160 acres in NW/4 of Section 6, Block 18, A-751 Taylor County, Texas				
Comments	New Document				
Document Type	Permanent Easement Agreement	Volume		Doc Date	3/23/2015
Grantor	Sheri Shipman	Page		Date Filed	4/20/2015
Grantee	Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP	Inst. No.	2015-00005597		
Legal Description	160 acres in NW/4 of Section 6, Block 18, A-751 Taylor County, Texas, LESS AND EXCEPT 3.04 acres.				
Comments					
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					
Document Type		Volume		Doc Date	
Grantor		Page		Date Filed	
Grantee		Inst. No.			
Legal Description					
Comments					

**Researcher Notes:** None

**Research Conducted From:** 1/3/2015 good through: 12/7/2018

Research By: Graham Doyle

Date Submitted: 12/7/2018

Title Reviewed By: Jim Dennard

Date Reviewed: 12/28/2018

Update by: Lindsey Landry

New Certified Date: 2/18/2019

Note: Added an Easement filed 2/11/2019 Instr. # 201901920

Name of Title Company  
Upperline Energy Partners

**UPPERLINE**  
ENERGY PARTNERS

**Any Supplemental Documents referenced above are Enclosed**

**Tract No(s): LSX-TA-018.000-REV 1**

Update to Limited Title Certificate

Page No. 2



**Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
Chain of Title

**Project:** Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP

PARCEL NUMBER(S)	TRACT NO.
A0751000400 / PID 28857	LSE-TA-018.000

**Description:**

That certain tract of land, containing 160 acres, more or less, situated in the Northwest Quarter (NW/4) of Section 6, Block 18, T & P Ry. Co. Surveys, A-751, Taylor County, Texas, and being more particularly described in that certain Warranty Deed from Nora L. Pruitt and husband, O. D. Pruitt, Opal Lowery Primrose and husband, E. E. Primrose, George C. Lowery and wife, Mabel Lowery, and Ruth Lowery Shore and husband, R. E. Shore to Bob Malone, filed for record on March 18, 1944 in Volume 260, Page 324, of the Deed Records of Taylor County, Texas; and

SAVE AND EXCEPT that certain tract of land, containing 3.04 acres, more or less, out of Section 6, Block 18, T & P Ry. Surveys, Taylor County, Texas and being the same land described in that certain Warranty Deed from Sheri Shipman, a married person, not joined herein by her spouse, as the property hereby conveyed constitutes no part of their business or residence homestead and is in her sole management and control; to Kenneth E. Lantrip, filed for record on November 4, 2003 in Volume 2895, Page 81, of the Official Public Records of Taylor County, Texas.

Present Status:	Taxes	Liens	Judgments
CURRENT	Paid	None	None

**Chain of Title:**

conveyed to:	<b>Grantor:</b> Sheri L. Shipman	<b>Document:</b> REFERENCE for legal description: Deed of Trust
		<b>Dated:</b> August 17, 2009
		<b>Filed:</b> August 20, 2009
		<b>Doc. No.:</b> 2009-00012989
	<b>Grantee:</b> Gary Galbraith, Trustee for the American State Bank, beneficiary	<b>Volume:</b> N/A
		<b>Page:</b> N/A
		<b>Acreage:</b> 160 acres being the NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey, less and except 3.04 acres
<b>Notes:</b> This Deed of Trust is <u>released</u> in Document # 2013-12203 dated 7/12/2013. This Deed of Trust has the <u>correct legal description</u> .		

conveyed to:	<b>Grantor:</b> Estate of Goldia L. Malone, deceased, Cause No. 22,886, County Court of Taylor County, Texas	<b>Document:</b> Probate
		<b>Dated:</b> May 21, 1999
		<b>Filed:</b> June 25, 2001
		<b>Doc. No.:</b> Cause No. 22,886
	<b>Grantee:</b> Sheri L. Shipman	<b>Volume:</b> 389
		<b>Page:</b> 739
		<b>Acreage:</b> Not listed in Inventory, as decedent had previously deeded out subject property but demises any property she may own.

**Notes:** Date of Death: 4/16/1999, Taylor County, Texas  
Application: 5/21/1999  
Will: 7/8/1994  
Executor/tricks(s): Sheri L. Shipman  
Codicil: None  
Order Probating Will: Amended Order dated 1/31/2000  
Inventory: 1/19/2001  
Order Approving Inventory: 6/25/2001  
Spouse: Robert Nathaniel Malone, predeceased in 1947  
Children: None  
Devisees: Sheri Shipman  
Other: N/A

# Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP

## Chain of Title

Grantor:	Sheri Shipman, a married person, not joined herein by her spouse, as the property hereby conveyed constitutes no part of their business or residence homestead and is in her sole management and control	Document:	OUTSALE: Warranty Deed
conveyed to:		Dated:	October 30, 2003
		Filed:	November 4, 2003
Grantee:	Kenneth E. Lantrip	Doc. No.:	03022828
		Volume:	2895
		Page:	81
		Acreage:	3.04 acres out of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Surveys
Notes:	Outsale of 3.04 acres out of her 160 acres in Section 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey		
Grantor:	Sheri L. Shipman, Co-Trustee of the Goldia Malone Revocable Living Trust	Document:	Correction Warranty Deed
conveyed to:		Dated:	April 13, 1995
		Filed:	April 24, 1995
Grantee:	Sheri L. Shipman, as her sole and separate property	Doc. No.:	6293
		Volume:	2081
		Page:	757
		Acreage:	160 Ac's of the NE/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey, Plus other Lands
Notes:	This document is a Correction Deed for 2048/814. The Deed corrects the Section 1 to Section 6, <u>but fails to correct the NE/4 to NW/4.</u>		
Grantor:	Goldia Malone, Individually, and as Trustee of the Goldia Malone Revocable Living Trust	Document:	Correction Warranty Deed
conveyed to:		Dated:	July 8, 1994
		Filed:	April 24, 1995
Grantee:	Sheri L. Shipman, as her sole and separate property	Doc. No.:	6292
		Volume:	2081
		Page:	754
		Acreage:	160 acres, the NE/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey, Plus other Lands
Notes:	This document is a Correction Deed for 2048/811. The Deed corrects the Section 1 to Section 6, <u>but fails to correct the NE/4 to NW/4.</u>		
Grantor:	Sheri L. Shipman, Co-Trustee of the Goldia Malone Revocable Living Trust	Document:	Warranty Deed
conveyed to:		Dated:	July 8, 1994
		Filed:	October 26, 1994
Grantee:	Sheri L. Shipman, as her sole and separate property	Doc. No.:	18828
		Volume:	2048
		Page:	814
		Acreage:	160 acres, the NE/4 of Sec. 1, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey, Plus other Lands
Notes:	Subject property is NW/4 of Section 6. This deed conveys NE/4 of Section 1. Correction Warranty Deed recorded 2081/754, corrects Section 1 to Section 6, <u>but does not correct NE/4 to NW/4.</u>		
Grantor:	Goldia Malone, Individually, and as Trustee of the Goldia Malone Revocable Living Trust	Document:	Warranty Deed
conveyed to:		Dated:	June 20, 1994
		Filed:	October 26, 1994
Grantee:	Sheri L. Shipman, as her sole and separate property	Doc. No.:	18827
		Volume:	2048
		Page:	811
		Acreage:	160 acres of NE/4 of Sec. 1, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey, Plus other Lands
Notes:	Subject property is NW/4 of Section 6. This deed conveys NE/4 of Section 1. Correction Warranty Deed recorded		

**Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
Chain of Title

<b>Grantor:</b> Goldia Malone  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Sheri L. Shipman	<b>Document:</b> Durable Power of Attorney <b>Dated:</b> March 22, 1993 <b>Filed:</b> March 25, 1993 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 4659 <b>Volume:</b> 1913 <b>Page:</b> 736 <b>Acreage:</b> N/A
<b>Notes:</b>	
<b>Grantor:</b> Goldia Malone  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Goldia Malone, as Trustee of the Goldia Malone Revocable Living Trust, with Life Estate reserved to Grantor	<b>Document:</b> Quit Claim <b>Dated:</b> March 1, 1993 <b>Filed:</b> March 25, 1993 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 4658 <b>Volume:</b> 1913 <b>Page:</b> 733 <b>Acreage:</b> 160 acres, the NE/4 of Sec. 1, Block 18, T&P RR Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> Legal description is incorrect: <u>conveys NE/4 of Section 1 - should be NW/4 of Section 6.</u>	
<b>Grantor:</b> Goldia Malone  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Blair Water Supply Corp.	<b>Document:</b> Right of Way <b>Dated:</b> March 22, 1978 <b>Filed:</b> April 24, 1979 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 6232 <b>Volume:</b> 1115 <b>Page:</b> 639 <b>Acreage:</b> 160 acres in 553/153
<b>Notes:</b> 15 ft water supply line.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Goldia Malone  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Magnolia Pipe Line Company, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Texas	<b>Document:</b> Right of Way <b>Dated:</b> April 10, 1959 <b>Filed:</b> May 11, 1959 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 6816 <b>Volume:</b> 583 <b>Page:</b> 408 <b>Acreage:</b> NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P RR Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> Electric transmission and power lines.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Goldia Malone, a widow, individually and as Independent Executrix of the Estate of Bob Malone, Deceased  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Gulf Refining Company	<b>Document:</b> Right of Way <b>Dated:</b> September 7, 1951 <b>Filed:</b> October 5, 1951 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 9502 <b>Volume:</b> 434 <b>Page:</b> 214 <b>Acreage:</b> NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P RR Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> 60 ft. pipeline.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Estate of Bob Malone, deceased, Cause No. 3733, County Court of Taylor County, Texas  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Goldia Malone	<b>Document:</b> Probate <b>Dated:</b> December 1, 1947 <b>Filed:</b> December 15, 1947 <b>Doc. No.:</b> 3733 <b>Volume:</b> N/A <b>Page:</b> N/A <b>Acreage:</b> NW/4, Section 6, Block 18, T&P Ry Co. Land
<b>Notes:</b> Application Filed 12/1/1947	



# Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP

## Chain of Title

<b>Grantor:</b> Cause No. 1538 styled Guardianship of J. H. Lowery and Lila Mae Lowery, Minors, County Court of Jones County, Texas  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Bob Malone	<b>Document:</b> Order Confirming Sale of Real Estate
	<b>Dated:</b> March 7, 1944
	<b>Filed:</b> March 7, 1944
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> Cause 1538
	<b>Volume:</b> 322
	<b>Page:</b> 676
	<b>Acreage:</b> NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Surveys
<b>Notes:</b> The court approves T. O. Massey, Guardian to sell Lila Mae Lowery and J. H. Lowery's undivided 1/5 interest.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Cause No. 1538 styled Guardianship of J. H. Lowery and Lila Mae Lowery, Minors, County Court of Jones County, Texas  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> The Public	<b>Document:</b> Application for Sale of Real Estate
	<b>Dated:</b> January 28, 1944
	<b>Filed:</b> January 29, 1944
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 1538
	<b>Volume:</b> 322
	<b>Page:</b> 672
	<b>Acreage:</b> NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> T. O. Massey, Guardian of the Estates of J. H. Lowery and Lila Mae Lowery, minors, which have an undivided 1/5 interest in the NW/4. The Remaining 4/5 interest desire to sell their interest, and T.O. believes its in the Children's best interest to sell their interest as well.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Cause No. 1538 styled Guardianship of J. H. Lowery and Lila Mae Lowery, Minors, County Court of Jones County, Texas  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> T. O. Massey, Guardian of J. H., Lowery and Lila Lowery, minors	<b>Document:</b> Resignation of Guardian and Order Appointing of New Guardian
	<b>Dated:</b> November 26, 1943
	<b>Filed:</b> March 16, 1944
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 1385
	<b>Volume:</b> 322
	<b>Page:</b> 665
	<b>Acreage:</b> NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> Nora L. Pruitt resigns as Guardian of the estates of J.H. Lowery and Lila Lowery, minors, her Children. T. O. Massey is then appointed as the Guardian. The estate owns, is an undivided 1/5 interest into NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co. Survey	
<b>Grantor:</b> Nora Pruitt and husband O.D. Pruitt; Opal Lowery Primrose and husband, E. E. Primrose; George G. Lowery and wife, Mabel Lowery; and Ruth Lowery Shore and husband, R. E. Shore  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Bob Malone	<b>Document:</b> Warranty Deed with Vendors Lien
	<b>Dated:</b> September 27, 1943
	<b>Filed:</b> March 18, 1944
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 1450
	<b>Volume:</b> 324
	<b>Page:</b> 260
	<b>Acreage:</b> NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> Vendor's Lien in the amount of \$5,000.00 payable to The Farmers & Merchants National Bank of Merkel, Texas; Released in 222/691.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Cause No. 1538 styled Application for Guardianship of Opal Lowery, et al Minors, County Court of Jones County, Texas  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> Mrs. Nora Pruitt, Guardian for Opal Lowery, 16 year old girl, J. H. Lowery, 13 year old boy and Lila Mae Lowery, 9 year girl	<b>Document:</b> Application for Guardianship CC
	<b>Dated:</b> August 13, 1937
	<b>Filed:</b> August 30, 1937
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3789
	<b>Volume:</b> 277
	<b>Page:</b> 516
	<b>Acreage:</b> NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> Nora L. Pruitt, formerly Nora Lowery, is the widow of G. C. Lowery who died 5/5/1938 and was the children's father. The court grants guardianship to Nora L. Pruitt, for the children's partial undivided interest in the NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18. G. C. Lowery's Probate was not filed in Taylor County. In the Inventory it is stated that appraised value of minors 3/10 interest in said land. In the Mechanic's Lien documents it appears Nora Lowery Pruitt, as widow of G. C. Lowery, owns 1/2 interest; then minors Opal, J. H. and Lila Mae - 1/10 each, then Ruth Lowery 1/10 and George Lowery 1/10 - 2 children who were not minors, so it appears that the land was community property is Nora Lowery Pruitt owns 1/2 community interest.	

**Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
Chain of Title

<b>Grantor:</b> G. R. Holloway et ux, Virginia Holloway  <i>conveyed to:</i> <b>Grantee:</b> G. C. Lowery	<b>Document:</b> Warranty Deed
	<b>Dated:</b> October 28, 1931
	<b>Filed:</b> October 28, 1931
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 4215
	<b>Volume:</b> 237
	<b>Page:</b> 412
	<b>Acreage:</b> (160 acres) NW/4 of Sec. 6, Block 18, T&P Ry. Co Survey
<b>Notes:</b> Assumption of indebtedness of \$2000.00 owing to Thom Investment Company and execution of 3 notes of \$166.66 each	

Agent: Larry Richards  
Date: 2/3/2015

## **APPENDIX F: DEED RESEARCH FOR SITE 41TA399**



## **SUPPLEMENT & UPDATE TO LIMITED TITLE CERTIFICATE**

**Project: Lone Star Express II – Baden to LSX4**  
**AFE NO: 453 000 000 541**

**Prepared For: Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
**Current Tract No(s): LSX-TA-125.000-REV 1**

**Supplementing / Updating:** A prior LTC dated 2/3/2015 for Tract No: LSE-TA-125.000 for 2015 LSE Project.

### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY**

**That certain tract of land, containing 48.548 acres, more or less, situated in the Lunatic Asylum Lands, Section No. 26, A-1258, Taylor County, Texas and being the same land described in that certain Warranty Deed from Tommie Allen and husband, Cecil Allen to Anton Melnyk and wife, Kim Melnyk, filed for record on May 9, 2006 in Volume 3226, Page 662 in the Official Public Records of Taylor County, Texas.**

<b>PRESENT OWNERS OF RECORD (AS TO SURFACE INTEREST)</b>		
<b>Name &amp; Marital Status / Legal Styling</b>	<b>Mailing Address</b>	<b>Ownership %</b>
<b>Anton Melnyk and wife, Kim Melnyk</b>	1520 Key Ln Abilene, TX 79602-7618	100.00%

<b>TAX ASSESSMENT SUMMARY</b>					
<b>Tax Parcel No(s).</b>	<b>Tax Parcel Acreage</b>	<b>Assessed to</b>	<b>Mailing Address</b>	<b>Assessed %</b>	<b>Taxes Paid (Y/N)</b>
109134	48.283	Anton Melnyk and Kimberly M. Melnyk	1520 Key Ln Abilene, TX 79602-7618	100.00%	103.01 Due in 2018

### **ENCUMBRANCES SEARCHED / IDENTIFIED**

**CONTRACTS TO PURCHASE (Include any current Contracts to Purchase even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found of record

**MORTGAGES (Include any open mortgages or DOTs even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found of record

**LIENS (Include any open Liens even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

None found of record

**SURFACE LEASES AND TENANTS (excluding mining & mineral interest leases)**

None found of record

**EASEMENTS (Only Include New Easements Identified since Prior LTC, for older easements refer to Prior LTC):**

**Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP (inst. # 2015-00008902)**

**JUDGMENTS AND LIS PENDENS (Include any current Judgments even if referenced on Prior LTC):**

No open Judgments or Lis Pendens

**Note: Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP vs. Anton Melnyk and wife, Kimberly Melnyk, dated 2/17/2015 Condemnation Suit – Order of Dismissal, dated 5/27/2015. Filed in the Civil Records of Taylor County, Texas. No copies provided as suit was dismissed.**

**Certification:** We certify that we have made a careful search of the subject property in the public land records of Taylor County, Texas, from the date listed on the above referenced prior LTC, and located the following supplemental documents recorded therein. It is expressly understood that this Limited Title Certificate is not a guaranty or warranty of title.

Supplemental Instruments Identified					
Document Type	Permanent Easement Agreement	Volume		Doc Date	5/26/2015
Grantor	Anton Melnyk and wife, Kim Melnyk	Page		Date Filed	6/16/2015
Grantee	Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP	Inst. No.	2015-00008902		
Legal Description	48.548 acres, more or less, situated in the Lunatic Asylum Lands, Section No. 26, A-1258, Taylor County, Texas				
Comments	50 foot wide easement for a single 24 inch line.				

**Researcher Notes:** None

**Research Conducted From:** 2/3/2015 good through: 12/13/2018

Research By: Matthew D. Wicker

Date Submitted: 12/13/2015

Title Reviewed By: Jim Dennard

Date Reviewed: 12/20/2018

Name of Title Company

Upperline Energy Partners



Revised: Jana Haynes

Date: 4/23/2019

Note: **Revised ownership to style names as title was vested in Warranty Deed recorded in Vol. 3226, Pg. 662.**

**Any Supplemental Documents referenced above are Enclosed**

# Taylor CAD

## Property Search Results > 109134 MELNYK ANTON & KIMBERLY M for Year 2018

### Property

#### Account

Property ID:	109134	Legal Description:	A1258 SUR 26 L A L SE/4, ACRES 48.283
Geographic ID:	A1258002900	Agent Code:	
Type:	Real		
Property Use Code:			
Property Use Description:			

#### Location

Address:	HWY 204	Mapsc0:	M07
Neighborhood:	CORONADO,ELDORADO,TRAILS END	Map ID:	TM10
Neighborhood CD:	888		

#### Owner

Name:	MELNYK ANTON & KIMBERLY M	Owner ID:	137797
Mailing Address:	1520 KEY LN ABILENE, TX 79602-7618	% Ownership:	100.000000000000%
		Exemptions:	

### Values

(+) Improvement Homesite Value:	+	\$0	
(+) Improvement Non-Homesite Value:	+	\$0	
(+) Land Homesite Value:	+	\$0	
(+) Land Non-Homesite Value:	+	\$0	Ag / Timber Use Value
(+) Agricultural Market Valuation:	+	\$273,719	\$5,444
(+) Timber Market Valuation:	+	\$0	\$0
-----			
(=) Market Value:	=	\$273,719	
(-) Ag or Timber Use Value Reduction:	-	\$268,275	
-----			
(=) Appraised Value:	=	\$5,444	
(-) HS Cap:	-	\$0	
-----			
(=) Assessed Value:	=	\$5,444	

### Taxing Jurisdiction

Owner:	MELNYK ANTON & KIMBERLY M
% Ownership:	100.000000000000%
Total Value:	\$273,719

Entity	Description	Tax Rate	Appraised Value	Taxable Value	Estimated Tax		
CAD	TAYLOR APPRAISAL DISTRICT	0.000000	\$5,444	\$5,444	\$0.00		



GTA	TAYLOR COUNTY	0.609100	\$5,444	\$5,444	\$33.16
SWY	WYLIE ISD	1.283000	\$5,444	\$5,444	\$69.85
Total Tax Rate:		1.892100			
				Taxes w/Current Exemptions:	\$103.01
				Taxes w/o Exemptions:	\$103.01

## Improvement / Building

No improvements exist for this property.

## Land

#	Type	Description	Acres	Sqft	Eff Front	Eff Depth	Market Value	Prod. Value
1	6T	Tillable Class 6	28.3259	1233876.20	0.00	0.00	\$160,581	\$3,937
2	7T	Tillable Class 7	0.7200	31363.20	0.00	0.00	\$4,082	\$122
3	7P	Pasture Class 7	19.2371	837968.08	0.00	0.00	\$109,056	\$1,385

## Roll Value History

Year	Improvements	Land Market	Ag Valuation	Appraised	HS Cap	Assessed
2019	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2018	\$0	\$273,719	5,444	5,444	\$0	\$5,444
2017	\$0	\$273,719	5,324	5,324	\$0	\$5,324
2016	\$0	\$216,009	5,324	5,324	\$0	\$5,324
2015	\$0	\$177,015	5,324	5,324	\$0	\$5,324
2014	\$0	\$171,643	5,324	5,324	\$0	\$5,324
2013	\$0	\$171,643	5,263	5,263	\$0	\$5,263
2012	\$0	\$171,643	5,171	5,171	\$0	\$5,171
2011	\$0	\$165,910	5,070	5,070	\$0	\$5,070
2010	\$0	\$154,513	4,982	4,982	\$0	\$4,982
2009	\$0	\$154,513	4,982	4,982	\$0	\$4,982
2008	\$0	\$142,936	4,982	4,982	\$0	\$4,982
2007	\$0	\$131,215	4,982	4,982	\$0	\$4,982
2006	\$0	\$111,212	4,982	4,982	\$0	\$4,982

## Deed History - (Last 3 Deed Transactions)

#	Deed Date	Type	Description	Grantor	Grantee	Volume	Page	Deed Number
1	5/9/2006	WD	WARRANTY DEED	ALLEN TOMMIE V	MELNYK ANTON & KIMBERLY M	3226	662	

## Tax Due

Property Tax Information as of 12/13/2018

Amount Due if Paid on: 

Year	Taxing Jurisdiction	Taxable Value	Base Tax	Base Taxes Paid	Base Tax Due	Discount / Penalty & Interest	Attorney Fees	Amount Due
2018	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,444	\$33.16	\$0.00	\$33.16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$33.16
2018	WYLIE ISD	\$5,444	\$69.85	\$0.00	\$69.85	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$69.85

**\$103.01**

2017	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,324	\$32.11	\$32.11	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2017	WYLIE ISD	\$5,324	\$59.90	\$59.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2017 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$92.01</b>	<b>\$92.01</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2016	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,324	\$28.48	\$28.48	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2016	WYLIE ISD	\$5,324	\$60.16	\$60.16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2016 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$88.64</b>	<b>\$88.64</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2015	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,324	\$28.05	\$28.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2015	WYLIE ISD	\$5,324	\$61.44	\$61.44	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2015 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$89.49</b>	<b>\$89.49</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2014	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,324	\$27.68	\$27.68	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2014	WYLIE ISD	\$5,324	\$55.37	\$55.37	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2014 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$83.05</b>	<b>\$83.05</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2013	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,263	\$26.56	\$26.56	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2013	WYLIE ISD	\$5,263	\$54.74	\$54.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2013 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$81.30</b>	<b>\$81.30</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2012	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,171	\$24.96	\$24.96	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2012	WYLIE ISD	\$5,171	\$53.78	\$53.78	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2012 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$78.74</b>	<b>\$78.74</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2011	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$5,070	\$23.96	\$23.96	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2011	WYLIE ISD	\$5,070	\$57.29	\$57.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2011 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$81.25</b>	<b>\$81.25</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2010	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$4,982	\$23.53	\$23.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2010	WYLIE ISD	\$4,982	\$56.29	\$56.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2010 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$79.82</b>	<b>\$79.82</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2009	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$4,982	\$23.29	\$23.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2009	WYLIE ISD	\$4,982	\$56.29	\$56.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2009 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$79.58</b>	<b>\$79.58</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2008	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$4,982	\$23.33	\$23.33	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2008	WYLIE ISD	\$4,982	\$56.29	\$56.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2008 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$79.62</b>	<b>\$79.62</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2007	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$4,982	\$23.45	\$23.45	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2007	WYLIE ISD	\$4,982	\$54.31	\$54.31	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2007 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$77.76</b>	<b>\$77.76</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2006	TAYLOR COUNTY	\$4,982	\$24.81	\$24.81	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2006	WYLIE ISD	\$4,982	\$63.27	\$63.27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<b>2006 TOTAL:</b>		<b>\$88.08</b>	<b>\$88.08</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>

NOTE: Penalty & Interest accrues every month on the unpaid tax and is added to the balance. Attorney fees may also increase your tax liability if not paid by July 1. If you plan to submit payment on a future date, make sure you enter the date and RECALCULATE to obtain the correct total amount due.

**Questions Please Call (325) 676-9381.**

# Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP

Chain of Title

**Project:** Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP

PARCEL NUMBER(S)	TRACT NO.
Acct No. A12580020007D 109134	LSE TA-126-000

**Description:**

That certain tract of land, containing 48.548 acres, more or less, situated in the Lunatic Asylum Lands Survey/League, Section No. 26, A-1258, Taylor County, Texas and being the same land described in that certain Warranty Deed, from Tommie Allen and husband, Cecil Allen to Anton Melnyk and wife, Kim Melnyk, filed for record on May 8, 2006 in Volume 3226, Page 662, in the Official Public Records of Taylor County, Texas.

Present Status:	Taxes	Liens	Judgments
CURRENT	Paid	None	None

**Chain of Title:**

<b>Grantor:</b> Tommie Allen and husband, Cecil Allen	<b>Document:</b> Warranty Deed
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> May 8, 2006
	<b>Filed:</b> May 9, 2006
<b>Grantee:</b> Anton Melnyk and wife, Kim Melnyk	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 6008513
	<b>Volume:</b> 3226
	<b>Page:</b> 662
	<b>Acreage:</b> 48.548 acres, Lunatic Asylum Lands, Sec. 26

**Notes:** Convey subject property.

<b>Grantor:</b> Tommie Allen	<b>Document:</b> Easement and Right of Way
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> May 22, 2003
	<b>Filed:</b> May 22, 2003
<b>Grantee:</b> American Electric Power, a private corporation	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3009764
	<b>Volume:</b> 2822
	<b>Page:</b> 18
	<b>Acreage:</b> 52 acres, Sec. 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands

**Notes:** Electric and telecommunications line.

<b>Grantor:</b> Tommie Allen	<b>Document:</b> OUTSALE: Deed
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> September 24, 2002
	<b>Filed:</b> February 28, 2003
<b>Grantee:</b> State of Texas, acting by and through the Texas Transportation Commission	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3003757
	<b>Volume:</b> 2790
	<b>Page:</b> 151
	<b>Acreage:</b> 0.1391 acre, Sec. 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands

**Notes:** OUTSALE: 0.1391 of an acre. Reference to deed 2113/330.

<b>Grantor:</b> Victor G. Carrillo, County Judge authorized by action of the Commissioners Court of Taylor County	<b>Document:</b> Quitclaim Deed
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> February 4, 2003
	<b>Filed:</b> February 5, 2003
<b>Grantee:</b> Tommie Allen	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3002281
	<b>Volume:</b> 2782
	<b>Page:</b> 228
	<b>Acreage:</b> 0.333 acres, out of the SE/4 of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum lands. Among other land.

**Notes:** Convey to Grantee.



**Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
Chain of Title

<b>Grantor:</b> Cecil L. Allen and wife, Tommie V. Allen  <i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Document:</b> Warranty Deed
	<b>Dated:</b> September 22, 1995
	<b>Filed:</b> October 2, 1995
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 15375
	<b>Volume:</b> 2113
<b>Grantee:</b> Tommie Allen as her separate property	<b>Page:</b> 330
	<b>Acreage:</b> 49.086 acres, SE corner of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
	<b>Notes:</b> Convey 52 acres with reference to Less and Except: 2 acre tract 746/27 & 0.914 acre tract 1862/419
<b>Grantor:</b> Cecil L. Allen and wife, Tommie V. Allen  <i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Document:</b> OUTSALE: Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien
	<b>Dated:</b> June 30, 1994
	<b>Filed:</b> July 1, 1994
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 11871
	<b>Volume:</b> 2023
<b>Grantee:</b> Thomas E. Hough and wife, Kathy L. Hough	<b>Page:</b> 178
	<b>Acreage:</b> 2.0 acres, SE corner of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
	<b>Notes:</b> OUTSALE: Convey 2 acres with reference to Deed 697/411
<b>Grantor:</b> Donald R. Grubbs and wife, Carolyn Grubbs  <i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Document:</b> Warranty Deed
	<b>Dated:</b> February 23, 1994
	<b>Filed:</b> February 28, 1994
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3383
	<b>Volume:</b> 1993
<b>Grantee:</b> Tommie Allen and husband, Cecil L. Allen	<b>Page:</b> 64
	<b>Acreage:</b> 12.698 acre interest, in a 52 acre tract, SE corner of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
	<b>Notes:</b> Conveys a 12.698 acre interest in and to the surface only of a 52 acre tract - with reference to Less and Except: 2 acre tract 746/27 & 0.914 acre tract 1862/419.
<b>Grantor:</b> Donald R. Grubbs and wife, Carolyn Grubbs  <i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Document:</b> Warranty Deed
	<b>Dated:</b> February 23, 1994
	<b>Filed:</b> February 28, 1994
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3382
	<b>Volume:</b> 1993
<b>Grantee:</b> Tommie Allen and husband, Cecil L. Allen	<b>Page:</b> 61
	<b>Acreage:</b> 11.845 acre interest, in a 52 acre tract, SE corner of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
	<b>Notes:</b> Conveys a 11.845 acre interest in and to the surface only of a 52 acre tract - with reference to Less and Except: 2 acre tract 746/27 & 0.914 acre tract 1862/419.
<b>Grantor:</b> Don R. Grubbs and Tommie V. Allen  <i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Document:</b> Affidavit
	<b>Dated:</b> May 29, 1992
	<b>Filed:</b> July 10, 1992
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 11307
	<b>Volume:</b> 1862
<b>Grantee:</b> To the Public	<b>Page:</b> 429
	<b>Acreage:</b> n/a
	<b>Notes:</b> States that Affiants are the beneficiaries of the Estate of Lucille A. Grubbs, Deceased. Claim estate value did not exceed \$200k.
<b>Grantor:</b> Don R. Grubbs and Tommie V. Allen, not joined herein by our spouses since this property constitutes no part of our homestead  <i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Document:</b> OUTSALE: Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien
	<b>Dated:</b> July 9, 1992
	<b>Filed:</b> July 10, 1992
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 11305
	<b>Volume:</b> 1862
<b>Grantee:</b> Michael J. Farmer and wife, Kelly M. Farmer	<b>Page:</b> 419
	<b>Acreage:</b> 0.914 acres out of a 52 ac tract, Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
	<b>Notes:</b> Lien in the amount of \$65,200.00 to Richard Hutchins, Trustee payable to McAfee Mortgage & Investment.

**Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
Chain of Title

<b>Grantor:</b> Estate of Lucille A. Grubbs, Deceased, Cause No.19749, County/Probate Court of Taylor County, Texas	<b>Document:</b> Probate - Application for Probate of Will as Muniment of Title
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> January 27, 1992
	<b>Filed:</b> January 27, 1992
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> Cause No. 19749
<b>Grantee:</b> Tommie V. Allen (50%) and Don R. Grubbs (50%)	<b>Volume:</b> N/A
	<b>Page:</b> N/A
	<b>Acreage:</b> Not in Inventory
<b>Notes:</b> Date of Death: 1/18/1992 Taylor, County, Texas Application: 1/27/1992 Will: 7/22/1986 Executor/trix(s): Tommie V. Allen and Don R. Grubbs Codicil: None Order Probating Will: 2/19/1992 Inventory: Not included in probate Order Approving Inventory: Not included in probate Spouse: Troy T. Grubbs, Deceased Children: Tommie V. Allen and Don R. Grubbs Heirs: Tommie V. Allen and Don R. Grubbs Other: N/A	
<b>Grantor:</b> Lucille Grubbs, Don R. Grubbs, Tommie Allen	<b>Document:</b> Drainage Easement for Highway Purposes
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> February 28, 1991
	<b>Filed:</b> June 27, 1991
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 9298
<b>Grantee:</b> State of Texas, acting by and through the State Highway and Public Transportation Commission	<b>Volume:</b> 1790
	<b>Page:</b> 662
	<b>Acreage:</b> 2.873 acres, SE/4 of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
<b>Notes:</b> Drainage channel. Ref: Deed 697/411	
<b>Grantor:</b> Lucille Grubbs (aka Mrs. Troy T. Grubbs)	<b>Document:</b> Power of Attorney
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> July 22, 1985
	<b>Filed:</b> March 6, 1991
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3215
<b>Grantee:</b> Don Grubbs and Tommie Allen	<b>Volume:</b> 1771
	<b>Page:</b> 126
	<b>Acreage:</b> N/A
<b>Notes:</b> Appoints as true and lawful attorneys.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Don R. Grubbs and Cecil Allen	<b>Document:</b> Affidavit of Heirship
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> February 28, 1991
	<b>Filed:</b> March 6, 1991
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 3214
<b>Grantee:</b> To the Public	<b>Volume:</b> 1771
	<b>Page:</b> 122
	<b>Acreage:</b> N/A
<b>Notes:</b> Affiants state that Troy Tony Grubbs died intestate 5/2/1970 and no administration has been had on the Estate and none is necessary. Troy Toney Grubbs was married once to Lucille Grubbs. Affiants are the children of Troy Tony Grubbs.	
<b>Grantor:</b> Cecil Allen and wife, Tommie V. Allen	<b>Document:</b> Affidavit
<i>conveyed to:</i>	<b>Dated:</b> April 5, 1976
	<b>Filed:</b> April 20, 1976
	<b>Doc. No.:</b> 5047
<b>Grantee:</b> To the Public	<b>Volume:</b> 1027
	<b>Page:</b> 949
	<b>Acreage:</b> 2 acres, out of a 52 acre tract, SE corner, Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
<b>Notes:</b> States this property is their homestead.	

**Lone Star NGL Pipeline LP**  
Chain of Title

Grantor: T. T. Grubbs and wife, Lucille A. Grubbs  conveyed to: Grantee: Cecil Allen and his wife, Tommie Allen	Document: OUTSALE: Warranty Deed
	Dated: June 24, 1964
	Filed: July 6, 1964
	Doc. No.: 8087
	Volume: 746
	Page: 27
	Acreage: 2 acres, out of a 52 acre tract, SE corner, Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
Notes: Conveys 2 acres out of the 52 acre tract out of 120 acres, more or less, out of the SE corner of Sec. 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands. Reference to Deed 697/411.	
Grantor: T. T. Grubbs and wife, Lucille A. Grubbs  conveyed to: Grantee: Potosi Water Supply Corporation	Document: Right of Way Easement
	Dated: March 2, 1964
	Filed: March 18, 1964
	Doc. No.: 3485
	Volume: 737
	Page: 126
	Acreage: 52 acres, SE corner of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
Notes: Perpetual easement for water pipe line.	
Grantor: Novel Baize and wife, Vida M. Baize  conveyed to: Grantee: T. T. Grubbs and wife, Lucille A. Grubbs	Document: Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien
	Dated: December 12, 1962
	Filed: December 18, 1962
	Doc. No.: 15434
	Volume: 697
	Page: 411
	Acreage: 52 acres, SE corner of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
Notes: Conveys subject property. Vendor's Lien in the amount of \$8,900.00, due 1/1/1965, Charles E. Erwin, Trustee for Novel and Vida M. Baize. Vendor's Lien in the amount of \$2,176.00 due 3/1/1963, Charles E. Erwin, Trustee for Novel and Vida M. Baize. Partial Release of Lien 956/630. Release of Lien \$8,900.00 Vol. 2023/194. Reference to an incorrect ROW 485/425 to the State of Texas by Grantors. <i>Researcher's Note: Believe this second WDWL was issue due to Assignment of the original loan.</i>	
Grantor: Novel Baize and wife, Vida M. Baize  conveyed to: Grantee: T. T. Grubbs and wife, Lucille A. Grubbs	Document: Warranty Deed with Vendor's Lien
	Dated: April 19, 1962
	Filed: April 24, 1962
	Doc. No.: 5003
	Volume: 677
	Page: 223
	Acreage: 52 acres, SE corner of Sec 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
Notes: Conveys subject property. Vendor's Lien in the amount of \$8,900.00, due 1/1/1965, Charles E. Erwin, Trustee for Novel and Vida M. Baize. Vendor's Lien in the amount of \$2,176.00 due 3/1/1963, Charles E. Erwin, Trustee for Novel and Vida M. Baize. Partial Release of Lien \$2,176.00 Vol. 956/630. Release of Lien \$8,900.00 Vol. 2023/194. Reference to an incorrect ROW 485/425 to the State of Texas by Grantors.	
Grantor: George D. Kiker and wife, Alesa Kiker  conveyed to: Grantee: State of Texas acting by and through the State Highway Commission	Document: Deed
	Dated: May 25, 1954
	Filed: October 15, 1954
	Doc. No.: 11309
	Volume: 485
	Page: 524
	Acreage: Parcel B: 1.840 acres, off the east side, Sec. 26, Lunatic Asylum Lands
Notes: Strip of land off the east side of subject property. Reference was made in 697/411.	

Agent: Roxanne Wetherwax  
Date: 2/4/2015